

Zápis o obhajobě disertační práce

Akademický rok: 2023/2024

Jméno a příjmení studenta: Mgr. Diana Míčková

Identifikační číslo studenta: 85955165

Typ studijního programu: doktorský
Studijní program: Historické vědy
Studijní obor: Egyptologie
ID studia: 506063

Název práce: Translation, analysis and interpretation of texts of the inner side of

the outer sarcophagus from the tomb of priest Iufaa at Abusir

Pracoviště práce: Český egyptologický ústav (21-CEGU)

Jazyk práce: angličtina Jazyk obhajoby: čeština

Školitel: Mgr. Renata Landgráfová, Ph.D.

Oponent(i): prof. Dr. Laurent Coulon

prof. Dr. Martin Andreas Stadler

Datum obhajoby: 16.10.2023 **Místo obhajoby:** Praha

Termín: řádný

Průběh obhajoby: 10:00-10:15 prezentace diplomové práce kandidátkou

Renata Landgráfová (školitel), summary of report

-Summary of the candidate's PhD studies -The selection of the topic of the thesis

-Conclusion: passed

Laurent Coulon (oponent), summary of report

-Structure logical, great effort in the translations that are overall very successful

-Typos and missing words / English could be improved

-Exact reference of the sentences quoted needs to be added to the grammar/orthography section

-Diagram of the distribution of the texts on the sarcophagus lacking -Numbering of columns in retrograde writing needs to be improved to be easier followed

-Improvement of philological work, suggestions: transcriptions,

transliterations and translations given in the report

-Consistence in translation needed!

-Commentaries: great work, but improvements needed – slaughtering the Apis not unattested, embalming is too far from the context ((the. Misdeeds of Seth)

-Conclusion: passed

Martin Stadler (oponnet), summary of report -Diagram of distribution of texts would be helpful

-Commentaries need to be revised and improved, comments are often paraphrases rather than contextualizations

-Pyramid texts – several paths of interpretation / alternative readings In the commentaries needed, paraphrases are not necessary

-"harmonize the translations" before publication, synopsis

-Typos and inconsistencies

-Questions:

-1. Thoth – creation myth of Thoth is contradictory: Thoth is sone of Re, but also emerged from Seth's head + the Ptah ogdoad DM: several Anubises in pJumilhac, each identified with a different deity, each Thoth is a different manifestation – one is Seth, one comes from Seth's head // unpublished Demotic text in Vienna with 4 Thoths, develops creation mythology -2. New texts blended among the PT – "new" PT text spells?

-2. New texts blended among the PT – "new" PT text spells? DM: both possibilities are possible, there are certainly more than the known PT; in Iufaa – CT spell only known once in a fragmentary way from Bersha; PT often based on Nt; they were also able to create their own new texts

-3. Retrograde writing – it has always a meaning – DM: no clear answer, on the N wall of the chamber Iufaa used for "layouting"

-4. Hypotheses on the physical illnesses of Iufaa – need of a concise summary of the problem in the thesis

-Conclusion: passed

Diskuze

Jana Mynářová – bottom of the sarcophagus only painted, why? DM: Probably due to hurry.

Jiří Janák – for the final publication – perhaps read from the feet to the head? Does is change the interpretation? Burial chamber goes from feet to head (west to east).

DM: Question of "direction of reading" – is there even such a thing? Martin Stadler: make a small chapter and discuss both alternatives of reading, present alternatives

Filip Coppens – commented on the progress of the candidate. Transmission of ideas and texts – where does the archival material some from, why does it appear only here?

DM: Memphis, Heliopolis, Sais

L. Coulon - Shednu (Horbeit) – first attestation, where does this come from?

Hlasování

Pro hlasování opustili místnost všichni kromě členů komise Verdikt

Passed (unanimously) - Prospěla (jednohlasně)

Present/přítomni Ladislav Bareš (předseda) Laurent Coulon (oponent) Martin Andreas Stadler (oponent) Renata Landgráfová (školitel)

Filip Coppens Jiří Janák Karel Nováček

Klasifikace obhajoby:	prospěl/a (P)	
Předseda komise:	prof. PhDr. Ladislav Bareš, CSc.	
Členové komise:	doc. Jiří Janák, Th.D.	
	doc. PhDr. Mgr. et Mgr. Filip Coppens, Ph.D.	
	Mgr. Renata Landgráfová, Ph.D.	
	doc. Mgr. Karel Nováček, Ph.D.	