



# Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

**Student's name and surname:** Muhammad Mujtaba Masood  
**Student's ID:** 62796529

**Type of the study programme:** Master's (post-Bachelor)  
**Study programme:** Society, Communication and Media  
**Study ID:** 712999

**Title of the thesis:** Marital Life and TV Dramas: Depiction and Influence on Perceptions of Married and Unmarried Audience  
**Thesis department:** Department of Sociology (23-KS)  
**Language of the thesis:** English  
**Language of defence:** English  
**Advisor:** prof. PhDr. Hynek Jeřábek, CSc.  
**Reviewer(s):** Mgr. Jiří Remr, Ph.D.  
**Date of defence:** 27.06.2024    **Venue of defence:** Praha  
**Attempt:** regular

**Course of the examination:** The student presented his thesis on the topic of Marital Life and TV Dramas: Depiction and Influence on Perceptions of Married and Unmarried Audience.

The student presented the introduction of the thesis, background, importance, objectives, research questions, literature review (key theories, relevant studies), methodology, results, discussion, conclusion, suggestions for further research, and bibliography.

The supervisor prof. Jerabek was present during the defense, presented his Master's thesis evaluation and asked additional questions. The supervisor was given space to comment on the thesis during the defense. The supervisor suggested a grade E. The opponent dr. Remr was present online and he introduced his evaluation and questions. The opponent suggested a grade E. After the reviews were read the student reacted to the questions and comments in the reviews.

The discussion between the committee and the student followed. The student reacted to the given questions and comments. But the committee was missing clear support for arguments in the literature references. The student hasn't managed to clarify the used theory and research instruments clearly. The connection between the theory and data analysis research was missing in the thesis as well and sampling methods were not sufficiently clarified. The student hasn't managed to answer to the committee questions more in depth to prove the appropriate quality of his work, the used theories and methods. The questionnaire was problematic and not appropriate for the purpose of the cultivation theory.

The committee decided to give a grade F and suggested to revise the thesis. In the revised thesis, the student has to provide more relevant theories, reflect on the limits of the used methods and interpret the research findings through the theoretical perspective. The committee suggested the student to use appropriate statistical methods. The committee also requires more transparency about the data collection, to explain the details of sampling procedure.

The commission explained all the issues which should be addressed by the student.

<b>Result of defence:</b>	fail (F)
<b>Chair of the board:</b>	Numerato Dino, doc. PhDr., Ph.D. (present) .....
<b>Committee members:</b>	Jeřábek Hynek, prof. PhDr., CSc. (present) .....
	Vochocová Lenka, PhDr., Ph.D. (present) .....