

## **Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form**

Author: Barbora Švábová

Title: Misogyny as a Key Driver for Right-Wing Extremists: Is It All about

Hate for Women?Programme/year: SS 2024

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/external assessor):

| Criteria       | Definition                                  | Maximum | Points |
|----------------|---|---------|--------|
| Major Criteria |   |         |        |
|                | Research question, definition of objectives | 10      | 10     |
|                | Theoretical/conceptual framework            | 30      | 28     |
|                | Methodology, analysis, argument             | 40      | 37     |
| Total          |   | 80      | 75     |
| Minor Criteria |   |         |        |
|                | Sources                                     | 10      | 10     |
|                | Style                                       | 5       | 5      |
|                | Formal requirements                         | 5       | 5      |
| Total          |   | 20      | 20     |
|                |   |         |        |
| TOTAL          |   | 100     | 95     |



## **Evaluation**

Major criteria:

This thesis examines the role of misogyny as an important motivating factor of right-wing extremism. The thesis is introduced by a robust literature review that appropriately situates the issue under investigation within the disciplinary context of P/CVE, including valid gender perspectives. The analytical framework is built on three factors that are consistently operationalized, allowing the author to thematically invest primary and secondary sources.

The research design is exemplary. The paper is consistent, and the line of argument is evident in the text. The empirical conclusions are convincing and interesting. It is unfortunate that the author does not relate her results more clearly to existing knowledge. It would be interesting to see how her results are counterintuitive in relation to the existing literature..

Minor criteria:

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

All minor criteria are met.

Overall evaluation:

Great paper in all respects, honest and meticulous analysis. Excellent job!

Suggested grade: A

Signature: