



FAKULTA
SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚD
Univerzita Karlova

Zápis o části závěrečné státní zkoušky Záznam o průběhu obhajoby

Akademický rok: 2023/2024

Jméno a příjmení studenta: Bc. Dalibor Bělohávek
Identifikační číslo studenta: 18982035

Typ studijního programu: navazující magisterský
Studijní program: Politologie
Studijní obor: Bezpečnostní studia
ID studia: 637844

Název práce: 2021 Taliban Takeover: Defeat of the Afghan National Security Forces through the lens of proxy warfare theory
Pracoviště práce: Katedra bezpečnostních studií (23-KBS)
Jazyk práce: angličtina
Jazyk obhajoby: čeština
Vedoucí: Ing. Bc. Luděk Michálek, Ph.D.
Oponent(i): prof. PhDr. Emil Aslan, Ph.D.
Datum obhajoby: 31.01.2024 **Místo obhajoby:** Praha
Termín: řádný

Průběh obhajoby: The committee presented the student with his written part results and asked him to present his thesis. The student began by a broader overview of the background and motivation of his work and showed a lacuna he wished to fill, defining and outlining his research question. In some detail he explained the conceptual and empirical properties of his approach. The student then outlined the reasoning behind the choice of his case studies, noting such reasons as scope of a MA thesis or the availability of sources or an analytical fit. Following that, the student described in some detail his analytical approach and then the actual analysis, showcasing the properties of his case study he found pertinent to his argument. The committee then intervened, suggested no need to go through the empirics at length and asked the student to outline his primary findings, going back to his thesis title. The student noted a finding related to the question of force-building, offering his takes on the question based on the analysis he provided. The committee then reviewed the reviewed, focusing especially on the second reader's criticisms. The student addressed the critiques in turn, showing some understanding of the theoretical field at hand as well as the empirics he analyzed. He also clarified some answers to the critiques he suggested were already in the thesis. He then also speculated about some broader implications of his research. The committee found the answers sufficient, adding a follow-up which the student answered with some confidence, supporting his argument with empirical arguments. The committee found the answer again sufficient.

Výsledek obhajoby: výborně (B)

Předseda komise: prof. Mgr. Oldřich Bureš, Ph.D., M.A.
(přítomen)

Členové komise: PhDr. JUDr. Tomáš Karásek, Ph.D.
(přítomen)
Mgr. et Mgr. Tomáš Kučera, Ph.D.
(přítomen)