

Průběh obhajoby:

The student introduced the main improvements in the updated version of his master thesis. The opponents' reports shared the view that the new version is of better quality especially in terms of critical work with the secondary sources. The flaws were still seen in the lack of a more substantial primary sources analysis. The student explained exhaustively some of his commitments. The discussion revolved around the questions of why conflicts were not analysed and how the terms of cosmopolitanism and creativity were applied. The commission concluded that the thesis is acceptable yet still with many flaws and decided to grade it by the grade GOOD.

Klasifikace obhajoby: