

On 3+1 splitting in stationary circular space-times

Introducing the decomposition

Preliminary arrangements and prerequisites

Launching xAct

The goals of this chapter are:

- Launch xAct with xTensor package
- Launch xAct package ShowTime with Threshold of 1 second for displaying evaluation time
- Fix indices at print (and only at print, for internal calculation they will still be handled by xAct with different symbols)
- Prepare functions for automatic rule application in two variants: First with(out) metric contraction (all possible index permutations)
- Prepare a constant list \$Equations, in which **all** resulting equations are to be stored
- Prepare functions to append our results in \$Equations and other constant lists which will be developed in later chapters
- Prepare two functions to display from lists. One with Hold[...] operation which will only display the name of the equation, and one with full display of the equation.

```
In[1]:= << xAct`xTensor`
```

```
-----  
Package xAct`xPerm` version 1.2.3, {2015, 8, 23}
```

```
CopyRight (C) 2003-2020, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.
```

```
Connecting to external MinGW executable...
```

```
Connection established.
```

```
-----  
Package xAct`xTensor` version 1.2.0, {2021, 10, 17}
```

```
CopyRight (C) 2002-2021, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.
```

```
-----  
These packages come with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY; for details type  
Disclaimer[]. This is free software, and you are welcome to redistribute  
it under certain conditions. See the General Public License for details.
```

```
In[2]:= $DefInfoQ = False;
```

```
In[1]:= << xAct`ShowTime1`  

In[2]:= $ShowTimeThreshold  

Out[2]= 1  

In[3]:= $PrePrint = ScreenDollarIndices;  

In[4]:= ApplyRule[expr_] :=  

    MakeRule[Evaluate[List @@ expr], MetricOn → All, ContractMetrics → True]  

ApplyRuleN[expr_] := MakeRule[Evaluate[List @@ expr]]
```

Now we define a constant set (which is ensured by \$), which will later be filled by equations .

A word of caution: If AddEquation is used on an expression several times (say, by accident), the \$Equations list will keep all duplicates!

For functions DisplayHOLD & DisplayEQ we use the command Union to NOT display (possibly) accumulated duplicates

```
In[5]:= $Equations = {};  

In[6]:= SetAttributes[AddEquation, HoldAll]  

AddEquation[expr_Symbol] := AppendTo[$Equations, Hold[expr]]  

  

SetAttributes[AddToList, HoldAll]  

AddToList[$list_, expr_Symbol] := AppendTo[$list, Hold[expr]]  

  

DisplayHOLD[expr_List] := expr // Flatten // MatrixForm  

DisplayEQ[expr_List] :=  

    expr // ReleaseHold // ScreenDollarIndices // Flatten // MatrixForm
```

Defining the spacetime, normal and induced 3+1 decomposition

Conventions:

Specifying variables which store used conventions:

- The sign of the metric (stored in **STdetmet**)
- The sign of the normal field (stored in **ε**) determining the spacetime character of the normal, with explicit display
 - the intent is to display it explicitly whenever we can. As the “time/space-likeness” is crucial geometrical information.
- The sign of the 4-acceleration related to normal vector field (stored in **asign**)
 - which is set to **ε**
- The sign of the normal-orthogonal splitting induced metric tensor (stored in **IMmetdet**)
- The sign of the extrinsic curvature tensor trace (connected to normal orthogonal split) (stored in **ksign**)

First, the normal is unitary, its norm denoted by sign:

```
In[°]:= DefConstantSymbol[ε]
ε /: Power[ε, n_?EvenQ] := 1
ε /: Power[ε, n_?OddQ] := ε
```

Then the rest of the notation concerning the convention:

```
In[°]:= STmetdet = -1;
asign = ε;
IMmetdet = 1;
ksign = 1;
```

Manifold & metric definitions:

Definition of our space-time manifold begins here.

Overview of the code follows as:

- Manifold definition
- Equip it with pseudometric tensor and it's Levi-Civita type covariant derivative, setting out some printing options for postfix and prefix notation
- Setting the printing out option for covariant derivative as postfix
- Printing out setup for curvature tensors

Note that we use **-1** as the determinant sign of the space-time metric (defined as the first argument of DefMetric, explicitly specified in "Conventions" chapter)

```
In[°]:= DefManifold[M, 4, {α, β, γ, δ, υ, κ, λ, μ, ν, ρ, σ, υ, χ}]
In[°]:= DefMetric[STmetdet, g[-μ, -ν], CD, {";", "∇"}]

In[°]:= $CovDFormat = "Postfix";
SetOptions[ContractMetric, AllowUpperDerivatives → True]
{PrintAs[RiemannCD] ^= "R", PrintAs[RicciCD] ^= "R", PrintAs[RicciScalarCD] ^= "R"};
Out[°]= {AllowUpperDerivatives → True, OverDerivatives → False}
```

Print test:

```
In[°]:= {RiemannCD[μ, -ν, -κ, -λ], RicciCD[-ν, -λ], RicciScalarCD[]}
Out[°]= {R^μ_νκλ, R_νλ, R}
```

The normal and it's norm

The normal

At this point we need to specify several properties concerning the normal.

A commentary about structure:

Constant symbol ϵ representing the norm of the normal was already defined.

In this part of code normal field is defined, then it's square in various equivalent (mathematically) definitions, simplifying the definitions step by step until $n^\alpha n_\alpha = \epsilon$ is obtained. Noteworthy is the fact that it is **necessary** to introduce this equation as a relation between **scalars**, **not general tensors**.

This pivotal fact is then taken as a general rule into **\$Rules** list

Further on:

- Normal spacetime character is defined as ϵ
- Storing the scalar definition of ϵ as general rule into **\$Rules** list
- Rules are tested
- 4-velocity (the normal) \perp it's 4-acceleration relation is developed

```
In[1]:= $EquationsNormal = {};

In[2]:= DefTensor[n[-\alpha], M]

In[3]:= DefInertHead[ABS]

In[4]:= \epsilon == Scalar@ABS[n[-\mu] \times n[\mu]]
Define\epsilon = %;
AddEquation@Define\epsilon;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, Define\epsilon];

Out[4]= \epsilon == (ABS[n_\mu n^\mu])
```

```
In[5]:= NoScalar /@ Define\epsilon
Define\epsilonNOSC = %;
AddEquation@Define\epsilonNOSC;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, Define\epsilon];
```

```
Out[5]= \epsilon == ABS[n_\alpha n^\alpha]
```

Following directly from the definitions is:

```
In[6]:= n[-\alpha] \times n[\alpha] == \epsilon
PutScalar /@ %
NormTo\epsilonNoABS = %;
AddEquation@NormTo\epsilonNoABS;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, NormTo\epsilonNoABS];
```

```
Out[6]= n_\alpha n^\alpha == \epsilon
```

```
Out[7]= (n_\alpha n^\alpha) == \epsilon
```

```
In[8]:= AutomaticRules[n, ApplyRule@NormTo\epsilonNoABS]
```

Rules {1, 2} have been declared as generic Rules.

```
In[9]:= $Rules
Out[9]= \left\{ HoldPattern\left[ \left( n_{\underline{\alpha}} n^{\underline{\alpha}} \right) \right] \rightarrow Module[\{\}, \epsilon], HoldPattern\left[ \left( n_{\underline{\alpha}} n^{\underline{\alpha}} \right) \right] \rightarrow Module[\{\}, \epsilon] \right\}
```

Test whether the rules hold accordingly:

```
In[°]:= n[-α] × n[α] × n[-μ] × n[μ] × n[σ] × n[-σ]
% // PutScalar
% /. $Rules
```

```
Out[°]= nα nα nμ nμ nσ nσ
```

```
Out[°]= (nα nα) (nμ nμ) (nσ nσ)
```

```
Out[°]= ∈
```

We have to introduce rule for $(n_\mu n^\nu)_{;\nu} = 0 \implies n_{\mu;\nu} n^\nu = 0$

```
In[°]:= CD[-ν] @ (n[α] × n[-α]) = 0
Times[% , 1 / 2] // ToCanonical
NGradNZero = %;
AddEquation@NGradNZero;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, NGradNZero];
```

```
Out[°]= nα nα;ν + nα nα;ν == 0
```

```
Out[°]= nα nα;ν == 0
```

```
In[°]:= DisplayEQ@$EquationsNormal
```

```
Out[°]//MatrixForm=

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon == (\text{ABS}[n_\mu n^\mu]) \\ \epsilon == (\text{ABS}[n_\mu n^\mu]) \\ (n_\alpha n^\alpha) == \epsilon \\ n^\alpha n_{\alpha;\nu} == 0 \end{cases}$$

```

Spatial “3D” metric

The structure followed is:

- Definition of the induced metric
 - note that previously stored convention is deployed here
- Fixing the printing out options
- Testing the display

Induced metric

Now we introduce the foliation through defining the induced metric (and it's Levi-Civita type covariant derivative).

Ignoring the (harmless) warning.

```
In[°]:= DefMetric[IMmetdet, h[-μ, -ν], cd, {"|", "D"}, InducedFrom → {g, n}]
$ExtrinsicKSign = ksign;
$AccelerationSign = asign;
```

DefMetric: There are already metrics {g} in vbundle TM.

1.25882

Now for the output print:

```
In[1]:= {PrintAs[ExtrinsicKh] ^= "K", PrintAs[Riemanncd] ^= "(3)R", PrintAs[RicciCd] ^= "(3)R",
PrintAs[RicciScalarcd] ^= "(3)R", PrintAs[Accelerationn] ^= "a"};
DisplayTest =
{Accelerationn[-\alpha], ExtrinsicKh[-\alpha, -\beta], Riemanncd[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta], RicciCd[-\alpha, -\beta]}

Out[1]= {a_\alpha, K_{\alpha\beta}, (3)R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, (3)R_{\alpha\beta}}
```

Orthogonality test. Above printed tensors should all be orthogonal to normal, thus projected through the induced metric tensor

```
In[2]:= Times[#, n[\alpha]] & /@ DisplayTest
Times[#, h[\alpha, \nu]] & /@ DisplayTest

Out[2]= {0, 0, 0, 0}

Out[3]= {a^\nu, K_\beta^\nu, (3)R^\nu_{\beta\gamma\delta}, (3)R^\nu_\beta}
```

```
In[4]:= Clear@DisplayTest
```

Would the orthogonality not hold, another terms would appear:

```
In[5]:= RicciCD[-\alpha, -\beta] \times h[\alpha, \nu]
(ProjectorToMetric[%] // ContractMetric) /. \$Rules

Out[5]= h^{\alpha\nu} R_{\alpha\beta}
```

```
Out[6]= -\in n^\alpha n^\nu R_{\alpha\beta} + R^\nu_\beta
```

Basic induced metric properties and consequences

```
In[7]:= $Equations3Dmetric = {};
```

Basic results of induced decomposition:

Since induced metric is already defined, it is redundant to store following rules between the two existing metrics, they are automatically generated together with induced decomposition. Nevertheless it is desirable to deploy them and store them at will, in order to follow the already lined structure of the code.

Following is:

- Defining $h_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$ relations

```
In[8]:= h[-\mu, -\nu] == (h[-\mu, -\nu] // ProjectorToMetric) /. \$Rules
hToG = %;
AddEquation@hToG;
AddToList[$Equations3Dmetric, hToG];
```

```
Out[8]= h_{\mu\nu} == g_{\mu\nu} - \in n_\mu n_\nu
```

```
In[°]:= Solve[hTOg, g[-μ, -ν]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
gTOh = %;
AddEquation@gTOh;
AddToList[$Equations3Dmetric, gTOh];

Out[°]= {gμν → hμν + nμ nν}

Out[°]= gμν == hμν + nμ nν

In[°]:= DisplayEq@$Equations3Dmetric

Out[°]//MatrixForm=

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{\mu\nu} & == & g_{\mu\nu} - n_\mu n_\nu \\ g_{\mu\nu} & == & h_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

```

Covariant derivative of the normal

Following is:

- Defining relation between 4D gradient of the normal & 3D extrinsic curvature & normal acceleration field
- Fixing the normal acceleration definition

Now we wish to arrive at equation for the space-time covariant derivative of the normal in terms of Extrinsic Curvature tensor. It is achieved by:

```
In[°]:= CD[-β]@n[-α] == (CD[-β]@n[-α] // GradNormalToExtrinsicK)
CDN = %;
AddEquation@CDN;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, CDN];
```

Out[°]= nα;β == Kβα + aα nβ

```
In[°]:= Times[#, n[β]] & /@ CDN
ToCanonical /@ ContractMetric /@ %;
PutScalar /@ %
% /. $Rules
NCDNTOAcc = %;
AddEquation@NCDNTOAcc;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, NCDNTOAcc];
```

Out[°]= nβ nα;β == (Kβα + aα nβ) nβ

Out[°]= nβ nα;β == aα (nβ nβ)

Out[°]= nβ nα;β == aα

```
In[°]:= Solve[NCDNTOAcc, Accelerationn[-α]];
% // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
AccTOCDN = %;
AddEquation@AccTOCDN;
AddToList[$EquationsNormal, AccTOCDN];

Out[°]= {a_α → n^β n_{α;β}}
```

$$\text{Out}[°] = a_\alpha = n^\beta n_{\alpha;\beta}$$

```
In[°]:= DisplayEQ@$EquationsNormal
```

$$\text{Out}[°]\text{//MatrixForm} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \epsilon = (\text{ABS}[n_\mu n^\mu]) \\ \epsilon = (\text{ABS}[n_\mu n^\mu]) \\ (n_\alpha n^\alpha) = \epsilon \\ n^\alpha n_{\alpha;\nu} = 0 \\ n_{\alpha;\beta} = K_{\beta\alpha} + \epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta \\ n^\beta n_{\alpha;\beta} = a_\alpha \\ a_\alpha = n^\beta n_{\alpha;\beta} \end{array} \right)$$

Extrinsic curvature & 4D-Covariant derivative of the normal

```
In[°]:= $Equations3DExtCurv = {};
```

Following is:

- Defining the extrinsic curvature tensor
- Solving the previously developed relation **CDN** for 3D extrinsic curvature tensor

```
In[°]:= CDN
ProjectWith[h] /@ CDN
% // ScreenDollarIndices;
Solve[%, ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
ExtrinsicToGradNormal = %;
```

$$\text{Out}[°] = n_{\alpha;\beta} = K_{\beta\alpha} + \epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta$$

$$\text{Out}[°] = h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta n_{\gamma;\delta} = K_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\text{Out}[°] = \{K_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta n_{\gamma;\delta}\}$$

$$\text{Out}[°] = K_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta n_{\gamma;\delta}$$

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@ExtrinsicToGradNormal;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, ExtrinsicToGradNormal];
```

```
In[°]:= CDN
Solve[CDN, ExtrinsicKh[-β, -α]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
ExtrinsicKToCDNAcc = %;
AddEquation@ExtrinsicKToCDNAcc;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, ExtrinsicKToCDNAcc];

Out[°]= nα;β == Kβα + aα nβ

Out[°]= {Kβα → - aα nβ + nα;β}

Out[°]= Kβα == - aα nβ + nα;β
```

Lie derivative of induced metric to extrinsic curvature tensor

Following is:

- Establishing the relation between normal-4D-Lie derivative & the 3D Extrinsic curvature

Now we attempt to show that the Lie derivative along the normal field of induced metric is in fact twice the extrinsic curvature tensor, however this rule is now automated, one only has to account for symmetry of the induced metric, see:

```
In[°]:= LieD[n[α]]@h[-μ, -ν]
% // ToCanonical

Out[°]= Kμν + Kνμ

Out[°]= 2 Kμν
```

We nevertheless wish to store it. There are two (rather clumsy) options. First of them is to use Defer function, which protects the expression from being evaluated, yet prints it out normally. Second one is, again, to use Hold function, which displays Hold[...] expression. We choose to use the latter, as Defer function works poorly with function Solve when using xAct.

```
In[°]:= (Hold[LieD[n[α]]@h[-μ, -ν]]) == (LieD[n[α]]@h[-μ, -ν] // ToCanonical)
Solve[% , ExtrinsicKh[-μ, -ν]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
ExtrinsicKToLieD = %;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, ExtrinsicKToLieD];
```

```
Out[°]= Hold[ℒn hμν] == 2 Kμν

Out[°]= {Kμν → 1/2 Hold[ℒn hμν]}

Out[°]= Kμν == 1/2 Hold[ℒn hμν]
```

In[¹⁰]:= **DisplayEQ@\$Equations3DExtCurv**

Out[¹⁰]= MatrixForm

$$\begin{cases} K_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta n_{\gamma;\delta} \\ K_{\beta\alpha} = -\epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta + n_{\alpha;\beta} \\ K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Hold}[\mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}] \end{cases}$$

Induced covariant derivative and 3D Riemann tensor

Induced covariant derivative & it's properties

In[¹¹]:= **\$Equations3Dderivative = {};**

General definition & some of it's expressions involving $K_{\alpha\beta}$ & a_α

The 3D derivative **cd** was already defined together with induced metric $h_{\mu\nu}$.

Following is:

- Vector V^α orthogonal to n_α is defined
- It's 3D-covariant derivative is introduced from definition and worked upon
- 3D-covariant divergence is developed

Also worth of mentioning is that these rules albeit expressed for some general vector V^α can be

In[¹²]:= **DefTensor[V[\alpha], M, OrthogonalTo → {n[-\alpha]}, ProjectedWith → {h[-\alpha, -\beta]}];**

In[¹³]:= **cd[-\beta]@V[-\alpha] = CD[-\delta]@V[-\gamma] × h[\delta, -\beta] × h[\gamma, -\alpha]**
cd3DCovector = %;

Out[¹³]= $V_\alpha|_\beta = h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta V_{\gamma;\delta}$

In[¹⁴]:= **AddEquation@cd3DCovector;**
AddToList[\$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DCovector];

```
In[]:= cd[-β]@V[-α] == CD[-δ]@V[-γ] × h[δ, -β] (h[γ, -α] /. ApplyRule@hTOg)
% // Expand // ScreenDollarIndices // ContractMetric;
% /. MakeRule[{n[γ] × CD[-δ]@V[-γ], -CD[-δ]@n[γ] × V[-γ]}],
  MetricOn → All, ContractMetrics → True]
(*Same as for normal, since vector V was defined as orthogonal to the normal field,
it holds that covariant derivative of their contraction is zero,
thus we deploy reverse Leibnitz rule
- as this adjustment is not interesting on itself,
we do not store it for further usage*)
cd3DCovectorExpanded = %;
% /. ApplyRule@CDN;
% // Expand
cd3CDCovectorExtrK = %;

Out[=]= Vα|β == hδβ (δαγ - ∈ nα nγ) Vγ;δ
```

```
Out[=]= Vα|β == ∈ hδβ nα Vγ nγ;δ + hδβ Vα;δ
```

```
Out[=]= Vα|β == ∈ Kγβ nα Vγ + hδβ Vα;δ
```

```
In[]:= AddEquation@cd3DCovectorExpanded;
AddEquation@cd3CDCovectorExtrK;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DCovectorExpanded];
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3CDCovectorExtrK];
```

Important realization: These rules are in fact all we will need for expressing the 3D-derivative of any co/vector field orthogonal to the normal!

Every such field can now substituted for V_α in above gradients via WL Rule For example the normal acceleration field a_α :

```
In[]:= cd3CDCovectorExtrK
% /. V → Accelerationn
```

```
Out[=]= Vα|β == ∈ Kγβ nα Vγ + hδβ Vα;δ
```

```
Out[=]= aα|β == ∈ aγ Kγβ nα + hδβ aα;δ
```

The same 3D-gradient relations hold for 3D contravariant gradient $V^\alpha, V^{\alpha|\beta}$. The same identities are automatically recognized from xAct pattern matching from the previous $V_{\alpha|\beta}$ identities. Therefore we do not display them separately.

However induced divergence deserves it's own display:

```
In[]:= cd[-λ]@V[λ] == h[λ, ν] × cd[-λ]@V[-ν]
cd3DDivTOContr = %;
```

```
Out[=]= Vλ|λ == hλν Vν|λ
```

```
In[]:= AddEquation@cd3DDivTOContr;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DDivTOContr];
```

```

In[°]:= cd[-λ] @V[λ] == h[λ, ν] × ProjectWith[h] [CD[-λ] @V[-ν] ]
cd3DDivTOCDContr = %;

Out[°]= Vλ|λ == hβα Vβ;α

In[°]:= AddEquation@cd3DDivTOCDContr;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DDivTOCDContr];

In[°]:= cd3DDivTOCDContr /. ApplyRule@hT0g;
% // Expand // ContractMetric
cd3DDivTOCDExpanded = %;
% /. MakeRule[{n[β] × CD[-α] @V[-β], -CD[-α] @n[β] × V[-β]}, 
    MetricOn → All, ContractMetrics → True] // ScreenDollarIndices
% /. ApplyRule@NCDNTOAcc
cd3DDivTOAccCD = %;

Out[°]= Vλ|λ == Vα;α - ∈ nα nβ Vβ;α

Out[°]= Vλ|λ == ∈ nα Vβ nβ;α + Vα;α

Out[°]= Vλ|λ == ∈ aβ Vβ + Vα;α

In[°]:= AddEquation@cd3DDivTOCDExpanded;
AddEquation@cd3DDivTOAccCD;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DDivTOCDExpanded];
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DDivTOAccCD];

```

Induced 3D-Riemann tensor

The 3D-Riemann tensor arises from relation (note that the **cd** - 3D covariant derivative, is tied to the connection of the Levi-Civita type and thus has vanishing torsion).

Following is:

- The 3D-Riemann tensor commutator definition

```

In[°]:= Riemannncd[μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × V[-μ] == cd[-λ] @cd[-κ] @V[-ν] - cd[-κ] @cd[-λ] @V[-ν]
Riemann3Dcommutdef = %;

Out[°]= (3) Rμνκλ Vμ == - Vν|λ|κ + Vν|κ|λ

In[°]:= AddEquation@Riemann3Dcommutdef;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, Riemann3Dcommutdef];

```

In[¹]:= **DisplayEQ@\$Equations3Dderivative**

Out[¹]= MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_{\alpha|\beta} = h^\gamma_\alpha h^\delta_\beta V_{\gamma;\delta} \\ V_{\alpha|\beta} = \in h^\delta_\beta n_\alpha V_\gamma n^\gamma_{;\delta} + h^\delta_\beta V_{\alpha;\delta} \\ V_{\alpha|\beta} = \in K^\gamma_\beta n_\alpha V_\gamma + h^\delta_\beta V_{\alpha;\delta} \\ V^\lambda_{|\lambda} = h^{\lambda\nu} V_{\nu|\lambda} \\ V^\lambda_{|\lambda} = h^{\beta\alpha} V_{\beta;\alpha} \\ V^\lambda_{|\lambda} = V^\alpha_{;\alpha} - \in n^\alpha n^\beta V_{\beta;\alpha} \\ V^\lambda_{|\lambda} = \in a^\beta V_\beta + V^\alpha_{;\alpha} \\ (3) R^\mu_{\nu\kappa\lambda} V_\mu = -V_{\nu|\lambda|\kappa} + V_{\nu|\kappa|\lambda} \end{array} \right.$$

Projectors

Following is :

- Explanation of an object called Projector (in xAct)
- Fixing printing out option of some projected entities

In further chapters projectors involving induced metric will appear. They are just regular contraction with metric in all indices through $h_{\alpha\beta}$, however they are stored as different object. For example the Riemann tensor projected through induced metric reads:

In[²]:= **Projectorh[RiemannCD[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta]] = ProjectWith[h][RiemannCD[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta]]**
 Out[²]= $P_h[R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}] = h_\alpha^\mu h_\beta^\nu h_\gamma^\kappa h_\delta^\lambda R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$

Orthogonal projection by those projectors do not change already spatial quantities. We have to explicitly ask xAct to fix the printout for such objects. We enforce this behaviour by function

HoldPattern. The function **OrthogonalToVectorQ[...]**@expr then checks whether expression is orthogonal to the argument of this function, and returns boolean value. This is implemented by **Condition**, or /; in short.

We enforce the behavior for both lie derivative and space-time derivative.

In[³]:= **HoldPattern@Projectorh@LieD[n[\sigma_]]@expr_ :=**
LieD[n[\sigma]]@expr /; OrthogonalToVectorQ[n]@expr
 In[⁴]:= **HoldPattern@Projectorh@CD[\alpha_}@expr_ := cd[\alpha]@expr /; OrthogonalToVectorQ[n]@expr**

And check whether we have prompted the behaviour correctly:

In[⁵]:= **DisplayTest1 = Projectorh@LieD[n[\alpha]]@Accelerationn[-\beta]**
DisplayTest2 = Projectorh@CD[-\alpha]@Accelerationn[-\beta]
{n[\beta] \times Accelerationn[-\beta], n[\beta]} DisplayTest1, (n[\beta]) DisplayTest2 // ToCanonical}

Out[⁵]= $\mathcal{L}_n a_\beta$

Out[⁵]= $a_\beta|_\alpha$

Out[⁵]= {0, 0, 0}

Orthogonality holds.

```
In[1]:= Clear@DisplayTest1
Clear@DisplayTest2
```

Riemann, Ricci and Ricci scalar tensors 3+1 decomposition

Choice of approach, last preparations before the decomposition

Quick remark about further approach & the GaussCodazzi xAct function.

At this point two options are at hand.

First, working out the decomposition of the Riemann tensor manually as:

```
In[2]:= RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] ==
RiemannCD[μ, ν, κ, λ] (g[-μ, -α] × g[-ν, -β] × g[-κ, -γ] × g[-λ, -δ]) /. ApplyRule@gTOh)
% // Expand;
% // ToCanonical

Out[2]= Rαβγδ == (hκγ + nγ nκ) (hλδ + nδ nλ) (hμα + nα nμ) (hνβ + nβ nν) Rμνκλ

Out[3]= Rαβγδ == hακ hβλ hγμ hδν Rκλμν + hβλ hγμ hδν nα nκ Rκλμν -
          hαλ hγμ hδν nβ nκ Rκλμν + hβμ hδν nα nγ nκ nλ Rκμλν -
          hαμ hγν nβ nγ nκ nλ Rκμλν - hβμ hγν nα nδ nκ nλ Rκμλν +
          hαμ hγν nβ nδ nκ nλ Rκμλν + hαλ hβμ hδν nγ nκ Rκνλμ - hαλ hβμ hγν nδ nκ Rκνλμ
```

And from here expressing every Riemann projection term from already known identities for 3D-covariant gradient such as

```
In[4]:= (RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × V[μ] == CD[-λ] @ CD[-κ] @ V[-ν] - CD[-κ] @ CD[-λ] @ V[-ν] // 
ProjectWith[h]) /. V → n
CDN
(CD[-γ] /@ %) // Expand

Out[4]= hκα hλβ hγν nμ Rμγαβ == -hκα hλβ hγν nγ;β;α + hκα hλβ hγν nγ;α;β

Out[5]= nα;β == Kβα + aα nβ

Out[6]= nα;β;γ == nβ aα;γ + Kβα;γ + aα nβ;γ
```

This approach is obvious and involves a lot of work.

Second option, using xAct's GaussCodazzi function, which already contains all the projections.

Full decomposition of the Riemann tensor is, after defining the normal and induced metric is as follows:

```
In[1]:= RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] == GaussCodazzi[RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]] /. $Rules
Out[1]= Rαβγδ == Kαδ Kβγ - nα nγ Kβδ - aβ aδ nα nγ - KβL KδL nα nγ + aα aδ nβ nγ +
KαL KδL nβ nγ + aβ aγ nα nδ + KβL KγL nα nδ - aα aγ nβ nδ - KαL KγL nβ nδ -
nβ nδ Ph[nL Kαγ;L] + nβ nγ Ph[nL Kαδ;L] + nα nδ Ph[nL Kβγ;L] - nα nγ Ph[nL Kβδ;L] +
(3) Rαβγδ + nδ Kβγ|α - nγ Kβδ|α - nδ Kαγ|β + nγ Kαδ|β + nβ Kαδ|γ - nα Kβδ|γ +
(nβ nδ aα|γ - nα nδ aβ|γ - nβ nγ aα|δ + nα nγ aβ|δ) - nβ Kαγ|δ + nα Kβγ|δ
```

As we can see term by term, we have every we need already decomposed into 3D entities, **with the exception of the space-time covariant gradient of the extrinsic curvature tensor**. We wish to express the equations which take account every single possible AND unique projection of the space-time Riemann tensor

- Project through every free index → Gauss Equation
- First contract in either one of the first pair of indices with the normal, then project through the remaining three indices → Codazzi Equation
- First contract in either one of the first pair AND in either one of the second pair of indices, then project through remaining two indices → Ricci Equation

We will express the Ricci equation firstly with space-time covariant gradient of the extrinsic curvature explicitly, and then convert the gradient into another terms, one of which will contain the Lie derivative of extrinsic curvature.

For that we will develop the decomposition of the covariant gradient of extrinsic curvature.

Orthogonal decomposition of $K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$

Following is:

- Derivation of the decomposition of term $n^\gamma K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$ from the normal-4D-Lie derivative
- Decomposition of the term $K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$ into 3D entities

The starting point is the Lie derivative which we write out explicitly as an equation, and expand the Lie derivative into space-time covariant derivative. We wish to first find an equation to decompose $n^\gamma K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$ into purely spatial terms, one of which will involve normal-4D-Lie derivative.

Amidst the adjustments a need arises to first decompose the gradient of the normal into extrinsic curvature, then, to get rid of other terms involving normal and extrinsic curvature such as $n^\beta K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$, we deploy the fact that $\theta = (n^\beta K_{\alpha\beta})_{;\gamma} = n^\beta_{;\gamma} K_{\alpha\beta} + n^\beta K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$ and deploy Leibniz rule as a temporary rule. That gives raise to terms involving the gradient of the normal, thus we again convert those terms into extrinsic curvature.

```
In[16]:= LieD[n[σ]]@ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β] == LieDToCovD[LieD[n[σ]]@ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β], CD]
ScreenDollarIndices /@%;
MapAt[Hold, %, Position[%, n[γ] × CD[-γ] [ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β]]]];
Solve[%, Hold[n[γ] × CD[-γ] [ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β]]]] // Flatten // ReleaseHold;
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal;
% // GradNormalToExtrinsicK;
% // ToCanonical
NCDExtrinsicK = %;
```

$$\text{Out}[¹⁶] = \mathcal{L}_n K_{αβ} = K_{γβ} n^γ_{;α} + K_{αγ} n^γ_{;β} + n^γ K_{αβ;γ}$$

$$\text{Out}[¹⁶] = n^γ K_{αβ;γ} = -2 K^γ_{α} K_{βγ} - \in a^γ K_{βγ} n_α - \in a^γ K_{αγ} n_β + \mathcal{L}_n K_{αβ}$$

```
In[17]:= AddEquation@NCDExtrinsicK;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, NCDExtrinsicK];
```

Now we wish to liberate extrinsic curvature gradient along the normal from the normal.

We start with the general relation, when convert the gradient along the normal into terms involving the Lie derivative (using **NCDExtrinsicK**), and we make a temporary rule (as previously) in order to use Leibniz rule.

```
In[18]:= CD[-α]@ExtrinsicKh[-β, -γ] ==
InducedDecomposition[CD[-α]@ExtrinsicKh[-β, -γ], {h, n}];
% /. ApplyRule@NCDExtrinsicK;
Expand /@%;
% /. MakeRule[{n[γ] × CD[-α]@ExtrinsicKh[-β, -γ],
-CD[-α]@n[γ] × ExtrinsicKh[-β, -γ]}, MetricOn → All, ContractMetrics → True];
% // GradNormalToExtrinsicK;
% // Expand;
% /. Projectorh → ProjectWith[h];
NoScalar /@%;
PutScalar /@%;
ToCanonical /@%;
% /. $Rules
DecoCDExtrinsicK = %;
```

$$\text{Out}[¹⁸] = K_{βγ;α} = -2 \in K^δ_{β} K_{γδ} n_α - \in K^δ_{α} K_{γδ} n_β - \\ a^δ K_{γδ} n_α n_β - \in K^δ_{α} K_{βδ} n_γ - a^δ K_{βδ} n_α n_γ + K_{βγ|α} + \in n_α (\mathcal{L}_n K_{βγ})$$

```
In[19]:= AddEquation@DecoCDExtrinsicK;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, DecoCDExtrinsicK];
```

```
In[20]:= DisplayEQ@$Equations3DExtCurv
```

```
Out[20]//MatrixForm=
```

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_{αβ} = h^γ_α h^δ_β n_{γ;δ} \\ K_{βα} = - \in a_α n_β + n_{α;β} \\ K_{μν} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Hold}[\mathcal{L}_n h_{μν}] \\ n^γ K_{αβ;γ} = -2 K^γ_{α} K_{βγ} - \in a^γ K_{βγ} n_α - \in a^γ K_{αγ} n_β + \mathcal{L}_n K_{αβ} \\ K_{βγ;α} = -2 \in K^δ_{β} K_{γδ} n_α - \in K^δ_{α} K_{γδ} n_β - a^δ K_{γδ} n_α n_β - \in K^δ_{α} K_{βδ} n_γ - a^δ K_{βδ} n_α n_γ + K_{βγ} \end{array} \right.$$

3+1 Riemann tensor decomposition

Every necessity for decomposition is taken care of, thus we embark on expressing the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equation for the Riemann tensor.

As stated previously, the approach is to take general (pre-calculated in xAct) decomposition of the Riemann tensor and factor out every term, expand upon possible different (and interesting) formulations, and then factor out the decomposition in somewhat more readable form.

Stressing of the last time that the entire approach could be done without apriori calculated decomposition, however the latter approach is more demanding. The Gauss/Codazzi/Ricci equations are well known results, thus there's little benefit in "verifying them" explicitly here.

Following is:

- Factoring out the Gauss & Codazzi equations (straightforward task) for Riemann tensor
- Factoring out 3 different formulations of the Ricci equation
 - First of the formulations is just the basic definition
 - Second formulation involves the gradient of the extrinsic curvature along the normal field, $n^\gamma K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}$
 - Third formulation involves somewhat "same" information in the language of the normal 4D-Lie derivative, $\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta}$

In[1]:= \$EquationsRiemann3DDeco = {};

In[2]:= RiemannCD[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta] == GaussCodazzi[RiemannCD[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta], h]
Deco3DRiemannFull = %;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out[2]}= R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &== (3) R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{K_\beta^\mu K_{\delta\mu} n_\alpha n_\gamma}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \frac{K_\alpha^\mu K_{\delta\mu} n_\beta n_\gamma}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \frac{K_\beta^\mu K_{\gamma\mu} n_\alpha n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} - \frac{K_\alpha^\mu K_{\gamma\mu} n_\beta n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} - \\ &\quad \frac{n_\beta n_\delta P_h[n^\mu K_{\alpha\gamma;\mu}]}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \frac{n_\beta n_\gamma P_h[n^\mu K_{\alpha\delta;\mu}]}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \frac{n_\alpha n_\delta P_h[n^\mu K_{\beta\gamma;\mu}]}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} - \frac{n_\alpha n_\gamma P_h[n^\mu K_{\beta\delta;\mu}]}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \\ &\quad \frac{K_{\alpha\delta} K_{\beta\gamma}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{K_{\alpha\gamma} K_{\beta\delta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{a_\beta a_\delta n_\alpha n_\gamma}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{a_\alpha a_\delta n_\beta n_\gamma}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{a_\beta a_\gamma n_\alpha n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{a_\alpha a_\gamma n_\beta n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \\ &\quad \frac{n_\delta K_{\beta\gamma|\alpha}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\gamma K_{\beta\delta|\alpha}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\delta K_{\alpha\gamma|\beta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{n_\gamma K_{\alpha\delta|\beta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{n_\beta K_{\alpha\delta|\gamma}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\alpha K_{\beta\delta|\gamma}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \\ &\quad \epsilon \left(\frac{n_\beta n_\delta a_{\alpha|\gamma}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\alpha n_\delta a_{\beta|\gamma}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\beta n_\gamma a_{\alpha|\delta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{n_\alpha n_\gamma a_{\beta|\delta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} \right) - \frac{n_\beta K_{\alpha\gamma|\delta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{n_\alpha K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

The Gauss equation

Gauss equation arises from projecting space-time Riemann tensor through all it's free indices. We store it twice, once without and once without the explicit norm display

```
In[°]:= ProjectWith[h][Deco3DRiemannFull]
% /. $Rules
GaussEquation = %;

Out[°]=  $h_\alpha^{\lambda} h_\beta^{\kappa} h_\gamma^{\lambda} h_\delta^{\mu} R_{\nu\kappa\lambda\mu} = {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} + \frac{K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)}$ 

Out[°]=  $h_\alpha^{\lambda} h_\beta^{\kappa} h_\gamma^{\lambda} h_\delta^{\mu} R_{\nu\kappa\lambda\mu} = K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}$ 

In[°]:= AddEquation@GaussEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, GaussEquation];
```

The Codazzi equation

Again, from the full decomposition of Riemann we need to get the Codazzi equation, this time through contracting the normal once with the second Riemann index.

```
In[°]:= Deco3DRiemannFull // ScreenDollarIndices;
PrepCodazzi = Times[%, n[\alpha]] // ToCanonical

Out[°]=  $-n^\alpha R_{\beta\alpha\gamma\delta} = -\frac{K_\beta^{\lambda} K_{\delta\lambda} n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\gamma}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)^2} + \frac{K_\beta^{\lambda} K_{\gamma\lambda} n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\delta}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)^2} + \frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\delta P_h[n^\lambda K_{\beta\gamma;\lambda}]}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)^2} -$ 
 $\frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\gamma P_h[n^\lambda K_{\beta\delta;\lambda}]}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)^2} - \frac{a_\beta a_\delta n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\gamma}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)} + \frac{a_\beta a_\gamma n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\delta}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)} -$ 
 $\in n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\delta a_{\beta|\gamma} - \frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha K_{\beta\delta|\gamma}}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)} + \frac{\epsilon n_\alpha n^\alpha n_\gamma a_{\beta|\delta}}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)} + \frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)}$ 
```

```
In[°]:= ProjectWith[h][PrepCodazzi]
PutScalar /@ %
ToCanonical /@ %
CodazziEquation = %;

Out[°]=  $-h_\beta^{\lambda} h_\gamma^{\kappa} h_\delta^{\lambda} n^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha\kappa\lambda} = -\frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha K_{\beta\delta|\gamma}}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)} + \frac{n_\alpha n^\alpha K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}}{(n_\alpha n^\alpha)}$ 

Out[°]=  $-h_\beta^{\lambda} h_\gamma^{\kappa} h_\delta^{\lambda} n^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha\kappa\lambda} = -K_{\beta\delta|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}$ 

Out[°]=  $h_\beta^{\lambda} h_\gamma^{\kappa} h_\delta^{\lambda} n^\alpha R_{\alpha\kappa\lambda} = -K_{\beta\delta|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}$ 
```

```
In[°]:= Clear[PrepCodazzi];
In[°]:= AddEquation@CodazziEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, CodazziEquation];
```

The Ricci Equation

Same as with Codazzi equation, albeit now we have to contract with normal twice, in first and third index.

We wish to store three versions of the Ricci Equation.

- The “simple” result of projections, orthogonality with the normal field & symmetries of Riemann
- Second involving the spacetime gradient of the extrinsic curvature along the normal field
- Third involving 4D-Lie derivative of the curvature along the normal

First, the “trivial” observation:

```
In[1]:= ProjectWith[h][RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] × n[α] × n[γ]] ==
  (ProjectWith[h][RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] × n[α] × n[γ]] /. ApplyRule@hToG)
% // Expand
ToCanonical //%
RicciEquation1 = %;

Out[1]= hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ == nα nγ (δβλ - ∈ nβ nλ) (δδκ - ∈ nδ nκ) Rαλγκ

Out[2]= hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ ==
  nα nγ Rαβγδ - ∈ nα nγ nδ nλ Rαβγλ - ∈ nα nβ nγ nλ Rαλγδ + nα nβ nγ nδ nλ nκ Rαλγκ

Out[3]= hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ == nα nγ Rβαδγ
```

```
In[4]:= AddEquation@RicciEquation1;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, RicciEquation1];
```

For other two expressions, we have to use the full decomposition:

```
In[5]:= Deco3DRiemannFull // ScreenDollarIndices;
Times[n[α] × n[γ], %];
% // Expand
RicciPrep = %;

Out[5]= nα nγ Rαβγδ == - Kβλ Kδλ nα nα nγ nγ
          (nμ nμ)2 -
          nα nα nγ nγ Ph[nλ Kβδ;λ] - aβ aδ nα nα nγ nγ +
          nα nα nγ nγ aβ|δ
          (nμ nμ)2 - (nμ nμ) + (nμ nμ)
```

```
In[6]:= ProjectWith[h][RicciPrep]
% /. Projectorh → ProjectWith[h];
PutScalar //%;
ToCanonical //%;
% /. $Rules
RicciEquation2 = %;

Out[6]= hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ == - Kλδ Kβλ nα nα nγ nγ
          (nμ nμ)2 -
          hβκ hδλ nα nα nγ nγ Ph[nλ Kκλ;λ] - aβ aδ nα nα nγ nγ +
          nα nα nγ nγ aβ|δ
          (nμ nμ)2 - (nμ nμ) + (nμ nμ)

Out[7]= hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ == - ∈ aβ aδ - Kβλ Kδλ + aβ|δ - hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ

In[8]:= AddEquation@RicciEquation2;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, RicciEquation2];
```

We have to first learn how to decompose the Lie derivative along the normal of the extrinsic curvature tensor. We see, that it is, indeed, purely spatial:

```
In[#]:= LieD[n[ $\sigma$ ]] @ ExtrinsicKh[- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ ]
OrthogonalToVectorQ[n] [%]
```

```
Out[#]=  $\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta}$ 
```

```
Out[#]= True
```

We first wish to know what is the result of $h^\alpha_\mu h^\beta_\nu (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta})$

```
In[#]:= h[ $\alpha$ , - $\mu$ ]  $\times$  h[ $\beta$ , - $\nu$ ]  $\times$  LieD[n[ $\sigma$ ]] @ ExtrinsicKh[- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ ]
```

```
% /. ApplyRule@hT0g
```

```
% // Expand
```

```
Out[#]=  $h^\alpha_\mu h^\beta_\nu (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta})$ 
```

```
Out[#]=  $(\delta_\mu^\alpha - \epsilon n^\alpha n_\mu) (\delta_\nu^\beta - \epsilon n^\beta n_\nu) (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta})$ 
```

```
Out[#]=  $\mathcal{L}_n K_{\mu\nu}$ 
```

So this particular combination of projectors and derivative results in lowering the indices inside the derivative operator. That is however not true generally. Yet, to NOT undergo this process again, we prepare a rule for this (and only this) particular term. This rule will result in a warning, which we will ignore **for this term**, as the step is verified.

```
In[#]:= h[ $\alpha$ , - $\mu$ ]  $\times$  h[ $\beta$ , - $\nu$ ]  $\times$  LieD[n[ $\sigma$ ]] @ ExtrinsicKh[- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ ] == LieD[n[ $\sigma$ ]] @ ExtrinsicKh[- $\mu$ , - $\nu$ ]
ContrLieDextrinsicK = %;
AddEquation@ContrLieDextrinsicK;
```

```
Out[#]=  $h^\alpha_\mu h^\beta_\nu (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta}) == \mathcal{L}_n K_{\mu\nu}$ 
```

```

In[]:= ProjectWith[h] [RicciPrep]
% /. ApplyRule@NCDEtrinsick
% /. Projectorh → ProjectWith[h];
PutScalar /@%;
ToCanonical /@%;
% /. $Rules
% /. ApplyRule@ContrLieDextrinsicK (*we ignore the warning,
as we confirmed the correctness of this step above*)
RicciEquation3 = %;

Out[=]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\lambda\gamma\kappa} = - \frac{K_{\lambda\delta} K_{\beta}^{\lambda} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} -$$


$$\frac{h_{\beta}^{\kappa} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma} P_h[n^{\lambda} K_{\kappa\lambda;\lambda}]}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} - \frac{a_{\beta} a_{\delta} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \frac{\epsilon n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma} a_{\beta|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})}$$


Out[=]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\lambda\gamma\kappa} = - \frac{K_{\lambda\delta} K_{\beta}^{\lambda} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} - \frac{a_{\beta} a_{\delta} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} +$$


$$\frac{\epsilon n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma} a_{\beta|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n_{\gamma} n^{\gamma} (-2 P_h[K_{\lambda}^{\lambda} K_{\kappa\lambda}] + \mathcal{L}_n K_{\lambda\kappa})}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2}$$


Out[=]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\lambda\gamma\kappa} = -\epsilon a_{\beta} a_{\delta} + K_{\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\delta\lambda} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\lambda\alpha})$$


** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[=]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\lambda\gamma\kappa} = -\epsilon a_{\beta} a_{\delta} + K_{\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\delta\lambda} + a_{\beta|\delta} - \mathcal{L}_n K_{\beta\delta}$$


In[]:= Clear[RicciPrep];

In[]:= AddEquation@RicciEquation3;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, RicciEquation3];

```

3+1 Ricci tensor decomposition

```
In[]:= $EquationsRicci3DDeco = {};
```

In this chapter the exact same approach as for 3+1 decomposition of the Riemann tensor is followed, this time for the Ricci curvature tensor.

In[[#]]:= **RiemannCD**[$\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, -\delta$] == **GaussCodazzi**[**RiemannCD**[$\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, -\delta$], h]
Deco3DRicciFull = %;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out}[[#]] = R_{\beta\delta} &== (3)R_{\beta\delta} - \frac{K_{\beta}^{\gamma} K_{\delta\gamma} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} - \frac{K_{\alpha}^{\gamma} K_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} - \frac{n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} P_h[n^{\gamma} K_{\beta\delta;\gamma}]}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} + \\ &\frac{K_{\alpha\delta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{K_{\alpha}^{\alpha} K_{\beta\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{a_{\beta} a_{\delta} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{a_{\alpha} a^{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{n_{\beta} n_{\delta} (n^{\beta} K_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{;\beta})}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} + \\ &\frac{n_{\delta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha}_{|\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \frac{n_{\beta} K_{\alpha\delta}^{|\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{n_{\delta} K_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{|\beta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \in \left(\frac{n_{\beta} n_{\delta} a_{\alpha}^{|\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \frac{n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} \right) - \frac{n_{\beta} K_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} \end{aligned}$$

Gauss equation variation for the Ricci Tensor

In[[#]]:= **Deco3DRicciFull** // **ScreenDollarIndices**;

ProjectWith[h] [%]

% /. **Projectorh** \rightarrow **ProjectWith**[h]

NoScalar /@ %;

PutScalar /@ %;

% /. **\$Rules**;

ToCanonical /@ %;

NoScalar /@ %;

% * (- ϵ) // **Expand**

RicciGaussEquation1 = %;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out}[[#]] = h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\gamma} &== (3)R_{\delta\beta} - \frac{K_{\gamma\delta} K_{\beta}^{\gamma} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} - \\ &\frac{h_{\beta}^{\kappa} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} P_h[n^{\gamma} K_{\kappa\lambda;\gamma}]}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} + \frac{K_{\alpha\delta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{K_{\alpha}^{\alpha} K_{\delta\beta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{a_{\beta} a_{\delta} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \frac{\in n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} \\ \text{Out}[[#]] = h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\gamma} &== (3)R_{\delta\beta} - \frac{K_{\gamma\delta} K_{\beta}^{\gamma} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} + \frac{K_{\alpha\delta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \\ &\frac{K_{\alpha}^{\alpha} K_{\delta\beta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{a_{\beta} a_{\delta} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} + \frac{\in n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{|\delta}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})} - \frac{h_{\beta}^{\kappa} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} K_{\kappa\lambda;\gamma}}{(n_{\mu} n^{\mu})^2} \\ \text{Out}[[#]] = -\in h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\gamma} &== \in a_{\beta} a_{\delta} + K_{\alpha}^{\alpha} K_{\beta\delta} - \in (3)R_{\beta\delta} - a_{\beta}^{|\delta} + h_{\beta}^{\gamma} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n^{\lambda} K_{\gamma\lambda;\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

In[[#]]:= **AddEquation**@**RicciGaussEquation1**;

AddToList[\$EquationsRicci3DDeco, **RicciGaussEquation1**];

Now we wish to use

In[[#]]:= **RicciGauss2Prep** = **RicciEquation2***(-1) // **ScreenDollarIndices**

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = -h_{\beta}^{\kappa} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\lambda\gamma\kappa} == \in a_{\beta} a_{\delta} + K_{\beta}^{\kappa} K_{\delta\kappa} - a_{\beta}^{|\delta} + h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} n^{\lambda} K_{\alpha\gamma;\lambda}$$

Treating the LHS first:

```

In[1]:= RicciGauss2Prep[[1]] /. ApplyRule@hTOg
% // ToCanonical
% == RicciGauss2Prep[[2]];
RicciPrep = % // ScreenDollarIndices

Out[1]= - nα nγ (δβλ - ∈ nβ nλ) (δδκ - ∈ nδ nκ) Rαλγκ

Out[2]= - nα nγ Rβαδγ

Out[3]= - nα nγ Rβαδγ == ∈ aβ aδ + Kβλ Kδλ - aβ|δ + hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ

Now solving for the hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ

In[4]:= Position[RicciGauss2Prep, h[-β, α] × h[-δ, γ] × n[λ] × CD[-λ] @ ExtrinsicKh[-α, -γ]];
MapAt[Hold, RicciGauss2Prep, %]
Solve[% , Hold[h[-β, α] × h[-δ, γ] × n[λ] × CD[-λ] @ ExtrinsicKh[-α, -γ]]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
% // ReleaseHold
NCDExtrinsicKDeco = %;

Out[4]= - hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ == ∈ aβ aδ + Kβλ Kδλ + Hold[hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ] - aβ|δ

Out[5]= {Hold[hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ] → - ∈ aβ aδ - Kβλ Kδλ - hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ + aβ|δ}

Out[6]= Hold[hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ] == - ∈ aβ aδ - Kβλ Kδλ - hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ + aβ|δ

Out[7]= hβα hδγ nλ Kαγ;λ == - ∈ aβ aδ - Kβλ Kδλ - hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ + aβ|δ

In[8]:= AddEquation@NCDExtrinsicKDeco;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, NCDExtrinsicKDeco];

In[9]:= RicciGaussEquation1 /. ApplyRule@NCDExtrinsicKDeco
RicciGaussEquation2 = %;

Out[9]= - ∈ hβα hδγ Rαγ == Kαβ Kβδ - Kβα Kδα - ∈ (3) Rβδ - hβλ hδκ nα nγ Rαλγκ

In[10]:= Clear[RicciGauss2Prep]

In[11]:= AddEquation@RicciGaussEquation2;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciGaussEquation2];

```

Codazzi equation variation for Ricci tensor

Again accounting for symmetries, the Codazzi equation for the Ricci tensor arises through contracting the Ricci tensor once with the normal, then projecting it with the induced metric:

```

In[°]:= Deco3DRicciFull // ScreenDollarIndices;
Times[% , n[β]] // Expand
PutScalar /@ %;
% /. $Rules
NoScalar /@ %
RicciCodPrep = %;

Out[°]=  $n^\beta R_{\beta\delta} = -\frac{K_\alpha^\gamma K_\gamma^\alpha n_\beta n^\beta n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} - \frac{a_\alpha a^\alpha n_\beta n^\beta n_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} -$ 
 $\frac{n_\beta n^\beta n_\delta (n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ; \beta)}{(n_\mu n^\mu)^2} + \frac{\epsilon n_\beta n^\beta n_\delta a_\alpha^{\mid\alpha}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} + \frac{n_\beta n^\beta K_{\alpha\delta}^{\mid\alpha}}{(n_\mu n^\mu)} - \frac{n_\beta n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha \mid_\delta}{(n_\mu n^\mu)}$ 

Out[°]=  $n^\beta R_{\beta\delta} = -n_\delta (a_\alpha a^\alpha) - \epsilon n_\delta (K_\alpha^\gamma K_\gamma^\alpha) + \epsilon n_\delta (a_\alpha^{\mid\alpha}) - \epsilon n_\delta (n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ; \beta) + K_{\alpha\delta}^{\mid\alpha} - K_\alpha^\alpha \mid_\delta$ 

Out[°]=  $n^\beta R_{\beta\delta} = -a_\alpha a^\alpha n_\delta - \epsilon K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha n_\delta + \epsilon n_\delta a_\alpha^{\mid\alpha} + K_{\alpha\delta}^{\mid\alpha} - K_\alpha^\alpha \mid_\delta - \epsilon n^\beta n_\delta K_\alpha^\alpha ; \beta$ 

In[°]:= ProjectWith[h] [RicciCodPrep]
ToCanonical /@ %
RicciCodazziEquation = %;

Out[°]=  $h_\delta^\alpha n^\beta R_{\beta\alpha} = K_{\alpha\delta}^{\mid\alpha} - K_\alpha^\alpha \mid_\delta$ 

Out[°]=  $h_\delta^\alpha n^\beta R_{\beta\alpha} = K_\delta^\alpha \mid_\alpha - K_\alpha^\alpha \mid_\delta$ 

In[°]:= Clear[RicciCodPrep];

In[°]:= AddEquation@RicciCodazziEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciCodazziEquation];

```

Ricci equation variation for Ricci tensor

The only remaining possibility is to contract twice with the normal:

```

In[°]:= Deco3DRicciFull // ScreenDollarIndices;
Times[% , n[β] × n[δ]];
% // Expand;
PutScalar /@ %;
% /. $Rules;
NoScalar /@ %
RicciRicciEquation = %;

Out[°]=  $n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} = -\epsilon a_\alpha a^\alpha - K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{\mid\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ; \beta$ 

In[°]:= AddEquation@RicciRicciEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciRicciEquation];

```

We can express this equation in three forms:

First of them is by making a slight adjustment to the last term (converting the gradient of Extrinsic curvature scalar to the normal field):

```

In[°]:= n[β] × CD[-β]@ExtrinsicKh[-α, α] ==
n[β] × CD[-β]@((h[α, γ] /. ApplyRule@hT0g) ExtrinsicKh[-α, -γ])
% // Expand // ScreenDollarIndices
% /. ApplyRule@gT0h
% // Expand
NCDScaleExtrKTONCDEExtrKContr = %;

Out[°]= n^β K_α^α;_β == n^β ((g^γα - n^α n^γ) K_αγ;_β - n_αγ K_αγ (n^γ n^α;_β + n^α n^γ;_β))

Out[°]= n^β K_α^α;_β == g^γα n^β K_αγ;_β - n^α n^β n^γ K_αγ;_β

Out[°]= n^β K_α^α;_β == - n^α n^β n^γ K_αγ;_β + n^β (h^γα + n^α n^γ) K_αγ;_β

Out[°]= n^β K_α^α;_β == h^γα n^β K_αγ;_β

In[°]:= AddEquation@NCDScaleExtrKTONCDEExtrKContr;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, NCDScaleExtrKTONCDEExtrKContr];

It will be useful to also store the inverse of this relation:

In[°]:= NCDScaleExtrKTONCDEExtrKContr
%[[2]] == %[[1]]
NCDEExtrKContrTONCDScaleExtrK = %;

Out[°]= n^β K_α^α;_β == h^γα n^β K_αγ;_β

Out[°]= h^γα n^β K_αγ;_β == n^β K_α^α;_β

In[°]:= AddEquation@NCDEExtrKContrTONCDScaleExtrK;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, NCDEExtrKContrTONCDScaleExtrK];

```

Using the obtained information on **RicciRicciEquation** gives us the first desired form:

```

In[°]:= RicciRicciEquation // ScreenDollarIndices
% /. ApplyRule@NCDScaleExtrKTONCDEExtrKContr
RicciRicciEquation1 = %;

Out[°]= n^α n^β R_αβ == - a_α a^α - K_α^β K^α_β + a_α^|α - n^β K_α^α;_β

Out[°]= n^α n^β R_αβ == - a_α a^α - K_α^β K^α_β + a_α^|α - h^γα n^β K_αγ;_β

In[°]:= AddEquation@RicciRicciEquation1;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciRicciEquation1];

```

Second version of the Ricci equation for Ricci tensor is expressed in terms of the Lie derivative

```

In[°]:= RicciRicciEquation /. ApplyRule@NCDEextrinsicK;
% /. ApplyRule@gT0h
% // Expand
RicciRicciEquation2 = %;

Out[°]= n^α n^β R_αβ == - a_α a^α + K_α^β K^α_β + a_α^|α - (h^αβ + n^α n^β) (L_n K_αβ)

Out[°]= n^α n^β R_αβ == - a_α a^α + K_α^β K^α_β + a_α^|α - h^αβ (L_n K_αβ)

```

```
In[10]:= AddEquation@RicciRicciEquation2;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciRicciEquation2];
```

Third version uses induced partial divergence equations for $V^\mu = a^\mu$. This substitution will be used often, it is therefore stored separately.

```
In[11]:= cd3DDivTOAccCD
% /. V → Accelerationn
cd3DDivAcc = %;
```

```
Out[11]=  $V^\lambda_{|\lambda} == \epsilon a^\beta V_\beta + V^\alpha_{;\alpha}$ 
```

```
Out[12]=  $a^\lambda_{|\lambda} == \epsilon a_\beta a^\beta + a^\alpha_{;\alpha}$ 
```

```
In[13]:= AddEquation@cd3DDivAcc;
AddToList[$Equations3Dderivative, cd3DDivAcc];
```

The immediate usage is to treat the RicciRicciEquation, the term $a^\lambda_{|\lambda}$ gives new information when expanded in this way:

```
In[14]:= RicciRicciEquation
% /. ApplyRule@cd3DDivAcc
% // ScreenDollarIndices;
% /. MakeRule[{CD[-β]@ExtrinsicKh[-α, α],
CD[-β]@((h[α, γ]) ExtrinsicKh[-α, -γ] /. ApplyRule@hToG)}]
RicciRicciEquation3 = %;
```

```
Out[14]=  $n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} == -\epsilon a_\alpha a^\alpha - K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{|\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha_{;\beta}$ 
```

```
Out[15]=  $n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} == -K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a^\alpha_{;\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha_{;\beta}$ 
```

```
In[16]:= AddEquation@RicciRicciEquation3;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, RicciRicciEquation3];
```

3+1 Ricci scalar decomposition

```
In[17]:= $EquationsRicciScalar3DDeco = {};
```

Iterating the same approach we arrive at the full decomposition of the Ricci Scalar

```

In[]:= RicciCD[] == GaussCodazzi[RicciScalarCD[], h] /. $Rules /. Projectorh → ProjectWith[h]
PutScalar /@ (-e %) /. $Rules
NoScalar /@ %;
% // ContractMetric;
% // ToCanonical
RicciScalarFullDeco = %;

Out[]= R == ∈ Kαβ Kβα - ∈ Kαα Kββ - ∈ aα aα nβ nβ - Kαγ Kγα nβ nβ -
aγ aγ nα nα nβ nβ - ∈ Kγδ Kδγ nα nα nβ nβ + (3)R - ∈ nα nα nβ nβ (nβ Kαα;β) +
hαβ nγ nγ aα|β + ∈ nα nα nβ nβ aγ|γ - hδγ nα nα nβ Kγδ;β

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
- ∈ (3)R + 2 ∈ (aα aα) + (Kαα)2 + (Kαβ Kβα) - (aα|α) - (hαβ aα|β) + (hγβ nα Kβγ;α) + (nβ Kαα;β)

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
2 ∈ aα aα + Kαβ Kαβ + Kαα Kββ - ∈ (3)R - 2 aα|α + hβγ nα Kβγ;α + nα Kβ;α

In[]:= AddEquation@RicciScalarFullDeco;
AddToList[$EquationsRicciScalar3DDeco, RicciScalarFullDeco];

This result, however straightforwardly it was acquired, should be further worked upon, as we already know how most of the terms relate to each other. We will use the following two equations for the adjustment:

In[]:= cd3DDivAcc
NCDEextrKContrTONCDScaleExtrK

Out[]= aλ|λ == ∈ aβ aβ + aα;α

Out[]= hγα nβ Kαγ;β == nβ Kαα;β

In[]:= RicciScalarFullDeco
% /. ApplyRule@cd3DDivAcc
% /. ApplyRule@NCDEextrKContrTONCDScaleExtrK
% // Expand // ToCanonical
RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1 = %;

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
2 ∈ aα aα + Kαβ Kαβ + Kαα Kββ - ∈ (3)R - 2 aα|α + hβγ nα Kβγ;α + nα Kβ;α

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
2 ∈ aα aα + Kαβ Kαβ + Kαα Kββ - ∈ (3)R - 2 ( ∈ aβ aβ + aα;α) + hβγ nα Kβγ;α + nα Kβ;α

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
2 ∈ aα aα + Kαβ Kαβ + Kαα Kββ - ∈ (3)R - 2 ( ∈ aβ aβ + aα;α) + nα Kβ;α + nβ Kαα;β

Out[]= - ∈ R ==
Kαβ Kαβ + Kαα Kββ - ∈ (3)R - 2 aα;α + 2 nα Kβ;α

In[]:= AddEquation@RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1;
AddToList[$EquationsRicciScalar3DDeco, RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1];

```

We now need to deploy the information about third Ricci tensor decomposition equation

```
In[1]:= RicciRicciEquation3 // ScreenDollarIndices
Solve[%, CD[-α]@Accelerationn[α]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
CDDIVAcc3DRicciRicci3 = %;

Out[1]=  $n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} == -K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a^\alpha_{;\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha_{;\beta}$ 

Out[2]=  $\left\{ a^\alpha_{;\alpha} \rightarrow K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} + n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha_{;\beta} \right\}$ 

Out[3]=  $a^\alpha_{;\alpha} == K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} + n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha_{;\beta}$ 

In[4]:= AddEquation@CDDIVAcc3DRicciRicci3;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci3DDeco, CDDIVAcc3DRicciRicci3];

Using this result to obtain second expression for Ricci scalar decomposition:

In[5]:= RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1
% /. ApplyRule@CDDIVAcc3DRicciRicci3;
% // Expand // ToCanonical
RicciScalar3DDecoEquation2 = %;

Out[5]=  $- \epsilon R == K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta - \epsilon^{(3)} R - 2 a^\alpha_{;\alpha} + 2 n^\alpha K^\beta_{\beta;\alpha}$ 

Out[6]=  $- \epsilon R == - K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta - 2 n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} - \epsilon^{(3)} R$ 

In[7]:= AddEquation@RicciScalar3DDecoEquation2;
AddToList[$EquationsRicciScalar3DDeco, RicciScalar3DDecoEquation2];
```

Vacuum equations, momentum and Hamiltonian constraints, and remarks about independent components

Together with the form of the equations constraints should be mentioned, also worth writing out is the vacuum case.

Independent components of the 3D-Riemann tensor

Following is:

- Expanding the 3D-Riemann tensor in the combination of the 3D-Ricci tensor & induced metric
- Using this knowledge to explicit (and very neat) expression of 3D-Kretschmann scalar

Stressing that 3D-Riemann tensor is fully determined by 3D-Ricci tensor, as it only has 6 independent components :

```
In[1]:= Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] ==
RicciScalarcd[] (h[-μ, -λ] × h[-ν, -κ] - h[-μ, -κ] × h[-ν, -λ]) / 2 +
h[-μ, -κ] × Riccicd[-ν, -λ] + h[-ν, -λ] × Riccicd[-μ, -κ] -
h[-μ, -λ] × Riccicd[-ν, -κ] - h[-ν, -κ] × Riccicd[-μ, -λ]
Riemann3DIndepComp = %;
```

```
Out[1]=  $(3) R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} == h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R_{\mu\kappa} - h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R_{\mu\lambda} - h_{\mu\lambda} (3) R_{\nu\kappa} + h_{\mu\kappa} (3) R_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} (h_{\mu\lambda} h_{\nu\kappa} - h_{\mu\kappa} h_{\nu\lambda}) (3) R$ 
```

In further chapters the Kretschmann scalar 3+1 decomposition will be worked upon. Now it is worth realizing, that the 3D-Riemann tensor square scalar (the 3D Kretschmann scalar, in fact) is written

out in neat form as:

```
In[#]:= AddEquation@Riemann3DIndepComp;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, Riemann3DIndepComp];

In[#]:= Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × Riemanncd[μ, ν, κ, λ] ==
(Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × Riemanncd[μ, ν, κ, λ]) /. ApplyRule@Riemann3DIndepComp)
% // Expand;
% // ToCanonical
KretschScal3DIndepComp = %;

Out[#]= 
$$(3) R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \quad (3) R^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} =$$


$$\left( h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R_{\mu\kappa} - h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R_{\mu\lambda} - h_{\mu\lambda} (3) R_{\nu\kappa} + h_{\mu\kappa} (3) R_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\lambda} h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\kappa} h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R \right)$$


$$\left( h^{\nu\lambda} (3) R^{\mu\kappa} - h^{\nu\kappa} (3) R^{\mu\lambda} - h^{\mu\lambda} (3) R^{\nu\kappa} + h^{\mu\kappa} (3) R^{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\lambda} h^{\nu\kappa} (3) R - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\kappa} h^{\nu\lambda} (3) R \right)$$


Out[#]= 
$$(3) R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (3) R^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = 4 (3) R_{\kappa\lambda} \quad (3) R^{\kappa\lambda} - (3) R^2$$


In[#]:= AddEquation@KretschScal3DIndepComp;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann3DDeco, KretschScal3DIndepComp];
```

The vacuum case

```
In[#]:= $EquationsNormalChange = {};
```

For the vacuum (obviously) the Ricci tensor & scalar vanish (unlike the Riemann tensor, which still can remain nonzero - remember, the Einstein equations determine only the Ricci tensor, they do not “limit” for the topology of the space-time - therein lies the apriori non-vanishing nature of some of the Riemann tensor components).

Following is:

- Storing the vanishing of Ricci tensor & scalar in the vacuum case
- Preparing a function to convert any equation containing the two mentioned entities into vacuum case
- Expressing the equations for change along the normal (“normal-change equations”)

```
In[#]:= RicciCD[-μ, -ν] == 0
VacRicci = %;
RicciScalarCD[] == 0
VacRicciSc = %;
```

```
Out[#]=  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ 
```

```
Out[#]=  $R = 0$ 
```

```
In[#]:= VacuumEq[expression_] := expression /. ApplyRule@VacRicci /. ApplyRule@VacRicciSc
```

Applying this function to the RicciGauss1/2 equations give us:

```
In[1]:= RicciGaussEquation1
VacuumEq@%
% // ScreenDollarIndices
Solve[% , Riccicd[-β, -δ] ] // Flatten;
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal;
% * (-ε) // Expand
NormChangEq1 = %;

Out[1]= -ε hβ^α hδ^γ Rαγ == ε aβ aδ + Kα^α Kβδ - ε (3)Rβδ - aβ|δ + hβ^γ hδ^λ n^α Kγλ;α

Out[2]= 0 == ε aβ aδ + Kα^α Kβδ - ε (3)Rβδ - aβ|δ + hβ^γ hδ^λ n^α Kγλ;α

Out[3]= 0 == ε aβ aδ + Kα^α Kβδ - ε (3)Rβδ - aβ|δ + hβ^γ hδ^λ n^α Kγλ;α

Out[4]= -ε (3)Rβδ == -ε aβ aδ - Kα^α Kβδ + aβ|δ - hβ^γ hδ^λ n^α Kγλ;α

In[2]:= RicciGaussEquation2
VacuumEq@%
% // ScreenDollarIndices;
Solve[% , Riccicd[-β, -δ] ] // Flatten;
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal;
% /. ApplyRule@hT0g;
% // ToCanonical;
% * (-ε) // Expand
NormChangEq2 = %;

Out[2]= -ε hβ^α hδ^γ Rαγ == Kα^α Kβδ - Kβ^α Kδα - ε (3)Rβδ - hβ^λ hδ^κ n^α n^γ Rαλγκ

Out[3]= 0 == Kα^α Kβδ - Kβ^α Kδα - ε (3)Rβδ - hβ^λ hδ^κ n^α n^γ Rαλγκ

Out[4]= -ε (3)Rβδ == -Kα^α Kβδ + Kβ^α Kδα + n^α n^γ Rβαδγ

In[3]:= AddEquation@NormChangEq1;
AddEquation@NormChangEq2;
AddToList[$EquationsNormalChange, NormChangEq1];
AddToList[$EquationsNormalChange, NormChangEq2];
```

Momentum and Hamiltonian constraints

```
In[1]:= $EquationsConstraints = {};
```

To finalize the 3+1 decomposition we have to specify the momentum & Hamiltonian constraints, which are:

```
In[2]:= cd[-λ] @ ExtrinsicKh[λ, -κ] == cd[-κ] @ ExtrinsicKh[-α, α]
MomentumConstr = %;

Out[2]= Kλ_κ|λ == Kα^α_κ|κ

In[3]:= -ε RicciScalarcd[] ==
ExtrinsicKh[λ, -γ] × ExtrinsicKh[γ, -λ] - ExtrinsicKh[α, -α] × ExtrinsicKh[α, -α]
HamiltContr = %;

Out[3]= -ε (3)R == -Kα^α_λ^2 + Kγ_λ Kλ_γ
```

```

In[]:= AddEquation@MomentumConstr;
AddEquation@HamiltContr;
AddToList[$EquationsConstraints, MomentumConstr];
AddToList[$EquationsConstraints, HamiltContr];

Last "insurance" has to be made for  $(^3)R^{\nu\lambda} h_{\nu\lambda} = (^3)R$ 

In[]:= cd[-\lambda]@Accelerationn[\lambda] - \epsilon Accelerationn[\lambda] \times Accelerationn[-\lambda] ==
ExtrinsicKh[\lambda, -\gamma] \times ExtrinsicKh[\gamma, -\lambda] + CD[-\gamma]@ExtrinsicKh[\alpha, -\alpha] \times n[\gamma]
InsuranceEq1 = %;

Out[]= -\epsilon a_\lambda a^\lambda + a^\lambda_{|\lambda} == K^\gamma_\lambda K^\lambda_\gamma + n^\gamma K^\alpha_{\alpha;\gamma}

Or, expressing  $a^\lambda_{|\lambda}$ 

In[]:= InsuranceEq1
% /. ApplyRule@cd3DDivAcc
% // ToCanonical
InsuranceEq2 = %;

Out[]= -\epsilon a_\lambda a^\lambda + a^\lambda_{|\lambda} == K^\gamma_\lambda K^\lambda_\gamma + n^\gamma K^\alpha_{\alpha;\gamma}

Out[]= \epsilon a_\alpha a^\alpha - \epsilon a_\lambda a^\lambda_{|\alpha} == K^\gamma_\lambda K^\lambda_\gamma + n^\gamma K^\alpha_{\alpha;\gamma}

Out[]= a^\alpha_{;\alpha} == K_{\alpha\gamma} K^{\alpha\gamma} + n^\alpha K^\gamma_{\gamma;\alpha}

In[]:= AddEquation@InsuranceEq1;
AddEquation@InsuranceEq2;
AddToList[$EquationsConstraints, InsuranceEq1];
AddToList[$EquationsConstraints, InsuranceEq2];

```

Summary of the 3+1 decomposition results

In order to aesthetically summarize the results, let us now print out the obtained equations:

The Riemann tensor induced 3+1 decomposition summarizes to:

```

In[]:= DisplayEQ@$EquationsRiemann3DDeco
Out[]:= MatrixForm=

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} h_\alpha^\mu h_\beta^\kappa h_\gamma^\lambda h_\delta^\mu R_{\mu\nu\lambda\mu} = \epsilon K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - \epsilon K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + (^3)R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} \\ h_\beta^\mu h_\gamma^\kappa h_\delta^\lambda n^\alpha R_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda} = -K_{\beta\delta}{}_{|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma}{}_{|\delta} \\ h_\beta^\mu h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} = n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma} \\ h_\beta^\mu h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} = -\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\mu K_{\delta\mu} + a_\beta{}_{|\delta} - h_\beta^\alpha h_\delta^\gamma n^\mu K_{\alpha\gamma;\mu} \\ h_\beta^\mu h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} = -\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta + K_\beta^\mu K_{\delta\mu} + a_\beta{}_{|\delta} - L_n K_{\beta\delta} \\ (^3)R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} = h_{\nu\lambda} (^3)R_{\mu\kappa} - h_{\nu\kappa} (^3)R_{\mu\lambda} - h_{\mu\lambda} (^3)R_{\nu\kappa} + h_{\mu\kappa} (^3)R_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} (h_{\mu\lambda} h_{\nu\kappa} - h_{\mu\kappa} h_{\nu\lambda}) (^3)R \\ (^3)R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} (^3)R^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = 4 (^3)R_{\kappa\lambda} (^3)R^{\kappa\lambda} - (^3)R^2 \end{array} \right)$$


```

The Ricci tensor induced 3+1 decomposition summarizes to:

In[=]:= **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsRicci3DDeco**

Out[=]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\in h_\beta^\alpha h_\delta^\gamma R_{\alpha\gamma} = \in a_\beta a_\delta + K_\alpha^\alpha K_{\beta\delta} - \in {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\delta} - a_{\beta|\delta} + h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha K_{\gamma\kappa;\alpha} \\ -\in h_\beta^\alpha h_\delta^\gamma R_{\alpha\gamma} = K_\alpha^\alpha K_{\beta\delta} - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} - \in {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\delta} - h_\beta^\kappa h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\alpha\gamma;\kappa} \\ h_\delta^\alpha n^\beta R_{\beta\alpha} = K_\delta^\alpha|_\alpha - K_\alpha^\alpha|\delta \\ n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} = -\in a_\alpha a^\alpha - K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ;\beta \\ n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} = -\in a_\alpha a^\alpha - K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} - h^{\gamma\alpha} n^\beta K_{\alpha\gamma;\beta} \\ n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} = -\in a_\alpha a^\alpha + K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} - h^{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta}) \\ n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} = -K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} - n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ;\beta \\ a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} = K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha + n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} + n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha ;\beta \end{array} \right.$$

The Ricci scalar induced 3+1 decomposition summarizes to:

In[=]:= **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsRicciScalar3DDeco**

Out[=]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\in R = 2 \in a_\alpha a^\alpha + K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta - \in {}^{(3)}R - 2 a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} + h^{\beta\gamma} n^\alpha K_{\beta\gamma;\alpha} + n^\alpha K_\beta^\beta ;\alpha \\ -\in R = K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta - \in {}^{(3)}R - 2 a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} + 2 n^\alpha K_\beta^\beta ;\alpha \\ -\in R = -K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta - 2 n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} - \in {}^{(3)}R \end{array} \right.$$

“Normal-change” & momentum and Hamiltonian constraints & “insurance” equations state:

In[=]:= **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsNormalChange**

Out[=]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\in {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\delta} = -\in a_\beta a_\delta - K_\alpha^\alpha K_{\beta\delta} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha K_{\gamma\kappa;\alpha} \\ -\in {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\delta} = -K_\alpha^\alpha K_{\beta\delta} + K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma} \end{array} \right.$$

In[=]:= **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsConstraints**

Out[=]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_\kappa^\lambda|_\lambda = K_\alpha^\alpha|_\kappa \\ -\in {}^{(3)}R = -K_\alpha^\alpha K_\alpha^\alpha + K_\lambda^\gamma K_\gamma^\lambda \\ -\in a_\lambda a^\lambda + a_\lambda^{\lambda|\lambda} = K_\lambda^\gamma K_\gamma^\lambda + n^\gamma K_\alpha^\alpha ;\gamma \\ a_\alpha^{\alpha|\alpha} = K_{\alpha\gamma} K^{\alpha\gamma} + n^\alpha K_\gamma^\gamma ;\alpha \end{array} \right.$$

3+1 decomposition of the Kretschmann scalar

In[=]:= **\$EquationsKretsch3DDeco = {};**

With the previous chapter’s decomposition equations Kretschmann scalar can be now decomposed.

Following is:

- Printing out option set for 4D-Kretschmann scalar
- General definition recap
- Preparation of $g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} g_{\kappa\gamma} g_{\lambda\delta}$ term decomposition
 - this term is treated separately in order not to “meddle” with automatic contraction option

we have set previously

- the difficulty of treating this term in the general definition is exactly the automatic metric contraction
- Kretschmann scalar “geometrical/general” 3+1 decomposition
- Deploying Gauss, Codazzi & Ricci equations developed in the previous chapter to find out each term of the “general” decomposition
 - note that we do this “step by step” in order to save computation time
- Adding the pre-prepared terms, thus arriving at the Kretschmann scalar 3+1 decomposition

Printing out options & preparations:

First, we have to address it's printing options

```
In[1]:= PrintAs[KretschmannCD] ^= "K";
In[2]:= KretschmannCD[] == RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × RiemannCD[μ, ν, κ, λ]
Out[2]= K == Rμνκλ Rμνκλ
```

It will be most advantageous to now to lower the fully covariant Riemann indices. However we wish to prevent the expression from automatically contract the spacetime metric with the indices, thus we will decompose following term separately:

```
In[3]:= g[μ, α] × g[ν, β] × g[κ, γ] × g[λ, δ]
% /. ApplyRule@gTOh
% // Expand;
g4FullExpansion = %;
Out[3]= gκγ gλδ gμα gνβ
Out[4]= (hκγ + nγ nκ) (hλδ + nδ nλ) (hμα + nα nμ) (hνβ + nβ nν)
```

```
In[5]:= AddEquation@g4FullExpansion;
AddToList[$Equations3Dmetric, g4FullExpansion];
```

Now for the Kretschmann scalar with two fully covariant Riemann tensors. Bear in mind, that at this point we could simply perform something along the lines of $R_{μνκλ} R_{αβγδ} * g4FullExpansion$, then expand and canonicalize the resulting expression. However even at this point, the calculation involving this many terms is quite lengthy.

For the record, this approach took **86s** to calculate.

Whereas treating the multiplication (and canonicalization) term by term is very economic approach to the problem :

```
In[1]:= Times[g4FullExpansion // ScreenDollarIndices, RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]];
% // ToCanonical;
Times[%, RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ]];
% // ToCanonical;
KretschmannCD[] == %
Kretsch3DDeco1 = %;

Out[1]= K == h^αβ h^γδ h^κλ h^μν R_{αγκμ} R_{βδλν} +
4 h^γδ h^κλ h^μν n^α n^β R_{αγκμ} R_{βδλν} + 4 h^κλ h^μν n^α n^β n^γ n^δ R_{ακβμ} R_{γλδν}
```

```
In[2]:= AddEquation@Kretsch3DDeco1;
AddToList[$EquationsKretsch3DDeco, Kretsch3DDeco1];
```

As we can see, the terms clearly correspond to the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci terms of both of the Riemann tensors figuring in the decomposition.

Thus:

```
In[3]:= (ProjectWith[h] [RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]])
(ProjectWith[h] [RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ]])
% /. ApplyRule@GaussEquation
% /. ApplyRule@GaussEquation
Term1 = Times[%, h[λ, δ] × h[κ, γ] × h[ν, β] × h[α, μ]]
```

```
Out[3]= h_α^L h_β^ρ h_γ^σ h_δ^υ h_κ^χ h_λ^{χ1} h_μ^{χ2} h_ν^{χ3} R_{λρσυ} R_{χ2χ3χχ1}
```

12.0416

```
Out[4]= h_κ^L h_λ^ρ h_μ^σ h_ν^υ ( ∈ K_{γβ} K_{δα} - ∈ K_{γα} K_{δβ} + (3) R_{δγβα} ) R_{συλρ}
```

12.0254

```
Out[5]= ( ∈ K_{γβ} K_{δα} - ∈ K_{γα} K_{δβ} + (3) R_{δγβα} ) ( ∈ K_{κν} K_{λμ} - ∈ K_{κμ} K_{λν} + (3) R_{λκνμ} )
```

```
Out[6]= h^αμ h^κγ h^λδ h^νβ ( ∈ K_{γβ} K_{δα} - ∈ K_{γα} K_{δβ} + (3) R_{δγβα} ) ( ∈ K_{κν} K_{λμ} - ∈ K_{κμ} K_{λν} + (3) R_{λκνμ} )
```

```
In[7]:= ProjectWith[h] [n[α] × RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]] ×
ProjectWith[h] [n[μ] × RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ]]
% /. ApplyRule@CodazziEquation
% /. ApplyRule@CodazziEquation
Term2 = Times[%, h[ν, β] × h[κ, γ] × h[λ, δ]]
```

```
Out[7]= h_β^L h_γ^ρ h_δ^σ h_κ^υ h_λ^χ h_ν^{χ1} n^α n^μ R_{αλρσ} R_{μχ1υχ}
```

5.28357

```
Out[8]= h_κ^α h_λ^L h_γ^ρ n^μ R_{μραL} (- K_{βδ|γ} + K_{βγ|δ})
```

5.24414

```
Out[9]= (- K_{βδ|γ} + K_{βγ|δ}) (- K_{νλ|κ} + K_{νκ|λ})
```

```
Out[10]= h^κγ h^λδ h^νβ (- K_{βδ|γ} + K_{βγ|δ}) (- K_{νλ|κ} + K_{νκ|λ})
```

```
In[°]:= ProjectWith[h][n[α] × n[γ] × RiemannCD[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]] ×
ProjectWith[h][n[μ] × n[κ] × RiemannCD[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ]]
% /. ApplyRule@RicciEquation2
% /. ApplyRule@RicciEquation2
Times[%, h[β, ν] × h[δ, λ]]
Term3 = %;
```

Out[°]= $h_\beta^\lambda h_\delta^\rho h_\lambda^\sigma h_\nu^\mu n^\alpha n^\gamma n^\kappa n^\mu R_{\alpha\gamma\rho} R_{\mu\nu\kappa}$

3.37898

Out[°]= $h_\lambda^\alpha h_\nu^\gamma n^\kappa n^\mu R_{\mu\gamma\kappa\alpha} \left(-\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\lambda K_{\delta\alpha} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_\beta^\rho h_\delta^\sigma n^\nu K_{\rho\sigma;\nu} \right)$

3.32938

Out[°]= $\left(-\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\lambda n^\alpha K_{\gamma\lambda;\alpha} \right)$
 $\left(-\epsilon a_\lambda a_\nu - K_{\lambda\kappa} K_\nu^\kappa + a_{\nu|\lambda} - h_\lambda^\rho h_\nu^\mu n^\kappa K_{\mu\rho;\kappa} \right)$

Out[°]= $h^{\beta\nu} h^{\delta\lambda} \left(-\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\lambda n^\alpha K_{\gamma\lambda;\alpha} \right)$
 $\left(-\epsilon a_\lambda a_\nu - K_{\lambda\kappa} K_\nu^\kappa + a_{\nu|\lambda} - h_\lambda^\rho h_\nu^\mu n^\kappa K_{\mu\rho;\kappa} \right)$

Full Kretschmann scalar 3+1 Decomposition

```
In[°]:= KretschmannCD[] == Term1 + 4 ε * Term2 + 4 Term3
Kretsch3DDeco2 = %;
```

Out[°]= $\mathcal{K} ==$
 $h^{\alpha\mu} h^{\kappa\gamma} h^{\lambda\delta} h^{\nu\beta} \left(\epsilon K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - \epsilon K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} \right) \left(\epsilon K_{\kappa\nu} K_{\lambda\mu} - \epsilon K_{\kappa\mu} K_{\lambda\nu} + {}^{(3)}R_{\lambda\kappa\nu\mu} \right) +$
 $4 h^{\kappa\gamma} h^{\lambda\delta} h^{\nu\beta} \left(-K_{\beta\delta|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma|\delta} \right) \left(-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda} \right) +$
 $4 h^{\beta\nu} h^{\delta\lambda} \left(-\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\lambda n^\alpha K_{\gamma\lambda;\alpha} \right)$
 $\left(-\epsilon a_\lambda a_\nu - K_{\lambda\kappa} K_\nu^\kappa + a_{\nu|\lambda} - h_\lambda^\rho h_\nu^\mu n^\kappa K_{\mu\rho;\kappa} \right)$

In[°]:= DisplayEQ@\$Equations3DExtCurv

Out[°]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_{\alpha\beta} == h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\delta n_{\gamma;\delta} \\ K_{\beta\alpha} == -\epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta + n_{\alpha;\beta} \\ K_{\mu\nu} == \frac{1}{2} \text{Hold}[\mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}] \\ n^\gamma K_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} == -2 K_\alpha^\gamma K_{\beta\gamma} - \epsilon a^\gamma K_{\beta\gamma} n_\alpha - \epsilon a^\gamma K_{\alpha\gamma} n_\beta + \mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta} \\ K_{\beta\gamma;\alpha} == -2 K_\beta^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} n_\alpha - K_\alpha^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} n_\beta - a^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} n_\alpha n_\beta - K_\alpha^\delta K_{\beta\delta} n_\gamma - a^\delta K_{\beta\delta} n_\alpha n_\gamma + K_\beta^\alpha \\ h_\beta^\alpha h_\delta^\gamma n^\lambda K_{\alpha\gamma;\lambda} == -\epsilon a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\lambda K_{\delta\lambda} - h_\beta^\lambda h_\delta^\kappa n^\alpha n^\gamma R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa} + a_{\beta|\delta} \\ n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha;_\beta == h^\gamma\alpha n^\beta K_{\alpha\gamma;_\beta} \\ h^\gamma\alpha n^\beta K_{\alpha\gamma;_\beta} == n^\beta K_\alpha^\alpha;_\beta \end{array} \right.$$

In[°]:= AddEquation@Kretsch3DDeco2;

AddToList[\$EquationsKretsch3DDeco, Kretsch3DDeco2];

Thus the full 3+1 decomposition of the Kretschmann scalar reads (the **main result at this point**)

```
In[]:= Kretsch3DDeco1
Kretsch3DDeco2

Out[]=  $\mathcal{K} = h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} h^{\kappa\lambda} h^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa\mu} R_{\beta\delta\lambda\nu} +$ 
 $4 \in h^{\gamma\delta} h^{\kappa\lambda} h^{\mu\nu} n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa\mu} R_{\beta\delta\lambda\nu} + 4 h^{\kappa\lambda} h^{\mu\nu} n^\alpha n^\beta n^\gamma n^\delta R_{\alpha\kappa\beta\mu} R_{\gamma\lambda\delta\nu}$ 

Out[]=  $\mathcal{K} =$ 
 $h^{\alpha\mu} h^{\kappa\gamma} h^{\lambda\delta} h^{\nu\beta} (\in K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\beta} - \in K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}) (\in K_{\kappa\nu} K_{\lambda\mu} - \in K_{\kappa\mu} K_{\lambda\nu} + {}^{(3)}R_{\lambda\kappa\nu\mu}) +$ 
 $4 \in h^{\kappa\gamma} h^{\lambda\delta} h^{\nu\beta} (-K_{\beta\delta|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}) (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) +$ 
 $4 h^{\beta\nu} h^{\delta\lambda} (-\in a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + a_\beta|_\delta - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\nu n^\alpha K_{\gamma\nu;\alpha})$ 
 $(-\in a_\lambda a_\nu - K_{\lambda\kappa} K_\nu^\kappa + a_\nu|_\lambda - h_\lambda^\rho h_\nu^\mu n^\kappa K_{\mu\rho;\kappa})$ 
```

The vacuum case, application of constraints.

In this short, however **pivotal** chapter, we will take a closer look at last term of the 3+1 Kretschmann scalar decomposition **in vacuum part of the space-time**.

```
In[]:= Term3

Out[]=  $h^{\beta\nu} h^{\delta\lambda} (-\in a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\delta\alpha} + a_\beta|_\delta - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\nu n^\alpha K_{\gamma\nu;\alpha})$ 
 $(-\in a_\lambda a_\nu - K_{\lambda\kappa} K_\nu^\kappa + a_\nu|_\lambda - h_\lambda^\rho h_\nu^\mu n^\kappa K_{\mu\rho;\kappa})$ 
```

Considering now the “normal change equation” in vacuum.

```
In[]:= NormChangEq1

Out[]=  $-\in {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\delta} = -\in a_\beta a_\delta - K_\beta^\alpha K_{\beta\delta} + a_\beta|_\delta - h_\beta^\gamma h_\delta^\nu n^\alpha K_{\gamma\nu;\alpha}$ 
```

We can see that most of the terms of the normal change equation are already contained in the **Term3**

```
In[]:= << xAct`xTras`
```

```

-----
Package xAct`xPert` version 1.0.6, {2018, 2, 28}
CopyRight (C) 2005–2020, David Brizuela, Jose M. Martin-Garcia
and Guillermo A. Mena Marugan, under the General Public License.
** Option MetricOn of MakeRule changed from None to All
** Option ContractMetrics of MakeRule changed from False to True
-----

Package xAct`Invar` version 2.0.5, {2013, 7, 1}
CopyRight (C) 2006–2020, J. M. Martin-Garcia,
D. Yllanes and R. Portugal, under the General Public License.
** Option CurvatureRelations of DefCovD changed from True to False
** Variable $CommuteCovDsOnScalars changed from True to False
-----

Package xAct`xCoba` version 0.8.6, {2021, 2, 28}
CopyRight (C) 2005–2021, David Yllanes
and Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.
-----

Package xAct`SymManipulator` version 0.9.5, {2021, 9, 14}
CopyRight (C) 2011–2021, Thomas Bäckdahl, under the General Public License.
-----

Package xAct`xTras` version 1.4.2, {2014, 10, 30}
CopyRight (C) 2012–2014, Teake Nutma, under the General Public License.
** Variable $CovDFormat changed from Postfix to Prefix
** Option CurvatureRelations of DefCovD changed from False to True
-----

These packages come with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY; for details type
Disclaimer[]. This is free software, and you are welcome to redistribute
it under certain conditions. See the General Public License for details.
-----
```

2.85266

```

In[1]:= NormChangEq1 // ScreenDollarIndices
tmp = SolveTensors[%, -e Accelerationn[-β] × Accelerationn[-δ]];
Out[1]= -e (3)Rβδ == -e aβ aδ - Kαβ Kβδ + Dδ aβ - hβγ hδ^L nα ∇α KγL

In[2]:= Term3 /. tmp
%[[1]]
Term3Vac1 = %;
Out[2]= {hβν hδλ (-Kβα Kδα + Kβδ K^L_ - e (3)Rβδ) (-Kλγ Kγν + Kκν Kνλ - e (3)Rνλ) }

Out[3]= hβν hδλ (-Kβα Kδα + Kβδ K^L_ - e (3)Rβδ) (-Kλγ Kγν + Kκν Kνλ - e (3)Rνλ)

In[4]:= Clear@tmp

```

Now let's account for only Term1 & Term3 (in vacuum case) of the Kretschmann scalar 3+1

decomposition.

```
In[1]:= KretscheTerm20mit = Term1 + 4 Term3Vac1
% // Expand;
% // ToCanonical;
Collect[%, _RicciCD]
KretscheTerm20mitExpanded = %;

Out[1]= 4 hβγ hδλ (-Kβα Kδα + Kβδ Kλλ - ∈ (3) Rβδ) (-Kλγ Kγκ Kνλ - ∈ (3) Rνλ) +
hαμ hκγ hλδ hνβ (∈ Kγβ Kδα - ∈ Kγα Kδβ + (3) Rδγβα) (∈ Kκγ Kλμ - ∈ Kκμ Kλν + (3) Rλκγμ)

Out[2]= 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ +
2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ + 4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 4 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ +
(8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ - 4 ∈ Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ + (3) Rαβγδ (3) Rαβγδ

In[3]:= $CovDFormat = "Postfix";

In[4]:= Term2
MapAt[Hold, %, {5}];
% // ContractMetric;
Collect[%, _Hold];
% // ReleaseHold
Term2Var1 = %;

Out[4]= hκγ hλδ hνβ (-Kβδ|γ + Kβγ|δ) (-Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ)

Out[5]= (-Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (-Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)

In[6]:= KretschmannCD[] == KretscheTerm20mitExpanded + 4 ∈ Term2Var1
KretscheVacuum3DDeco1 = %;

Out[6]= K == 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ + 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ +
4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 4 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ + (8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ -
4 ∈ Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ + (3) Rαβγδ (3) Rαβγδ + 4 ∈ (-Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (-Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)
```

```
In[7]:= AddEquation@KretscheVacuum3DDeco1;
AddToList[$EquationsKretsch3DDeco, KretscheVacuum3DDeco1];
```

As we can see, the vacuum “normal change equation” reduces the decomposition to **ONLY** several contractions of the (3D) extrinsic curvature tensor & 3D Ricci/Riemann tensor contractions.

Two further simplifications arise by considering firstly

```
In[8]:= Riemann3DIndepComp
Out[8]= (3) Rμνκλ == hνλ (3) Rμκ - hνκ (3) Rμλ - hμλ (3) Rνκ + hμκ (3) Rνλ +  $\frac{1}{2}$  (hμλ hνκ - hμκ hνλ) (3) R
```

```

In[°]:= Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × Riemanncd[μ, ν, κ, λ] ==
          (Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × Riemanncd[μ, ν, κ, λ]) /. ApplyRule@Riemann3DIndepComp)
% // Expand;
% // ToCanonical
Kretsch2DIndepDeco = %;

Out[°]= (3) Rμνκλ (3) Rμνκλ ==
          
$$\left( h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R_{\mu\kappa} - h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R_{\mu\lambda} - h_{\mu\lambda} (3) R_{\nu\kappa} + h_{\mu\kappa} (3) R_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\lambda} h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\kappa} h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R \right)$$

          
$$\left( h^{\nu\lambda} (3) R^{\mu\kappa} - h^{\nu\kappa} (3) R^{\mu\lambda} - h^{\mu\lambda} (3) R^{\nu\kappa} + h^{\mu\kappa} (3) R^{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\lambda} h^{\nu\kappa} (3) R - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\kappa} h^{\nu\lambda} (3) R \right)$$


Out[°]= (3) Rκλμν (3) Rκλμν == 4 (3) Rκλ (3) Rκλ - (3) R2

In[°]:= AddEquation@Kretsch2DIndepDeco;

In[°]:= Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[μ, κ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, λ] ==
          (Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[μ, κ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, λ]) /.
          ApplyRule@Riemann3DIndepComp)
% // Expand;
PutScalar /@ %;
% // ToCanonical;
NoScalar /@ %;
Collect[% , _RicciScalarcd]
Riemann3DExtrCurv = %;

Out[°]= Kμκ Kνλ (3) Rμνκλ == Kμκ Kνλ
          
$$\left( h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R_{\mu\kappa} - h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R_{\mu\lambda} - h_{\mu\lambda} (3) R_{\nu\kappa} + h_{\mu\kappa} (3) R_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\lambda} h_{\nu\kappa} (3) R - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\kappa} h_{\nu\lambda} (3) R \right)$$


Out[°]= Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ == -2 Kαγ Kαβ (3) Rβγ + 2 Kαα Kβγ (3) Rβγ + 
$$\left( -\frac{1}{2} K_{\alpha}^{\alpha} K_{\beta}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} \right) (3) R$$


In[°]:= AddEquation@Riemann3DExtrCurv;

This can be further simplified by

In[°]:= HamiltContr // ScreenDollarIndices
Sol = SolveTensors[% , ExtrinsicKh[γ, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[λ, -γ]];

Out[°]= -ε (3) R == -Kαα2 + Kλλ Kγγ

In[°]:= Riemann3DExtrCurv /. Sol;
%[[1]] // ToCanonical
Collect[% , _Riccid]
Riemann3DExtrCurvHamiltConstr = %;

Out[°]= Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ == -2 Kαγ Kαβ (3) Rβγ + 2 Kαα Kβγ (3) Rβγ - 
$$\frac{\epsilon (3) R^2}{2}$$


Out[°]= Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ == (-2 Kαγ Kαβ + 2 Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ - 
$$\frac{\epsilon (3) R^2}{2}$$


In[°]:= AddEquation@Riemann3DExtrCurvHamiltConstr;

```

```
In[]:= KretschVacuum3DDeco1
% /. ApplyRule@Kretsch2DIndepDeco
% /. ApplyRule@Riemann3DExtrCurvHamiltConstr
Collect[%, ∈ Riccicd[-α, -β] + ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -κ] × ExtrinsicKh[κ, -λ] -
ExtrinsicKh[-α, α] × ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -λ]]

Out[]= K == 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ + 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ +
4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 4 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ + (8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ -
4 ∈ Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ + (3) Rαβγδ (3) Rαβγδ + 4 ∈ (- Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (- Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)
```

2.41683

```
Out[=]= K == 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ + 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ +
4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 8 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ + (8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ -
(3) R2 - 4 ∈ Kαβ Kγδ (3) Rαγβδ + 4 ∈ (- Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (- Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)
```

1.61742

```
Out[=]= K == 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ + 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ +
4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 8 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ + (8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ -
(3) R2 - 4 ∈ (-2 Kαγ Kαβ (3) Rβγ + 2 Kαα Kβγ (3) Rβγ - ε (3) R2) +
4 ∈ (- Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (- Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)
```

```
Out[=]= K == 2 Kαγ Kαβ Kβδ Kγδ - 8 Kαα Kβδ Kβγ Kγδ + 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kγδ Kγδ +
4 Kαα Kββ Kγδ Kγδ + 8 (3) Rαβ (3) Rαβ + (8 ∈ Kαγ Kαβ - 8 ∈ Kαα Kβγ) (3) Rβγ -
(3) R2 - 4 ∈ (-2 Kαγ Kαβ (3) Rβγ + 2 Kαα Kβγ (3) Rβγ - ε (3) R2) +
4 ∈ (- Kνλ|κ + Kνκ|λ) (- Kλν|κ + Kκν|λ)
```

In[]:= tmp = %;

xAct now lacks ability to convert this equation into somehow more “readable” form. Let’s compare it to following term summation:

```
In[]:= CompTerm1 = 8 (ε Riccicd[-ν, -λ] + ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -κ] × ExtrinsicKh[κ, -λ] -
ExtrinsicKh[α, -α] × ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -λ]) (ε Riccicd[ν, λ] +
ExtrinsicKh[ν, -γ] × ExtrinsicKh[γ, λ] - ExtrinsicKh[γ, -γ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, λ])
Out[=]= 8 (Kκλ Kνκ - Kαα Kνλ + ε (3) Rνλ) (Kγλ Kνγ - Kγγ Kνλ + ε (3) Rνλ)
```

```
In[]:= CompTerm2 = RicciScalarcd[] ^ 2 +
2 (ExtrinsicKh[-μ, -κ] × ExtrinsicKh[μ, κ]) (ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β] × ExtrinsicKh[α, β]) -
6 ExtrinsicKh[-μ, -κ] × ExtrinsicKh[μ, λ] × ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, κ] +
8 ExtrinsicKh[-α, α] × ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -κ] × ExtrinsicKh[κ, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, λ] -
4 ExtrinsicKh[-α, α] × ExtrinsicKh[-β, β] × ExtrinsicKh[-ν, -λ] × ExtrinsicKh[ν, λ]
```

```
Out[=]= 2 Kαβ Kαβ Kμκ Kμκ - 6 Kμκ Kμλ Kνλ Kνκ + 8 Kαα Kκλ Kνκ Kνλ - 4 Kαα Kββ Kνλ Kνλ + (3) R2
```

In[¹⁰]:= **CompTerm3** = $4 \in \text{Term2Var1}$

$$\text{Outf[10]}= 4 \in (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) (-K^{\lambda\nu|\kappa} + K^{\kappa\nu|\lambda})$$

In[¹¹]:= **tmp**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Outf[11]}= \mathcal{K} &= 2 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} K_\beta^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} - 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\delta K^{\beta\gamma} K_{\gamma\delta} + 2 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} + \\ &4 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} + 8 {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} + (8 \in K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} - 8 \in K_\alpha^\alpha K^{\beta\gamma}) {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} - \\ &{}^{(3)}R^2 - 4 \in \left(-2 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} + 2 K_\alpha^\alpha K^{\beta\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon {}^{(3)}R^2}{2} \right) + \\ &4 \in (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) (-K^{\lambda\nu|\kappa} + K^{\kappa\nu|\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

In[¹²]:= **CompTerm1** + **CompTerm2** + **CompTerm3** - **tmp** // **ToCanonical**

PutScalar /@ %

$$\text{Outf[12]}= 0$$

$$\text{Outf[13]}= 0$$

The expression we have proposed matches

In[¹⁴]:= **tmp**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Outf[14]}= \mathcal{K} &= 2 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} K_\beta^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} - 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\delta K^{\beta\gamma} K_{\gamma\delta} + 2 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} + \\ &4 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} + 8 {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} + (8 \in K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} - 8 \in K_\alpha^\alpha K^{\beta\gamma}) {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} - \\ &{}^{(3)}R^2 - 4 \in \left(-2 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} + 2 K_\alpha^\alpha K^{\beta\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon {}^{(3)}R^2}{2} \right) + \\ &4 \in (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) (-K^{\lambda\nu|\kappa} + K^{\kappa\nu|\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

In[¹⁵]:= **Clear@tmp;**

In[¹⁶]:= **KretschmannCD[]** == **CompTerm1** + **CompTerm2** + **CompTerm3**

KretschVacuum3DDeco2 = %;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Outf[16]}= \mathcal{K} &= 2 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\kappa} - 6 K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\lambda} K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\kappa} + 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\lambda^\kappa K_{\nu\kappa} K^{\nu\lambda} - 4 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\lambda} + \\ &8 (K_\lambda^\kappa K_{\nu\kappa} - K_\alpha^\alpha K_{\nu\lambda} + \in {}^{(3)}R_{\nu\lambda}) (K^{\nu\lambda} K_\gamma^\nu - K_\gamma^\nu K^{\nu\lambda} + \in {}^{(3)}R^{\nu\lambda}) + \\ &{}^{(3)}R^2 + 4 \in (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) (-K^{\lambda\nu|\kappa} + K^{\kappa\nu|\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

In[¹⁷]:= **AddEquation@KretschVacuum3DDeco2;**

AddToList[\$EquationsKretsch3DDeco, KretschVacuum3DDeco2];

The very last simplification arises from realization, that

In[¹⁸]:= **CompTerm2**

$$\text{Outf[18]}= 2 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\kappa} - 6 K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\lambda} K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\kappa} + 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\lambda^\kappa K_{\nu\kappa} K^{\nu\lambda} - 4 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\lambda} + {}^{(3)}R^2$$

Can be written out as $24 * \text{Det}[K_\beta^\alpha]$, except for one additional term!

For computing the determinant we have to summon xCoba and define an arbitrary chart:

In[¹⁹]:= << xAct`xCoba`

```
Package xAct`xCoba` version 0.8.6, {2021, 2, 28}

CopyRight (C) 2005–2021, David Yllanes
and Jose M. Martin-Garcia, under the General Public License.
```

These packages come with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY; for details type
Disclaimer[]. This is free software, and you are welcome to redistribute
it under certain conditions. See the General Public License for details.

```
In[1]:= DefChart[FM, M, {0, 1, 2, 3}, {t[], x[], y[], z[]}]

In[2]:= Determinant[ExtrinsicKh[\alpha, -\beta], FM]
(24 * %) // Expand // ToCanonical
tmp = %;

Out[3]= 
$$\frac{1}{12} K_\gamma^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_\delta^\gamma K_\alpha^\delta - \frac{1}{6} K_\beta^\alpha K_\gamma^\beta K_\delta^\gamma K_\alpha^\delta - \frac{1}{12} K_\gamma^\alpha K_\alpha^\beta K_\delta^\gamma K_\beta^\delta +$$


$$\frac{1}{6} K_\alpha^\alpha K_\gamma^\beta K_\delta^\gamma K_\beta^\delta + \frac{1}{8} K_\beta^\alpha K_\alpha^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta - \frac{1}{8} K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta + \frac{1}{12} K_\beta^\alpha K_\gamma^\beta K_\alpha^\gamma K_\delta^\delta -$$


$$\frac{1}{12} K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\gamma K_\gamma^\beta K_\delta^\delta - \frac{1}{24} K_\beta^\alpha K_\alpha^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta + \frac{1}{24} K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta$$


Out[4]= 
$$-6 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} K_\beta^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} + 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\delta K^{\beta\gamma} K_{\gamma\delta} +$$


$$3 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} - 6 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} + K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta$$

```

This is the comparison of determinant and CompTerm2

```
In[5]:= CompTerm2 - tmp
PutScalar /@ %;
% // ToCanonical
tmp = %;

Out[6]= 
$$6 K_\alpha^\gamma K^{\alpha\beta} K_\beta^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} - 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\delta K^{\beta\gamma} K_{\gamma\delta} - 3 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} +$$


$$6 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\gamma\delta} K^{\gamma\delta} - K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_\gamma^\gamma K_\delta^\delta + 2 K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\kappa} -$$


$$6 K_{\mu\kappa} K^{\mu\lambda} K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\kappa} + 8 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\lambda^\kappa K_{\nu\kappa} K^{\nu\lambda} - 4 K_\alpha^\alpha K_\beta^\beta K_{\nu\lambda} K^{\nu\lambda} + {}^{(3)}R^2$$


Out[7]= 
$${}^{(3)}R^2 - (K_\alpha^\alpha)^4 + 2 (K_\alpha^\alpha)^2 (K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta}) - (K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta})^2$$

```

And this is the additional term.

```
In[1]:= AdditionalTerm = Riccicd[]^2 -
  (ExtrinsicKh[-α, α] × ExtrinsicKh[-γ, γ] - ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β] × ExtrinsicKh[α, β]) *
  (ExtrinsicKh[-ρ, ρ] × ExtrinsicKh[-σ, σ] - ExtrinsicKh[-τ, -σ] × ExtrinsicKh[τ, σ])
PutScalar /@%
% // ToCanonical

Out[1]= - ((-K_{αβ} K^{αβ} + K_α^α K_γ^γ) (-K_{τσ} K^{τσ} + K_ρ^ρ K_σ^σ)) + (3)R^2

Out[2]= (3)R^2 - (K_{αβ} K^{αβ}) (K_{τσ} K^{τσ}) + (K_α^α) (K_γ^γ) (K_{τσ} K^{τσ}) +
(K_{αβ} K^{αβ}) (K_ρ^ρ) (K_σ^σ) - (K_α^α) (K_γ^γ) (K_ρ^ρ) (K_σ^σ)

Out[3]= (3)R^2 - (K_α^α)^4 + 2 (K_α^α)^2 (K_{αβ} K^{αβ}) - (K_{αβ} K^{αβ})^2
```

This term, however, vanishes! Ricci scalar 3+1 decomposition structure in vacuum case is:

```
In[1]:= 0 == RicciScalar3DDecoEquation2[[2]]
VacuumEq@%;
% // ScreenDollarIndices
Sol = SolveTensors[%, ExtrinsicKh[-α, -β] × ExtrinsicKh[α, β]];

Out[1]= 0 == -K_{αβ} K^{αβ} + K_α^α K_β^β - 2 n^α n^β R_{αβ} - ∈ (3)R

Out[2]= 0 == -K_{αβ} K^{αβ} + K_α^α K_β^β - ∈ (3)R

In[3]:= AdditionalTerm /. Sol;
%[[1]]
% // Expand
% // ToCanonical
(*Some function of xAct treats RicciScalarcd[] as Riccicd[]*)
% /. RicciScalarcd[] → Riccicd[]

Out[3]= (3)R^2 - (K_α^α K_γ^γ - K_β^β K_τ^τ + ∈ (3)R) (-K_δ^δ K_κ^κ + K_ρ^ρ K_σ^σ + ∈ (3)R)

Out[4]= K_α^α K_β^β K_γ^γ K_δ^δ - K_α^α K_β^β K_γ^γ K_δ^δ + K_α^α K_β^β K_ρ^ρ K_σ^σ -
K_α^α K_γ^γ K_ρ^ρ K_σ^σ + (3)R^2 + 2 ∈ K_α^α K_β^β (3)R - ∈ K_α^α K_γ^γ (3)R - ∈ K_ρ^ρ K_σ^σ (3)R - (3)R^2

Out[5]= (3)R^2 - (3)R^2

Out[6]= 0
```

Since the additional term is vanishing, we can write out symbolically

```
In[1]:= CompTerm2Var1 = 24 Det[ExtrinsicKh[α, -β]]
Out[1]= 24 Det[K_β^α]

In[2]:= KretschmannCD[] == CompTerm1 + CompTerm2Var1 + CompTerm3
KretschVacuum3DDeco3 = %;

Out[2]= K == 24 Det[K_β^α] + 8 (K_λ^κ K_ν_κ - K_α^α K_ν_λ + ∈ (3)R_ν_λ) (K^{γλ} K_γ^ν - K_γ^γ K^{νλ} + ∈ (3)R^{νλ}) +
4 ∈ (-K_ν_λ|_κ + K_ν_κ|_λ) (-K^{λν}|_κ + K^{κν}|_λ)

In[3]:= AddEquation@KretschVacuum3DDeco3;
AddToList[$EquationsKretsch3DDeco, KretschVacuum3DDeco3];
```

Undefining dummy variables:

```
In[1]:= Clear@tmp  

Clear@Sol  

  

In[2]:= UndefChart[FM]  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined coordinate scalar t  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined coordinate scalar x  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined coordinate scalar y  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined coordinate scalar z  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined mapping differential tensor diFM  

  ** UndefMapping: Undefined inverse mapping iFM  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined mapping differential tensor dFM  

  ** UndefCovD: Undefined parallel derivative PPDFM  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined antisymmetric +1 density etaUpFM  

  ** UndefTensor: Undefined antisymmetric -1 density etaDownFM
```

Kretschmann scalar 3+1 decomposition summary in vacuum

In vacuum, considering Hamiltonian constraint & Normal change equations the decomposition is summarized by:

```
In[1]:= KretschVacuum3DDeco3  

Out[1]=  $\mathcal{K} = 24 \text{Det} [K^\alpha_\beta] + 8 (K^\kappa_\lambda K_{\nu\kappa} - K^\alpha_\alpha K_{\nu\lambda} + \epsilon \text{R}^{(3)}_{\nu\lambda}) (K^{\lambda\mu} K^\nu_\gamma - K^\lambda_\gamma K^{\nu\lambda} + \epsilon \text{R}^{\nu\lambda}) +$   

 $4 \epsilon (-K_{\nu\lambda|\kappa} + K_{\nu\kappa|\lambda}) (-K^{\lambda\nu|\kappa} + K^{\kappa\nu|\lambda})$ 
```

Introducing the “Lapse” function

In this chapter we are going to further work upon the geometrical meaning of hypersurface-orthogonality, introduce a scalar function N , which for $\epsilon = -1$, i.e. normal is time-like case (**and only in this case!**), is called **Lapse function**. Its meaning is understood immediately as the dilation factor between observers experiencing the 4-velocity n^μ & the observers with global coordinate 4-velocity t^μ .

The factor N, the Lapse function

First off, since n_μ is hypersurface-orthogonal (remember, we defined Σ_t as the hypersurface orthogonal to the normal field at the very beginning), it has to be gradient of the scalar function t .

```
In[1]:= $EquationsNFactor = {};
```

We instantly run into a notation problem, as **N** is stored in WL as function for numerical value. For this purpose we use the capital Nu letter.

In[¹]:= ? N

Symbol	<i>i</i>
N[expr] gives the numerical value of expr.	
N[expr, n] attempts to give a result with <i>n</i> -digit precision.	

Defining the N factor & the parameter t as scalar functions:

```
In[2]:= DefTensor[N[], M, PrintAs -> "N"];
DefTensor[t[], M];
```

At this point, we have to specify, that any covariant derivative (PD in xact is just covariant derivative w/o torsion & curvature) of a Scalar tensor should assume the postfix notation (which is most likely a bug to be patched in some future releases), as the default option is prefix and cannot be changed.

```
In[3]:= (*For this line I sincerely thank to Thomas Bäckdahl of the xAct team*)
xAct`xTensor`Private`MakeBoxesCovD[der_[_i_ /; Head[_i_] != Dir][head_], "Postfix"] :=
Block[{$WarningFrom = "CovD Formatting"},
```

```
SubsuperscriptBox[head, #1, #2] & @@ xAct`xTensor`Private`AddIndex[{"", ""}, i, der]];
```

```
In[4]:= CD[-α] @ N[]
```

Out[⁴]= $N_{;\alpha}$

```
In[5]:= n[-μ] == ε * N[] * PD[-μ] @ t[]
```

```
NhypsurfOTGdef = %;
```

Out[⁵]= $n_\mu = \epsilon N t_{,\mu}$

```
In[6]:= NhypsufOTGdef[[2]] == NhypsufOTGdef[[1]]
```

```
% * (ε) / N[]
```

```
PDtTOn = %;
```

Out[⁶]= $\epsilon N t_{,\mu} = n_\mu$

Out[⁷]= $t_{,\mu} = \frac{\epsilon n_\mu}{N}$

```
In[8]:= AddEquation@NhypsufOTGdef;
```

```
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, NhypsufOTGdef];
```

```
AddEquation@PDtTOn;
```

```
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, PDtTOn];
```

The antisymmetrical term $n_{\mu;\nu} - n_{\nu;\mu}$ can be calculated. Note that the covariant derivative can be exchanged for partial derivative due to symmetry of Christoffel symbols!

```

In[°]:= CD[-ν] @n[-μ] - CD[-μ] @n[-ν] == ChangeCovD[CD[-ν] @n[-μ] - CD[-μ] @n[-ν], CD]
% // ToCanonical
antisymn = %;
%[[1]] == (%[[2]] /. ApplyRule@NhypsurfOTGdef);
% // ToCanonical;
% /. ApplyRule@PDtTOn
antisymnTOPDgradN = %;

Out[°]= - n_ν;μ + n_μ;ν == Γ[∇]^\alpha_{μν} n_α - Γ[∇]^\alpha_{νμ} n_α - n_ν,μ + n_μ,ν

Out[°]= - n_ν;μ + n_μ;ν == - n_ν,μ + n_μ,ν

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[°]= - n_ν;μ + n_μ;ν == - n_ν N_,μ / N + n_μ N_,ν / N

In[°]:= AddEquation@antisymn;
AddEquation@antisymnTOPDgradN;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, antisymn];
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, antisymnTOPDgradN];

In[°]:= antisymnTOPDgradN * n[ν] // Expand
% /. ApplyRule@NGRADNZERO
% /. ApplyRule@NCDNTOAcc
% /. ApplyRule@PDtTOn;
PutScalar /@%;
% /. $Rules;
NoScalar /@%
tmp = %;

Out[°]= - n^ν n_ν;μ + n^ν n_μ;ν == - n_ν n^ν N_,μ / N + n_μ n^ν N_,ν / N

Out[°]= n^ν n_μ;ν == - n_ν n^ν N_,μ / N + n_μ n^ν N_,ν / N

Out[°]= a_μ == - n_ν n^ν N_,μ / N + n_μ n^ν N_,ν / N

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[°]= a_μ == n^α n_μ N_,α / N - ε N_,μ / N

```

Remembering that:

```

In[°]:= gToh
% - g[-μ, -ν] - ε n[-μ] × n[-ν]
% * (-ε) // Expand
nnToGhmetr = %;

Out[°]= gμν == hμν + ε nμ nν

Out[°]= -ε nμ nν == -gμν + hμν

Out[°]= nμ nν == ε gμν - ε hμν

In[°]:= tmp /. ApplyRule@nnToGhmetr
% // Expand
AccTOgradLapse = %;

Out[°]= aμ == (ε δμ^α - ε hμ^α) N,α - ε N,μ
N
Out[°]= aμ == - ε hμ^α N,α
N

In[°]:= Clear@tmp

In[°]:= AddEquation@AccTOgradLapse;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, AccTOgradLapse];

In[°]:= ChangeCovD[AccTOgradLapse, PD, CD]
AccTOCDgradLapse = %;

Out[°]= aμ == - ε hμ^α N,α
N

In[°]:= AddEquation@AccTOCDgradLapse;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, AccTOCDgradLapse];

Note that the numerator is simply 3D covariant derivative of lapse (times the normal norm)

In[°]:= Accelerationn[-μ] == -ε cd[-μ] @ N[] / N[]
AccTOcdgradLapse = %;

Out[°]= aμ == - ε N|μ
N

In[°]:= AddEquation@AccTOcdgradLapse;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, AccTOcdgradLapse];

In[°]:= AccTOcdgradLapse
% * N[] * -ε
% [[2]] == % [[1]]
cdgradLapseTOAcc = %;

Out[°]= aμ == - ε N|μ
N

Out[°]= -ε aμ N == N|μ

```

```
In[1]:= AddEquation@cdgradLapseTOAcc;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, cdgradLapseTOAcc];

With this in mind, let us discuss how  $a_{\alpha|\beta}$  factors out

In[2]:= - e cd[-v] @Accelerationn[-μ] ==
(- e cd[-v] @Accelerationn[-μ] /. ApplyRule@AccTOcdgradLapse)
%[[1]] == (%[[2, 1]] /. ApplyRule@cdgradLapseTOAcc) + %[[2, 2]]
cdAccToAcccdcdN = %;

Out[2]= - e aμ|v == - N|μ|v / N2 + N|μ|v / N

Out[3]= - e aμ|v == - aμ av + N|μ|v / N

In[4]:= AddEquation@cdAccToAcccdcdN;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, cdAccToAcccdcdN];

In[5]:= - cdAccToAcccdcdN - Accelerationn[-μ] × Accelerationn[-v];
% * e // Expand
ZeroVorticity1 = %;

Out[5]= - e aμ av + aμ|v == - e N|μ|v / N

In[6]:= AddEquation@ZeroVorticity1;
AddToList[$EquationsNFactor, ZeroVorticity1];

Due to zero torsion property of the RHS, the LHS is in fact symmetric. Look, the antisymmetric part of RHS vanishes:

In[7]:= ZeroVorticity1
Antisymmetrize[ZeroVorticity1]
DoesVanish = %[[2]];

Out[7]= - e aμ av + aμ|v == - e N|μ|v / N

Out[8]= 1/2 (- av|μ + aμ|v) == 1/2 (e N|v|μ / N - e N|μ|v / N)

In[9]:= $EquationsNFactor

Out[9]= {Hold[NhypsurfOTGdef], Hold[PDtTOn], Hold[antisymn], Hold[antisymnTOPDgradN],
Hold[AccTOgradLapse], Hold[AccTOCDgradLapse], Hold[AccTOcdgradLapse],
Hold[cdgradLapseTOAcc], Hold[cdAccToAcccdcdN], Hold[ZeroVorticity1]}
```

```
In[1]:= DoesVanish
ChangeCovD[% , cd, PD]
% // Expand
(*The error here is irrelevant as we can see the identity holds*)
% // ToCanonical

Out[1] = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon N_{|\nu|\mu}}{N} - \frac{\epsilon N_{|\mu|\nu}}{N} \right)$$


Out[2] = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon (-\Gamma[D]^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} N_{,\alpha} + N_{,\nu,\mu})}{N} - \frac{\epsilon (-\Gamma[D]^{\beta}_{\nu\mu} N_{,\beta} + N_{,\mu,\nu})}{N} \right)$$


Out[3] = 
$$-\frac{\epsilon \Gamma[D]^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} N_{,\alpha}}{2N} + \frac{\epsilon \Gamma[D]^{\alpha}_{\nu\mu} N_{,\alpha}}{2N} + \frac{\epsilon N_{,\nu,\mu}}{2N} - \frac{\epsilon N_{,\mu,\nu}}{2N}$$

```

ToCanonical: Detected metric-incompatible derivatives {PD}.

ToCanonical: Detected metric-incompatible derivatives {PD}.

```
Out[4] = 0
```

Therefore

```
In[5]:= ZeroVorticity1
Out[5] = 
$$-\epsilon a_\mu a_\nu + a_{\mu|\nu} = -\frac{\epsilon N_{|\mu|\nu}}{N}$$

```

is fully symmetrical!

The very same holds for the normal, and is immediately seen from the definition of the Extrinsic curvature tensor.

```
In[6]:= ExtrinsicKToCDNAcc
%[[2]] == %[[1]]
Antisymmetrize[%] // ToCanonical
```

```
Out[6] = 
$$K_{\beta\alpha} = -\epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta + n_{\alpha;\beta}$$

```

```
Out[7] = 
$$-\epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta + n_{\alpha;\beta} = K_{\beta\alpha}$$

```

```
Out[8] = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a_\beta n_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon a_\alpha n_\beta - \frac{n_{\beta;\alpha}}{2} + \frac{n_{\alpha;\beta}}{2} = 0$$

```

Or simpler still from:

```
In[]:= ExtrinsicKToCDNAcc /.  $\beta \rightarrow \mu$  /.  $\alpha \rightarrow \nu$ 
ExtrinsicKToLieD
%%[[2]] == ExtrinsicKToLieD[[2]]
% // ReleaseHold
% // Antisymmetrize // ToCanonical

Out[=] =  $K_{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon a_\nu n_\mu + n_{\nu;\mu}$ 

Out[=] =  $K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Hold}[\mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}]$ 

Out[=] =  $-\epsilon a_\nu n_\mu + n_{\nu;\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Hold}[\mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}]$ 

Out[=] =  $-\epsilon a_\nu n_\mu + n_{\nu;\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (K_{\mu\nu} + K_{\nu\mu})$ 

Out[=] =  $-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a_\nu n_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon a_\mu n_\nu + \frac{n_{\nu;\mu}}{2} - \frac{n_{\mu;\nu}}{2} = 0$ 
```

This is very important realization!

Without delving into this topic deeply, in general it holds that

$$u_{\mu;\nu} - \epsilon a_\mu u_\nu = u_{(\mu;\nu)} - \epsilon a_{(\mu} u_{\nu)} + u_{[\mu;\nu]} - \epsilon a_{[\mu} u_{\nu]} := \Theta_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}.$$

Meaning of this is that the hypersurface orthogonality boils down to zero vorticity tensor

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = h_\mu^\kappa h_\nu^\lambda u_{(\kappa;\lambda)} = u_{[\mu;\nu]} - \epsilon a_{[\mu} u_{\nu]} = 0, \text{ thus the Expansion tensor}$$

$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = h_\mu^\kappa h_\nu^\lambda u_{(\kappa;\lambda)} = u_{(\mu;\nu)} - \epsilon a_{(\mu} u_{\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_u h_{\mu\nu}$ need not be symmetrized and in general describes the term $u_{\mu;\nu} - \epsilon a_\mu u_\nu$ fully.

Furthermore, note that for vanishing vorticity tensor it follows that $\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv K_{\mu\nu}$! So the Expansion tensor is in fact the Exterior curvature tensor!

- u_μ in this equation stands for n_μ or a_μ

Since hypersurface orthogonality implies $\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$ & $\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$ leads to “motion” of the normal being described by the Extrinsic curvature (Expansion), also trivial analogy to hydrodynamics is that u_μ does not “curl” along the normal shift. This (geometrically/intuitively) justifies us to even introduce the 3+1 decomposition in the first place.

Complete insight follows from the Frobenius theorem.

In[1]:= **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsNFactor**

Out[1]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n_\mu = \epsilon N t_{,\mu} \\ t_{,\mu} = \frac{\epsilon n_\mu}{N} \\ -n_{\nu;\mu} + n_{\mu;\nu} = -n_{\nu,\mu} + n_{\mu,\nu} \\ -n_{\nu;\mu} + n_{\mu;\nu} = -\frac{n_\nu N_{,\mu}}{N} + \frac{n_\mu N_{,\nu}}{N} \\ a_\mu = -\frac{\epsilon h_\mu^\alpha N_{,\alpha}}{N} \\ a_\mu = -\frac{\epsilon h_\mu^\alpha N_{;\alpha}}{N} \\ a_\mu = -\frac{\epsilon N_{|\mu}}{N} \\ N_{|\mu} = -\epsilon a_\mu N \\ -\epsilon a_{\mu|\nu} = -a_\mu a_\nu + \frac{N_{|\mu|\nu}}{N} \\ -\epsilon a_\mu a_\nu + a_{\mu|\nu} = -\frac{\epsilon N_{|\mu|\nu}}{N} \end{array} \right.$$

Second foliation, 2+1+1 decomposition preparation

Now somewhat arduous task must be achieved, the second split has to be introduced. However, this time, **it cannot** be performed by **DefMetric** function. **DefMetric** function has an option to specify what vector induces the metric decomposition (**InducedFrom**), which is the previous approach for introducing 3+1 decomposition.

This option accepts only space time metric and a single (co)vector argument (previously the normal). This restrains the possibilities of how the second split can be introduced to following options:

- We can rework the definition of our space-time to be a product manifold of two 1D manifolds and a 2D manifold and (for convenience) switch to coordinate representation
- Introduce the required tensors (2D metric, 2D exterior curvature, ...) manually, equip it with appropriate symmetries and store every important properties such as raising/lowering indices as separate rule. This can only be done by introducing the 2D metric as 4D metric and then by lengthy set of rules ensuring it behaves exactly like twice induced metric (i.e. orthogonal to two vectors, induced from $g_{\mu\nu}$, describing a surface)

The structure of this notebook is already developed in general space time (without the product manifolds) and at this point it is not yet advantageous to immerse the quantities into particular coordinates (which is a topic of later chapters), thus the latter option is chosen.

Let us now have two congruences.

- First is already defined congruence given by some parameter t , and was deployed in the definition of Σ_t .
- Second congruence is chosen from hypersurface Σ_t , has parameter s , and defines a 2D surface Σ_{ts} . Let r^α be a vector field from tangent bundle of Σ_t . This vector field will act as normal to the surface Σ_{ts} , similarly to how n^α was defined.

Defining the normal of the Σ_{ts} hypersurface

```
In[1]:= $Equations2DNormal = {};
```

First off, similarly to what was already developed for the normal field n^α , a new vector field r^α is introduced and its norm is stored in the following set of rules.

With $r^\alpha r_\alpha = \zeta$, it immediately follows that for $\epsilon = -1$, $\zeta = 1$.

```
In[2]:= DefConstantSymbol[\zeta]
```

```
In[3]:= DefTensor[r[-\alpha], M, OrthogonalTo \rightarrow {n[\alpha]}]
```

```
In[4]:= \zeta /: Power[\zeta, r_?EvenQ] := 1
```

```
\zeta /: Power[\zeta, r_?OddQ] := \zeta
```

```
In[5]:= r[\alpha] \times n[-\alpha]
```

```
Out[5]= 0
```

```
In[6]:= \zeta == Scalar@Abs[r[-\mu] \times r[\mu]]
```

```
Define\zeta = %;
```

```
Out[6]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
Out[7]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
Out[8]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
Out[9]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
Out[10]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
In[11]:= AddEquation@Define\zeta;
```

```
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Define\zeta];
```

```
In[12]:= NoScalar /@ Define\zeta
```

```
Define\zetaNOSC = %;
```

```
Out[12]= \zeta == Abs[r_\mu r^\mu]
```

```
In[13]:= AddEquation@Define\zetaNOSC;
```

```
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Define\zetaNOSC];
```

Again, following from the definition directly:

```
In[14]:= r[-\alpha] \times r[\alpha] == \zeta
```

```
PutScalar /@ %
```

```
NormTo\zetaNoABS = %;
```

```
Out[14]= r_\alpha r^\alpha == \zeta
```

```
Out[15]= (r_\alpha r^\alpha) == \zeta
```

```
In[16]:= AddEquation@NormTo\zetaNoABS;
```

```
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, NormTo\zetaNoABS];
```

```
In[1]:= AutomaticRules[r, ApplyRule@NormToξNoABS]
Rules {1, 2} have been declared as generic Rules.
```

```
In[2]:= $Rules
Out[2]= {HoldPattern[(n_α n_β)] :> Module[{ }, ∈], HoldPattern[(n_α n_β)] :> Module[{ }, ∈],
         HoldPattern[(r_α r_β)] :> Module[{ }, ξ], HoldPattern[(r_α r_β)] :> Module[{ }, ξ]}
```

A quick test whether the rules hold accordingly

```
In[3]:= r[α] × r[-α] × r[μ] × r[-μ] × r[γ] × r[-γ]
% // PutScalar
% /. $Rules
```

```
Out[3]= r_α r^α r_γ r^γ r_μ r^μ
```

```
Out[3]= (r_α r^α) (r_γ r^γ) (r_μ r^μ)
```

```
Out[3]= ξ
```

Induced surface metric definition:

```
In[1]:= $Equations2Dmetric = {};
```

The newly induced metric will be denoted by $f_{\mu\nu}$, and it is clearly orthogonal to both n^μ and r^μ by definition, as

$$f_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon n_\mu n_\nu - \zeta r_\mu r_\nu$$

```
In[2]:= IM2Dmetdet = 1;
```

```
In[3]:= DefMetric[IM2Dmetdet, f[-μ, -ν], c2d, {"", "d"}]
```

DefMetric: There are already metrics {g, h} in vbundle TM.

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.
 ** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.
 ** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

```
In[4]:= f[-μ, -ν] == g[-μ, -ν] - ε n[-μ] × n[-ν] - ξ r[-μ] × r[-ν]
fTOg = %;
```

```
Out[4]= f_μν == g_μν - ε n_μ n_ν - ξ r_μ r_ν
```

```
In[5]:= AddEquation@fTOg;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, fTOg];
```

Firstly, $f_{\mu\nu} r^\mu = f_{\mu\nu} n^\mu = 0$

```
In[°]:= f[-μ, -ν] × r[μ] /. ApplyRule@fT0g
% // Expand // ContractMetric
(PutScalar /@ %) /. $Rules
```

```
Out[°]= r^μ (g_{νμ} - ε n_μ n_ν - ξ r_μ r_ν)
```

```
Out[°]= r_ν - ξ r_μ r^μ r_ν
```

```
Out[°]= 0
```

```
In[°]:= f[-μ, -ν] × n[μ] /. ApplyRule@fT0g
% // Expand // ContractMetric
(PutScalar /@ %) /. $Rules
```

```
Out[°]= n^μ (g_{νμ} - ε n_μ n_ν - ξ r_μ r_ν)
```

```
Out[°]= n_ν - ε n_μ n^μ n_ν
```

```
Out[°]= 0
```

We store these results and add them to the set of automatic rules

```
In[°]:= f[-μ, -ν] × r[μ] == 0
frOTG = %;
f[-μ, -ν] × n[μ] == 0
fnOTG = %;
```

```
Out[°]= f_{μν} r^μ == 0
```

```
Out[°]= f_{μν} n^μ == 0
```

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@fnOTG;
AddEquation@frOTG;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, fnOTG];
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, frOTG];
```

```
In[°]:= AutomaticRules[f, ApplyRule@fnOTG]
```

Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as UpValues for f.

```
In[°]:= AutomaticRules[f, ApplyRule@frOTG]
```

Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as UpValues for f.

```
In[°]:= {f[-μ, -ν] × r[μ], f[μ, ν] × n[-μ]}
```

```
Out[°]= {0, 0}
```

```
In[°]:= Solve[fT0g // ScreenDollarIndices, g[-μ, -ν]] // Flatten;
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
gTOf = %;
```

```
Out[°]= g_{μν} == f_{μν} + ε n_μ n_ν + ξ r_μ r_ν
```

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@gTOf;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, gTOf];
```

```

In[]:= f[-μ, -ν] == h[-μ, -ν] - ξ r[-μ] × r[-ν]
fTOh = %;

Out[]= fμν == hμν - ξ rμ rν

In[]:= AddEquation@fTOh;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, fTOh];
AddToList[$Equations3Dmetric, fTOh];

In[]:= Solve[fTOh // ScreenDollarIndices, h[-μ, -ν]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
hTOf = %;

Out[]= {hμν → fμν + ξ rμ rν}

```

```

Out[]= hμν == fμν + ξ rμ rν

In[]:= AddEquation@hTOf;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, hTOf];
AddToList[$Equations3Dmetric, hTOf];

```

Some further properties arise immediately:

```

In[]:= h[μ, ν] × r[-ν]
% /. ApplyRule@hTOg
% // Expand
% // ContractMetric

```

```
Out[]= hμν rν
```

```
Out[]= (gνμ - ε nμ nν) rν
```

```
Out[]= gνμ rν
```

```
Out[]= rμ
```

```

In[]:= h[-μ, -ν] × r[μ] == r[-ν]
hrTOr = %;

```

```
Out[]= hμν rμ == rν
```

```

In[]:= AddEquation@hrTOr;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, hrTOr];

```

```

In[]:= f[μ, -α] × h[α, -ν]
% /. ApplyRule@fTOg
% /. ApplyRule@hTOg;
% // Expand;
PutScalar /@%;
% /. $Rules

```

```
Out[]= fμα hαν
```

```
Out[]= hαν (δαμ - ε nα nμ - ξ rα rμ)
```

```
Out[]= δνμ - ε nμ nν - ξ rμ rν
```

Separate rule for **fTOg** variation of one covariant one contravariant index is not yet stored (as Kronecker symbol is protected), however it is clearly seen that the result is

```
In[<|]:= h[μ, -α] × f[α, -ν] == f[μ, -ν]
hFTOf = %;
f[μ, -ν] = h[μ, -α] × f[α, -ν]
fTOhf = %;
```

```
Out[<|]= fαν hμα == fμν
```

```
Out[<|]= fμν == fαν hμα
```

```
In[<|]:= AddEquation@hFTOf;
AddEquation@fTOhf;
AddToList[$Equations2DMetric, hFTOf];
AddToList[$Equations2DMetric, fTOhf];
```

Contraction rules for $f^{\mu\nu}$ also have to be developed

```
In[<|]:= f[α, -μ] × f[μ, β]
% /. ApplyRule@fTOg
% // Expand
PutScalar /@ %
% /. $Rules
% // ContractMetric
% /. ApplyRule@gTOf
```

```
Out[<|]= fαμ fμβ
```

```
Out[<|]= (δμα - εμα nμ - ξμα rμ) (gβμ - εμβ nμ - ξμβ rμ)
```

```
Out[<|]= gβα - εαβ nα - εαβμ nαμ nβμ nμ - ξαβ rα rβ - ξαβμ rα rβμ + rα rβμ rμ
```

```
Out[<|]= gβα - εαβ nα - εαβμ nαμ - ξαβ rα rβ - ξαβμ rα rβμ + nα nβ (nμ nμ) + rα rβ (rμ rμ)
```

```
Out[<|]= gβα - εαβμ nαμ - ξαβμ rα rμ
```

```
Out[<|]= gβα - εαβ nα - ξαβ rα rβ
```

```
Out[<|]= fβα
```

```
In[<|]:= f[α, -μ] × f[μ, β] == f[α, β]
ffContrTOf = %;
```

```
Out[<|]= fαμ fμβ == fαβ
```

```
In[<|]:= AddEquation@ffContrTOf;
AddToList[$Equations2DMetric, ffContrTOf];
```

Similarly as in 4D case, orthogonality of 4-Acceleration and 4-Velocity holds (for precisely the same reason - normalization).

```
In[<|]:= cd[-ρ] @ r[-μ] × r[μ] == 0
RGRADRZERO = %;
```

```
Out[<|]= rμ rμ | ρ == 0
```

```
In[1]:= AddEquation@RGRADRZERO;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, RGRADRZERO];
```

Since $h_{\mu\nu} r^\mu r^\nu = \zeta$ it follows that

```
In[2]:= CD[-\alpha]@h[-\mu, -\nu] (r[\mu] \times r[\nu]) == -h[-\mu, -\nu] \times CD[-\alpha]@(r[\mu] \times r[\nu])
% /. ApplyRule@hTOg
% // Expand
```

```
Out[2]= r^\mu r^\nu h_{\mu\nu; \alpha} == -h_{\mu\nu} (r^\nu r^\mu; \alpha + r^\mu r^\nu; \alpha)
```

```
Out[3]= -\in r^\mu r^\nu (n_\nu n_{\mu; \alpha} + n_\mu n_{\nu; \alpha}) == -((g_{\nu\mu} - \in n_\mu n_\nu) (r^\nu r^\mu; \alpha + r^\mu r^\nu; \alpha))
```

```
Out[4]= 0 == -g_{\nu\mu} r^\nu r^\mu; \alpha - g_{\nu\mu} r^\mu r^\nu; \alpha
```

As we can see, LHS vanishes, thus RHS vanishes aswell, storing this result:

```
In[5]:= CD[-\alpha]@h[-\mu, -\nu] (r[\mu] \times r[\nu]) == 0
rrCDh = %;
h[-\mu, -\nu] \times CD[-\alpha]@(r[\mu] \times r[\nu]) == 0
hCDrr = %;
```

```
Out[5]= r^\mu r^\nu h_{\mu\nu; \alpha} == 0
```

```
Out[6]= h_{\mu\nu} (r^\nu r^\mu; \alpha + r^\mu r^\nu; \alpha) == 0
```

```
In[7]:= AddEquation@rrCDh;
AddEquation@hCDrr;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, rrCDh];
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, hCDrr];
```

Similarly

```
In[8]:= CD[-\alpha]@f[-\mu, -\nu] \times n[\mu] \times n[\nu]
% /. ApplyRule@fTOg
% // Expand
PutScalar/@%;
% /. $Rules;
ContractMetric/@%;
% /. ApplyRule@NGRADNZERO
```

```
Out[8]= n^\mu n^\nu f_{\mu\nu; \alpha}
```

```
Out[9]= n^\mu n^\nu (-\in (n_\nu n_{\mu; \alpha} + n_\mu n_{\nu; \alpha}) - \zeta (r_\nu r_{\mu; \alpha} + r_\mu r_{\nu; \alpha}))
```

```
Out[10]= -\in n^\mu n_\nu n^\nu n_{\mu; \alpha} - \in n_\mu n^\mu n^\nu n_{\nu; \alpha}
```

```
Out[11]= 0
```

This last result is LHS of $n^\mu n^\nu f_{\mu\nu; \alpha} = -f_{\mu\nu} (n^\mu n^\nu); \alpha$, thus both sides vanish.

```

In[°]:= n[\mu] \times n[\nu] \times CD[-\alpha] @ f[-\mu, -\nu] == 0
nnCDF = %;
f[-\mu, -\nu] \times CD[-\alpha] @ (n[\mu] \times n[\nu]) == 0
fCDnn = %;

Out[°]= n^\mu n^\nu f_{\mu\nu;\alpha} == 0

Out[°]= f_{\mu\nu} \left( n^\nu n^\mu_{;\alpha} + n^\mu n^\nu_{;\alpha} \right) == 0

In[°]:= AddEquation@nnCDF;
AddEquation@fCDnn;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, nnCDF];
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, fCDnn];

```

Defining the 2D acceleration, second fundamental form, and it's trace.

All the following identities are either the exact copy of already developed identities involving the normal acceleration, 3D second fundamental form and it's trace. However, xAct is not equipped for 2+1+1 split, so some of the functions such as **GradNormalToExtrinsicK** cannot be used this time.

2D acceleration:

```

In[°]:= DefTensor[b[-\mu], M, OrthogonalTo \rightarrow {r[\mu], n[\mu]}]

In[°]:= b[-\mu] == cd[-\nu] @ r[-\mu] \times r[\nu]
Acc2DT0rcd3DDivr = %;

Out[°]= b_\mu == r^\nu r_{\mu|\nu}

In[°]:= AddEquation@Acc2DT0rcd3DDivr;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Acc2DT0rcd3DDivr];

In[°]:= r[\nu] \times cd[-\nu] @ r[-\mu] == b[-\mu]
Acc2Ddef = %;

Out[°]= r^\nu r_{\mu|\nu} == b_\mu

In[°]:= AddEquation@Acc2Ddef;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Acc2DT0rcd3DDivr];

```

Now we will expand the 3D derivative of a 3D covector to r_α .

```

In[°]:= cd3DCovector
% /. V \rightarrow r

Out[°]= V_{\alpha|\beta} == h^\gamma_\alpha h^\delta_\beta V_{\gamma;\delta}

Out[°]= r_{\alpha|\beta} == h^\gamma_\alpha h^\delta_\beta r_{\gamma;\delta}

```

```

In[]:= Acc2DT0rcd3DDivr
% /. ApplyRule@ (cd3DCovector /. V → r)
% /. ApplyRule@hrT0r
Acc2DDefCD = %;

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = r^\nu \ r_{\mu|\nu}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = h^\alpha_\mu \ h^\beta_\nu \ r^\nu \ r_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = h^\alpha_\mu \ r^\beta \ r_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

In[]:= AddEquation@Acc2DDefCD;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Acc2DDefCD];

In[]:= Acc2DDefCD
% /. ApplyRule@hT0g;
% // Expand // ScreenDollarIndices
% /. MakeRule[{n[\alpha] × CD[-\nu]@r[-\alpha], -CD[-\nu]@n[-\alpha] × r[\alpha]},
  ContractMetrics → True, MetricOn → All]
% // GradNormalToExtrinsicK
% // Expand
Acc2DTOExtrinsicK = %;

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = h^\alpha_\mu \ r^\beta \ r_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = r^\alpha \ r_{\mu;\alpha} - \epsilon n^\alpha \ n_\mu \ r^\beta \ r_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = r^\alpha \ r_{\mu;\alpha} + \epsilon n_\mu \ r^\alpha \ r^\beta \ n_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = \epsilon (K_{\beta\alpha} + \epsilon a_\alpha \ n_\beta) \ n_\mu \ r^\alpha \ r^\beta + r^\alpha \ r_{\mu;\alpha}$ 

Out[=]=  $b_\mu = \epsilon K_{\beta\alpha} \ n_\mu \ r^\alpha \ r^\beta + r^\alpha \ r_{\mu;\alpha}$ 

In[]:= AddEquation@Acc2DTOExtrinsicK;
AddToList[$Equations2DNormal, Acc2DTOExtrinsicK];

In[]:= DisplayEQ@$Equations2DNormal

Out[=]//MatrixForm=

$$\left( \begin{array}{l}
\zeta = \text{Abs}[r_\mu \ r^\mu] \\
\zeta = \text{Abs}[r_\mu \ r^\mu] \\
(r_\alpha \ r^\alpha) = \zeta \\
h_{\mu\nu} \ r^\mu = r_\nu \\
r^\mu \ r_{\mu|\rho} = 0 \\
r^\mu \ r^\nu \ h_{\mu\nu;\alpha} = 0 \\
h_{\mu\nu} (r^\nu \ r^\mu;_\alpha + r^\mu \ r^\nu;_\alpha) = 0 \\
b_\mu = r^\nu \ r_{\mu|\nu} \\
b_\mu = r^\nu \ r_{\mu|\nu} \\
b_\mu = h^\alpha_\mu \ r^\beta \ r_{\alpha;\beta} \\
b_\mu = \epsilon K_{\beta\alpha} \ n_\mu \ r^\alpha \ r^\beta + r^\alpha \ r_{\mu;\alpha}
\end{array} \right)$$


```

In[¹⁰]:= **DisplayEQ@\$Equations2Dmetric**

Out[¹⁰]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_{\mu\nu} == g_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon n_\mu n_\nu - \zeta r_\mu r_\nu \\ \quad \text{True} \\ \quad \text{True} \\ g_{\mu\nu} == f_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon n_\mu n_\nu + \zeta r_\mu r_\nu \\ f_{\mu\nu} == h_{\mu\nu} - \zeta r_\mu r_\nu \\ h_{\mu\nu} == f_{\mu\nu} + \zeta r_\mu r_\nu \\ f^\alpha_\nu h^\mu_\alpha == f^\mu_\nu \\ f^\mu_\nu == f^\alpha_\nu h^\mu_\alpha \\ f^\alpha_\mu f^{\mu\beta} == f^{\alpha\beta} \\ n^\mu n^\nu f_{\mu\nu;\alpha} == 0 \\ f_{\mu\nu} (n^\nu n^\mu_{;\alpha} + n^\mu n^\nu_{;\alpha}) == 0 \end{array} \right.$$

2D Second fundamental form:

In[¹¹]:= **\$Equations2DExtCurv = {};**

Similarly how the Extrinsic curvature tensor $K_{\mu\nu}$ was orthogonal to n^μ , the newly arising 2D Extrinsic curvature tensor is orthogonal to both n^μ and r^μ

In[¹²]:= **DefTensor[k[-μ, -ν], M, Symmetric[{-μ, -ν}], OrthogonalTo → {r[μ], n[μ]}]**

First observation is that (obviously) $k_{\mu\alpha}$ contracts with f^α_ν

In[¹³]:= **k[-μ, -α] × f[α, -ν]**
% /. ApplyRule@fT0g
% // Expand

Out[¹³]= $f^\alpha_\nu k_{\mu\alpha}$

Out[¹⁴]= $k_{\mu\alpha} (\delta^\alpha_\nu - \epsilon n^\alpha n_\nu - \zeta r^\alpha r_\nu)$

Out[¹⁵]= $k_{\mu\nu}$

In[¹⁶]:= **k[-μ, -α] × f[α, -ν] == k[-μ, -ν]**
fkContr = %;

Out[¹⁶]= $f^\alpha_\nu k_{\mu\alpha} == k_{\mu\nu}$

In[¹⁷]:= **AddEquation@fkContr;**
AddToList[\$Equations2DExtCurv, fkContr];

In[¹⁸]:= **k[-μ, -ν] == cd[-β]@r[-α] × f[α, -μ] × f[β, -ν]**
ExtrCurv2DDef = %;

Out[¹⁸]= $k_{\mu\nu} == f^\alpha_\mu f^\beta_\nu r_{\alpha|\beta}$

In[¹⁹]:= **AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DDef;**
AddToList[\$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DDef];

An adjustment using once

```

In[1]:= fTOh

Out[1]=  $f_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \xi r_\mu r_\nu$ 

In[2]:=  $k[-\mu, -\nu] = (h[\alpha, -\mu] - \xi r[\alpha] \times r[-\mu]) f[\beta, -\nu] \times cd[-\beta] @ r[-\alpha]$ 
% // Expand
% /. ApplyRule@RGRADZERO
ExtrCurv2DDeff = %;

Out[2]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = f^\beta_\nu (h^\alpha_\mu - \xi r^\alpha r_\mu) r_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

Out[3]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = -\xi f^\beta_\nu r^\alpha r_\mu r_{\alpha|\beta} + f^\beta_\nu r_{\mu|\beta}$ 

Out[4]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = f^\beta_\nu r_{\mu|\beta}$ 

In[5]:= AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DDeff;
AddToList[$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DDeff];

In[6]:= ExtrCurv2DDeff /. ApplyRule@fTOh
% // Expand
% /. ApplyRule@Acc2Ddef
ExtrCurv2DT0Acc2D = %;

Out[6]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = (h^\beta_\nu - \xi r^\beta r_\nu) r_{\mu|\beta}$ 

Out[7]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = -\xi r^\beta r_\nu r_{\mu|\beta} + r_{\mu|\nu}$ 

Out[8]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = -\xi b_\mu r_\nu + r_{\mu|\nu}$ 

In[9]:= AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DT0Acc2D;
AddToList[$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DT0Acc2D];

The last equation is in fact directly  $\frac{1}{2} {}^{(3)}\mathcal{L}_r f_{\mu\nu}$ , however this quantity will not be deployed directly. It is still worth a mention, as this equation is a direct 3D (in fact 2D, as  ${}^{(3)}\mathcal{L}_r f_{\mu\nu}$  is an element of (co)tangent space  $\Sigma_{nr}$ ) analogy of

In[10]:= ExtrinsicKToLieD

Out[10]=  $K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} Hold[\mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}]$ 

In[11]:= ExtrCurv2DDeff
% /. MakeRule[{cd[-\beta] @ r[-\mu], h[-\beta, \sigma] \times h[-\mu, \rho] \times CD[-\sigma] @ r[-\rho]}]
% /. ApplyRule@hFTOf
ExtrCurv2DDeffhCD = %;

Out[11]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = f^\beta_\nu r_{\mu|\beta}$ 

Out[12]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = f^\beta_\nu h_\beta^\gamma h_\mu^\alpha r_{\alpha;\gamma}$ 

Out[13]=  $k_{\mu\nu} = f^\beta_\nu h_\mu^\alpha r_{\alpha;\beta}$ 

In[14]:= AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DDeffhCD;
AddToList[$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DDeffhCD];

```

Now since

In[$\#$]:= **hrT0r**

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = h_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} = r_{\nu}$$

In[$\#$] = **CD**[- β] @ (h[μ , ν] \times r[- μ]) = **CD**[- β] @ r[ν]
CDhr = %;

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = r_{\mu} h^{\mu\nu}_{;\beta} + h^{\mu\nu} r_{\mu;\beta} = r^{\nu}_{;\beta}$$

In[$\#$] = **AddEquation@CDhr**;
AddToList[\$Equations2DNormal, CDhr];

Or

In[$\#$] = h[μ , ν] \times **CD**[- β] @ r[- μ] = **CD**[- β] @ r[ν] - r[- μ] \times **CD**[- β] @ h[μ , ν]
hCDr = %;

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = h^{\mu\nu} r_{\mu;\beta} = - r_{\mu} h^{\mu\nu}_{;\beta} + r^{\nu}_{;\beta}$$

In[$\#$] = **AddEquation@hCDr**;
AddToList[\$Equations2DNormal, hCDr];

In[$\#$] = **ExtrCurv2DDeffhCD** // **ScreenDollarIndices**
% /. **ApplyRule@hCDr** // **Expand**
% /. **ApplyRule@hT0g** // **Expand**
% // **GradNormalToExtrinsicK** // **Expand**
ExtrCurv2DT0ExtrinsicK = %;

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = k_{\mu\nu} = f^{\beta}_{\nu} h^{\alpha}_{\mu} r_{\alpha;\beta}$$

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = k_{\mu\nu} = - f^{\beta}_{\nu} r_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}_{\mu;\beta} + f^{\beta}_{\nu} r_{\mu;\beta}$$

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = k_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon f^{\beta}_{\nu} n_{\mu} r_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}_{;\beta} + f^{\beta}_{\nu} r_{\mu;\beta}$$

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = k_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon K^{\alpha}_{\beta} f^{\beta}_{\nu} n_{\mu} r_{\alpha} + f^{\beta}_{\nu} r_{\mu;\beta}$$

In[$\#$] = **AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DT0ExtrinsicK**;
AddToList[\$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DT0ExtrinsicK];

In[$\#$] = k[- μ , μ] = f[μ , ν] \times k[- μ , - ν]
ExtrCurv2DTRDef = %;

$$\text{Out}[$\#$] = k_{\mu}^{\mu} = f^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu\nu}$$

In[$\#$] = **AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DTRDef**;
AddToList[\$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DTRDef];

```
In[°]:= ExtrCurv2DTRDef
%[[1]] == (%[[2]] /. ApplyRule@ExtrCurv2DDef)
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf;
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf;
%[[1]] == (%[[2]] /. ApplyRule@fTOh // Expand)
% /. ApplyRule@RGRADZERO
% // ContractMetric
ExtrCurv2DT0cdDivr = %;
```

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == f^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu\nu}$$

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == f^\alpha_\mu f^\beta_\nu f^{\mu\nu} r_{\alpha|\beta}$$

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == h^{\beta\alpha} r_{\alpha|\beta} - \zeta r^\alpha r^\beta r_{\alpha|\beta}$$

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == h^{\beta\alpha} r_{\alpha|\beta}$$

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == r^\alpha_{|\alpha}$$

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DT0cdDivr;
AddToList[$Equations3DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DT0cdDivr];
```

At this point an equation developed earlier for induced divergence of a 3D entity is needed:

```
In[°]:= cd3DDivTOAccCD
% /. V → r
```

$$Out[°]= V^\lambda_{|\lambda} == \in a^\beta V_\beta + V^\alpha_{;\alpha}$$

$$Out[°]= r^\lambda_{|\lambda} == \in a^\beta r_\beta + r^\alpha_{;\alpha}$$

```
In[°]:= ExtrCurv2DT0cdDivr /. ApplyRule@ (cd3DDivTOAccCD /. V → r)
ExtrCurv2DToAccCDDivR = %;
```

$$Out[°]= k_\mu^\mu == \in a^\alpha r_\alpha + r^\alpha_{;\alpha}$$

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@ExtrCurv2DToAccCDDivR;
AddToList[$Equations2DExtCurv, ExtrCurv2DToAccCDDivR];
```

Another note about orthogonality. Since $k^{\mu\nu}$ is tangent to Σ_{ts} , it follows that:

```
In[°]:= {b[\mu] × r[-\mu], k[-\mu, -\nu] × r[\nu], b[\mu] × n[-\mu], k[-\mu, -\nu] × n[\nu]}
```

$$Out[°]= \{0, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Lastly, the main result - a correspondence with **GradNormalToExtrinsicK** has to be found for 2D derivative.

```

In[°]:= ExtrCurv2DToAcc2D // ScreenDollarIndices
Solve[%, cd[-ν]@r[-μ]] // Flatten
%[[1]] /. Rule → Equal
Grad2DNormalTO2DExtrCurv = %;

Out[°]= k_μν == -L b_μ r_ν + r_μ|ν

Out[°]= {r_μ|ν → k_μν + L b_μ r_ν}

Out[°]= r_μ|ν == k_μν + L b_μ r_ν

In[°]:= AddEquation@Grad2DNormalTO2DExtrCurv;
AddToList[$Equations2DExtCurv, Grad2DNormalTO2DExtrCurv];

In[°]:= DisplayEQ@$Equations2DExtCurv

Out[°]//MatrixForm=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f^\alpha_\nu k_{\mu\alpha} == k_{\mu\nu} \\ k_{\mu\nu} == f^\alpha_\mu f^\beta_\nu r_{\alpha|\beta} \\ k_{\mu\nu} == f^\beta_\nu r_{\mu|\beta} \\ k_{\mu\nu} == -L b_\mu r_\nu + r_{\mu|\nu} \\ k_{\mu\nu} == f^\beta_\nu h_\mu^\alpha r_{\alpha;\beta} \\ k_{\mu\nu} == \in K_\beta^\alpha f^\beta_\nu n_\mu r_\alpha + f^\beta_\nu r_{\mu;\beta} \\ k_\mu^\mu == f^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu\nu} \\ k_\mu^\mu == \in a^\alpha r_\alpha + r^\alpha_{;\alpha} \\ r_{\mu|\nu} == k_{\mu\nu} + L b_\mu r_\nu \end{array} \right\}$$


```

Induced surface covariant derivative & Riemann tensor

```

In[°]:= $Equations2Dderivative = {};
In[°]:= DefTensor[B[-μ], M, OrthogonalTo → {n[μ], r[μ]}]
In[°]:= c2d[-β]@B[-α] == f[-α, μ] × f[-β, ν] × cd[-ν]@B[-μ]
cd2DCovector = %;

Out[°]= B_α||β == f_α^μ f_β^ν B_μ|ν

In[°]:= AddEquation@cd2DCovector;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DCovector];

In[°]:= cd2DCovector

Out[°]= B_α||β == f_α^μ f_β^ν B_μ|ν

```

```
In[]:= c2d[-β]@B[-α] == cd[-ν]@B[-μ] × f[-β, ν] (f[-α, μ] /. ApplyRule@fT0h)
% // Expand
% /. MakeRule[{r[μ] × cd[-ν]@B[-μ], -cd[-ν]@r[μ] × B[-μ]}]
% /. ApplyRule@Grad2DNormalTO2DExtrCurv;
% // Expand // ContractMetric
% /. ApplyRule@fkContr
cd2DCovectorTOExtrCurv2D = %;

Out[]= Bα||β == fβν (hαμ - ℒ rα rμ) Bμ|ν

Out[]= Bα||β == fβν Bα|ν - ℒ fβν rα rμ Bμ|ν

Out[=] Bα||β == fβν Bα|ν + ℒ Bγ fβν rα rγ Bγ|ν

Out[=] Bα||β == ℒ Bγ fβν kγν rα + fβν Bα|ν

Out[=] Bα||β == ℒ Bγ kβγ rα + fβν Bα|ν

In[]:= AddEquation@cd2DCovectorTOExtrCurv2D;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DCovectorTOExtrCurv2D];
```

Further adjustment is to be made by

```
In[]:= cd3CDCovectorExtrK

Out[=] Vα|β == ∈ Kβγ nα Vγ + hδβ Vα;δ

In[]:= cd2DCovectorTOExtrCurv2D /. ApplyRule@ (cd3CDCovectorExtrK /. V → B)
% // Expand
% /. ApplyRule@hFT0f
cd2DCovectorTOCDExtrKExtrCurv2D = %;

Out[=] Bα||β == ℒ Bγ kβγ rα + fβν ( ∈ Bδ Kδν nα + hγν Bα;γ )

Out[=] Bα||β == ∈ Bγ Kγν fβν nα + ℒ Bγ kγβ rα + fβν hγν Bα;γ

Out[=] Bα||β == ∈ Bγ Kγν fβν nα + ℒ Bγ kγβ rα + fγβ Bα;γ

In[]:= AddEquation@cd2DCovectorTOCDExtrKExtrCurv2D;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DCovectorTOCDExtrKExtrCurv2D];
```

Vectorial entities abide the very same equations (and they are immediately accessible due to xAct pattern matching). For example:

```
In[]:= c2d[β]@B[α] == (c2d[β]@B[α] /. ApplyRule@cd2DCovectorTOCDExtrKExtrCurv2D)

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[=] Bα||β == ∈ Bδ Kδγ fβγ nα + ℒ Bγ kγβ rα + fγβ Bα;γ
```

However for divergence terms it is worth expressing these identities (and a few more) explicitly:

```
In[]:= c2d[-α]@B[α] == f[α, γ] × c2d[-α]@B[-γ]
cd2DCovDivdef = %;

Out[=] Bα||α == fαγ Bγ||α
```

```

In[=]:= AddEquation@cd2DCovDivdef;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DCovDivdef];

In[=]:= cd2DCovDivdef[[1]] == (cd2DCovDivdef[[2]] /. ApplyRule@cd2DCovector)
(*Make rule problem involving indices is announced,
however this is an artefact deriving in
the fact that xact does not involve 2+1+1 splitting*)
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf
cd2DDIVTOfc3D = %;

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == f_{\alpha}^{\delta} f^{\alpha\gamma} f_{\gamma}^{\beta} B_{\beta|\delta}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == f_{\alpha}^{\gamma} f^{\alpha\beta} B_{\beta|\gamma}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == f^{\beta\alpha} B_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

In[=]:= AddEquation@cd2DDIVTOfc3D;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DDIVTOfc3D];

In[=]:= cd2DDIVTOfc3D /. ApplyRule@fTOh
% // Expand
% /. MakeRule[{r[\alpha] \times cd[-\beta] @ B[-\alpha], -cd[-\beta] @ r[\alpha] \times B[-\alpha]}]
% /. ApplyRule@Acc2Ddef
% // ContractMetric
cd2DDIVTOcd3DDIVAccCov = %;

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == (h^{\beta\alpha} - \zeta r^{\alpha} r^{\beta}) B_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == h^{\beta\alpha} B_{\alpha|\beta} - \zeta r^{\alpha} r^{\beta} B_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == \zeta B_{\beta} r^{\alpha} r^{\beta}_{|\alpha} + h^{\beta\alpha} B_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == \zeta b^{\alpha} B_{\alpha} + h^{\beta\alpha} B_{\alpha|\beta}$ 

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == \zeta b^{\alpha} B_{\alpha} + B^{\alpha}_{|\alpha}$ 

In[=]:= AddEquation@cd2DDIVTOcd3DDIVAccCov;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DDIVTOcd3DDIVAccCov];

In[=]:= cd2DDIVTOcd3DDIVAccCov /. ApplyRule@ (cd3DDivTOAccCD /. V \rightarrow B)
cd2DDIVTOCDDIVAcc2DAcc3DCov = %;

Out[=]=  $B_{\alpha||\alpha}^{\alpha} == a^{\alpha} B_{\alpha} + \zeta b^{\alpha} B_{\alpha} + B^{\alpha}_{;\alpha}$ 

In[=]:= AddEquation@cd2DDIVTOCDDIVAcc2DAcc3DCov;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DDIVTOCDDIVAcc2DAcc3DCov];

```

2D Riemann tensor

The Riemann tensor is given (abstractly) by already defined 2D (albeit introduced as another (full)spacetime derivative) as

```
In[1]:= Riemannnc2d[\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta]
Out[1]= R[d]^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}

In[2]:= {PrintAs[Riemannnc2d] ^= "(2)R",
          PrintAs[Riccic2d] ^= "(2)R", PrintAs[RicciScalarrc2d] ^= "(2)R"}
Out[2]= {(2)R, (2)R, (2)R}

In[3]:= {Riemannnc2d[-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma, -\delta], Riccic2d[-\mu, -\nu], RicciScalarrc2d[]}
Out[3]= {(2)R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, (2)R_{\mu\nu}, (2)R}
```

The 2D-Riemann tensor definitions is given by:

```
In[4]:= Riemannnc2d[-\mu, -\nu, -\kappa, -\lambda] \times B[\mu] == c2d[-\lambda] @ c2d[-\kappa] @ B[-\nu] - c2d[-\kappa] @ c2d[-\lambda] @ B[-\nu]
Riemann2DCommutatordef = %;
Out[4]= B^\mu (2)R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} == -B_{\nu||\lambda||\kappa} + B_{\nu||\kappa||\lambda}
```

```
In[5]:= AddEquation@Riemann2DCommutatordef;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, Riemann2DCommutatordef];
```

Albeit, as Σ_{ts} is a surface, it's Riemann tensor is given by a single component (corresponding Ricci Scalar) as:

```
In[6]:= Riemannnc2d[-\mu, -\nu, -\kappa, -\lambda] ==
          RicciScalarrc2d[] (f[-\mu, -\kappa] \times f[-\nu, -\lambda] - f[-\mu, -\lambda] \times f[-\nu, -\kappa]) / 2
Riemann2DRicci2Ddef = %;
Out[6]= (2)R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} == \frac{1}{2} (-f_{\mu\lambda} f_{\nu\kappa} + f_{\mu\kappa} f_{\nu\lambda}) (2)R
```

```
In[7]:= AddEquation@Riemann2DRicci2Ddef;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, Riemann2DRicci2Ddef];
```

```
In[8]:= B[\mu] \times f[-\mu, \lambda] == B[\lambda]
ContractVecf = %;
```

```
Out[8]= B^\mu f_\mu^{\lambda} == B^\lambda
```

```
In[9]:= AddEquation@ContractVecf;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric];
```

Hence

```
In[°]:= Riemann2DRicci2Ddef * B[μ]
% // Expand
% /. ApplyRule@ContractVecf
% /. ApplyRule@Riemann2DCommutatordef
cd2DCommutTORicci = %;

Out[°]= B^μ (2) R_{μνκλ} == 1/2 B^μ (- f_{μλ} f_{νκ} + f_{μκ} f_{νλ}) (2) R
Out[°]= B^μ (2) R_{μνκλ} == - 1/2 B^μ f_{μλ} f_{νκ} (2) R + 1/2 B^μ f_{μκ} f_{νλ} (2) R
Out[°]= B^μ (2) R_{μνκλ} == - 1/2 B_λ f_{νκ} (2) R + 1/2 B_κ f_{νλ} (2) R
Out[°]= - B_{ν||λ||κ} + B_{ν||κ||λ} == - 1/2 B_λ f_{νκ} (2) R + 1/2 B_κ f_{νλ} (2) R

In[°]:= AddEquation@cd2DCommutTORicci;
AddToList[$Equations2Dderivative, cd2DCommutTORicci];
```

Obtaining the lost relations

For this chapter no list will be created, as it is desired to make all yet unobtained rules automatic.

In this chapter we have to “cover” for what xAct lacks - full 2+1+1 formalism. We have already introduced a metric, derivative and all the curvature tensors and “2D” 4-velocity. However now we have to instruct xAct on several important relations:

Following is:

- How metrics $f_{μν}$ act on any of the developed tensors
 - What are all the orthogonal relations of all said developed tensors with respect to $r^α$ & $n^α$
- We will take inspiration from the 3+1 case, as the geometrical setup is the exact same.**

The 2D metric

We have already obtained some rules previously

- $f_{μν}$ & the normal vectors $r^μ$, $n^ν$ are orthogonal.
- how the metrics acts on itself and the 3D metric

```
In[°]:= ffContrTof
hfTof
fTohf

Out[°]= f^α_μ f^μβ == f^{αβ}
Out[°]= f^α_ν h^μ_α == f^μ_ν
Out[°]= f^μ_ν == f^α_ν h^μ_α
```

Metric action on the 2D extrinsic curvature tensor

```
In[]:= h[\mu, \nu] \times \text{ExtrinsicKh}[-\mu, -\alpha]
Out[]= K_\alpha^\nu

In[]:= f[\mu, \nu] \times k[-\mu, -\alpha] == k[\nu, -\alpha]
MA2DExtrCurv = %;

Out[=] f^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu\alpha} == k_\alpha^\nu

In[]:= \text{AddEquation@MA2DExtrCurv};

In[]:= \text{AutomaticRules}[k, \text{ApplyRule@MA2DExtrCurv}]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} have been declared as UpValues for k.
```

And a test:

```
In[]:= f[\mu, \nu] \times k[-\alpha, -\mu]
Out[=] k_\alpha^\nu
```

The action on “2D” 4-Acceleration

```
In[]:= h[\mu, \nu] \times \text{Accelerationn}[-\nu]
Out[=] a^\mu

In[]:= f[\mu, \nu] \times b[-\nu] == b[\mu]
MA2DAcc = %;

Out[=] b_\nu f^{\mu\nu} == b^\mu

In[]:= \text{AddEquation@MA2DAcc};

In[]:= \text{AutomaticRules}[b, \text{ApplyRule@MA2DAcc}]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as UpValues for b.
```

And a test:

```
In[]:= f[-\mu, \nu] \times b[\mu]
Out[=] b^\nu
```

How the metric acts on the 2D Riemann tensor

```
In[]:= \text{Riemanncd}[-\mu, -\nu, -\kappa, -\lambda] \times h[\mu, \alpha]
Out[=] (3) R^\alpha_{\nu\kappa\lambda}

In[]:= \text{Riemannc2d}[-\mu, -\nu, -\kappa, -\lambda] \times f[\mu, \alpha] == \text{Riemannc2d}[\alpha, -\nu, -\kappa, -\lambda]
MA2DRiemann1 = %;

Out[=] f^{\mu\alpha} (2) R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} == (2) R^\alpha_{\nu\kappa\lambda}

In[]:= \text{AddEquation@MA2DRiemann1};
```

```
In[]:= AutomaticRules[RiemannC2d, ApplyRule@MA2DRiemann1]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} have been declared as UpValues for RiemannC2d.

In[]:= RiemannC2d[-α, β, -γ, δ] × f[-β, μ]
Out[]= -(2) R^μ_αγ^δ
```

However the Ricci tensor is not produced:

```
In[]:= RiemannC2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] × f[α, γ] == Riccic2d[-β, -δ]
MA2DRiemann2 = %;

Out[]= (2) R^γ_βγδ == (2) R_βδ
```

```
In[]:= AddEquation@MA2DRiemann2;
AutomaticRules[RiemannC2d, ApplyRule@MA2DRiemann2]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as DownValues for RiemannC2d.
```

Again a quick test:

```
In[]:= RiemannC2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] × f[α, γ]
Out[]= (2) R_βδ

In[]:= RiemannC2d[-α, -β, -δ, -γ] × f[α, γ]
Out[]= -(2) R_βδ
```

2D Ricci tensor contractions:

```
In[]:= Riccicd[-μ, -ν] × h[μ, α]
Out[]= (3) R^α_ν

In[]:= Riccic2d[-μ, -ν] × f[μ, α] == Riccic2d[α, -ν]
MA2DRicci1 = %;

Out[]= f^μα (2) R_μν == (2) R^α_ν

In[]:= AddEquation@MA2DRicci1;
AutomaticRules[Riccic2d, ApplyRule@MA2DRicci1]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} have been declared as UpValues for Riccic2d.
```

Again a quick test:

```
In[]:= Riccic2d[-μ, -ν] × f[μ, -α]
Out[]= (2) R_αν
```

Yet again the Ricci scalar does not arise:

```
In[]:= Riccic2d[-μ, -ν] × f[μ, ν]
Out[]= (2) R^ν_ν
```

```
In[]:= Riccic2d[-μ, -ν] × f[μ, ν] == RicciScalarc2d[]
MA2DRicci2 = %;

Out[]= 
$$(2) R^{\nu}_{\nu} == (2) R$$


In[]:= AddEquation@MA2DRicci2;
AutomaticRules[Riccic2d, ApplyRule@MA2DRicci2]

Rules {1, 2} have been declared as DownValues for Riccic2d.
```

Test:

```
In[]:= Riccic2d[-α, α]
Out[]= 
$$(2) R$$

```

Orthogonality relations w.r. to normal fields:

Again getting inspiration from 3+1 split.

Already obtained relations are:

```
In[]:= Accelerationn[α] × n[-α]
Out[]= 0
```

```
In[]:= b[α] × r[-α]
Out[]= 0
```

```
In[]:= n[α] × Extrinsickh[-α, -β]
Out[]= 0
```

```
In[]:= r[α] × k[-α, -β]
Out[]= 0
```

```
In[]:= NGRADNZERO
Out[]=  $n^\alpha \cdot n_{\alpha;\nu} = 0$ 
```

```
In[]:= RGRADRZERO
Out[]=  $r^\mu \cdot r_{\mu|\rho} = 0$ 
```

Considering now that every tensor existing co/tangent bundle of Σ_t is already orthogonal to n^α .
Decomposition of Σ_t into Σ_{ts} & r^α direction should not change the orthogonality obtained.

```
In[]:= r[-α] × n[α]
Out[]= 0
```

```
In[]:= f[α, β] × n[-β]
Out[]= 0
```

For example the three main decomposition equations:

The gauss equation:

```
In[1]:= GaussEquation
Out[1]= hαβ hβγ hγλ hλμ Rαβγμ == Kγβ Kδα - Kγα Kδβ + (3) Rδγβα

In[2]:= Riemanncd[-μ, -ν, -κ, -λ] × n[μ]
Out[2]= 0

In[3]:= ExtrinsicKh[γ, β] × n[-β]
Out[3]= 0
```

Which was already obtained.

The Codazzi equation

```
In[1]:= CodazziEquation
Out[1]= hβλ hγκ hδλ nα Rαβγδ == -Kβδ|γ + Kβγ|δ

In[2]:= c2d[-γ] @ ExtrinsicKh[-β, -δ] × n[γ]
Out[2]= 0

Was not yet obtained in 2D, let's develop it now.
```

```
In[3]:= c2d[-γ] @ k[-β, -δ] × r[γ] == 0
rc2dgradk = %;

Out[3]= rγ kβδ||γ == 0

In[4]:= AddEquation@rc2dgradk;
AutomaticRules[k, ApplyRule@rc2dgradk]

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Rules {1, 2} have been declared as generic Rules.
```

```
In[5]:= $Rules
Out[5]= {HoldPattern[(nα nβ) → Module[{}, ε], HoldPattern[(nα nβ) → Module[{}, ε]],
HoldPattern[(rα rβ) → Module[{}, ξ], HoldPattern[(rα rβ) → Module[{}, ξ]],
HoldPattern[rγ kβδ → Module[{}, θ], HoldPattern[rγ kβδ||γ → Module[{}, θ]]}
```

```
In[6]:= {r[-γ] × c2d[γ] @ k[-β, -δ],
r[-γ] × c2d[γ] @ k[-β, δ]}
% /. $Rules

Out[6]= {rγ kβδ||γ, rγ kβδ||γ}
```

The Ricci equation

In[1]:= RicciEquation2

Out[1]= $h_{\beta}^{\mu} h_{\delta}^{\nu} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\delta} = -e a_{\beta} a_{\delta} - K_{\beta}^{\mu} K_{\delta\mu} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} n^{\mu} K_{\alpha\gamma;\mu}$

In[2]:= $h[-\beta, \alpha] \times h[-\delta, \gamma] \times n[\mu] \times CD[-\nu] @ ExtrinsicKh[-\alpha, -\gamma] \times n[\beta]$

Out[2]= 0

In[3]:= f[-\beta, \alpha] \times f[-\delta, \gamma] \times r[\mu] \times cd[-\nu] @ k[-\alpha, \gamma] \times r[\beta]

Out[3]= 0

In[4]:= n[\delta] \times cd[-\delta] @ Accelerationn[-\beta]

Out[4]= 0

In[5]:= n[\beta] \times cd[-\delta] @ Accelerationn[-\beta]

Out[5]= 0

In[6]:= c2d[-\delta] @ b[-\beta] \times r[\delta] == 0
rc2dgradb = %;

Out[6]= $r^{\delta} b_{\beta||\delta} == 0$

In[7]:= AutomaticRules[b, ApplyRule@rc2dgradb]

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Rules {1, 2} have been declared as generic Rules.

In[8]:= r[-\delta] \times c2d[\delta] @ b[-\beta]

% /. \\$Rules

Out[8]= $r_{\delta} b_{\beta}^{||\delta}$

Out[8]= 0

In[9]:= c2d[-\delta] @ b[-\beta] \times r[\beta] == 0
c2dbcontr = %;

Out[9]= $r^{\beta} b_{\beta||\delta} == 0$

In[10]:= AutomaticRules[b, ApplyRule@c2dbcontr]

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Rules {1, 2} have been declared as generic Rules.

In[11]:= r[-\beta] \times c2d[\delta] @ b[\beta]

% /. \\$Rules

Out[11]= $r_{\beta} b_{\beta}^{||\delta}$

Out[11]= 0

And finally, every (already) 3D tensor should remain orthogonal to n

```
In[1]:= n[β] {b[-β], r[-β], f[α, -β], Riccic2d[-ν, -β], Riemannc2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ], k[α, -β]}
Out[1]= {0, 0, 0, n^β (2) R_{νβ}, n^β (2) R_{αβγδ}, 0}

In[2]:= n[β] × Riccic2d[-α, -β] == 0
nRiccic2d1 = %;
Out[2]= n^β (2) R_{αβ} == 0

In[3]:= AutomaticRules[Riccic2d, ApplyRule@nRiccic2d1]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as UpValues for Riccic2d.

In[4]:= n[-β] × Riccic2d[-α, β]
Out[4]= 0

In[5]:= n[α] × Riemannc2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] == 0
nRiemannc2d1 = %;
Out[5]= n^α (2) R_{αβγδ} == 0

In[6]:= AutomaticRules[Riemannc2d, ApplyRule@nRiemannc2d1]
Rules {1, 2, 3, 4} have been declared as UpValues for Riemannc2d.

In[7]:= n[β] × Riemannc2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ]
Out[7]= 0

In[8]:= n[-α] × Riemannc2d[α, -β, -γ, -δ]
Out[8]= 0

In[9]:= n[γ] × Riemannc2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] == 0
nRiemannc2d2 = %;
Out[9]= n^γ (2) R_{αβγδ} == 0

In[10]:= AutomaticRules[Riemannc2d, ApplyRule@nRiemannc2d2]
Rules {1, 2} have been declared as UpValues for Riemannc2d.

In[11]:= n[δ] × Riemannc2d[-α, -β, -γ, -δ] == 0
nRiemannc2d3 = %;
Out[11]= n^δ (2) R_{αβγδ} == 0

In[12]:= AutomaticRules[Riemannc2d, ApplyRule@nRiemannc2d3]
Rules {1, 2} have been declared as UpValues for Riemannc2d.

In[13]:= {n[α], n[-β], n[-δ], n[γ]} Riemannc2d[-α, β, -γ, δ]
Out[13]= {0, 0, 0, 0}
```

2+1 decomposition of the 3D Riemann tensor:

```
In[#]:= $EquationsRiemann2DDeco = {};
```

Since $f_{\mu\nu}$ has not been introduced as an induced metric (again running to problems with the absence of 2+1+1 split in xAct), GaussCodazzi function cannot be used in the previous manner. That does not matter, however, as the structure between Spacetime and it's 3+1 induced split, and 3+1 split and it's corresponding induced 2+1 split is in terms of geometry (and indeed all relations concerning the decomposition - Gauss, Codazzi, Ricci equations) are exactly the same, only with substituting all the corresponding 3D \leftrightarrow 2D entities such as the Riemann tensors, Extrinsic curvature tensors, accelerations, normals and their norms. And of course, the derivatives.

Keeping in mind the structure of substitution, some substitutions have to come prior to other, due to orthogonality and symmetries of tensorial quantities involved in the decomposition expressions. An example of a poorly done substitution is:

```
In[#]:= CodazziEquation
% /. f → h /. RiemannCD → Riemanncd /. ExtrinsicKh → k
(*RHS vanishes due to orthogonality*)
```

```
Out[#]=  $h_\beta^\gamma h_\gamma^\lambda h_\delta^\lambda n^\alpha R_{\alpha\lambda\kappa\lambda} = -K_{\beta\delta}|_\gamma + K_{\beta\gamma}|\delta$ 
```

```
Out[#]= 0 == -K_{\beta\delta}|\gamma + K_{\beta\gamma}|\delta
```

And yet another example of poor usage:

```
In[#]:= GaussEquation
GaussEquation /. RiemannCD → Riemanncd /. Riemanncd → Riemannc2d
(*Note that RiemannCD is switched for 3D Riemann in RHS,
then both 3D Riemann tensors are switched for 2D Riemann tensors →
the correct order is the exact opposite*)
```

```
Out[#]=  $h_\alpha^\gamma h_\beta^\lambda h_\gamma^\lambda h_\delta^\mu R_{\mu\lambda\kappa\lambda} = \epsilon K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - \epsilon K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}$ 
```

```
Out[#]=  ${}^{(2)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} = \epsilon K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - \epsilon K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(2)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}$ 
```

Defining a general function to perform the substitution:

```
In[#]:= CorrespondenceRules[expression_] :=
(expression) /. n → r /. Accelerationn → b /. h → f /. cd → c2d /. CD → cd /.
Riemanncd → Riemannc2d /. Riccicd → Riccic2d /.
RicciScalarcd → RicciScalarc2d /. ε → ξ /. ExtrinsicKh → k /.
RiemannCD → Riemanncd /. RicciCD → Riccicd /. RicciScalarCD → RicciScalarcd
```

And deploying this function to previously obtained Gauss, Codazzi & Ricci equations for 3+1 splitting of spacetime Riemann, Ricci and Ricci scalar tensors:

Gauss, Codazzi & Ricci equations for the 3D Riemann tensor

Gauss equation

```
In[<>]:= GaussEquation
CorrespondenceRules@GaussEquation
Gauss2DEquation = %;

Out[<>]= 
$$h_{\alpha}^{\mu} h_{\beta}^{\kappa} h_{\gamma}^{\lambda} h_{\delta}^{\nu} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} == K_{\gamma\beta} K_{\delta\alpha} - K_{\gamma\alpha} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}$$


Out[<>]= 
$$f_{\alpha}^{\mu} f_{\beta}^{\kappa} f_{\gamma}^{\lambda} f_{\delta}^{\nu} {}^{(3)}R_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} == \mathcal{L}_{K_{\gamma\beta}} K_{\delta\alpha} - \mathcal{L}_{K_{\gamma\alpha}} K_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(2)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha}$$


In[<>]:= AddEquation@Gauss2DEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann2DDeco, Gauss2DEquation];
```

Codazzi equation

```
In[<>]:= CodazziEquation
CorrespondenceRules@CodazziEquation
Codazzi2DEquation = %;

Out[<>]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\mu} h_{\gamma}^{\kappa} h_{\delta}^{\lambda} n^{\alpha} R_{\alpha\mu\lambda\beta} == -K_{\beta\delta|\gamma} + K_{\beta\gamma|\delta}$$


Out[<>]= 
$$f_{\beta}^{\mu} f_{\gamma}^{\kappa} f_{\delta}^{\lambda} r^{\alpha} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\mu\lambda\beta} == -k_{\beta\delta||\gamma} + k_{\beta\gamma||\delta}$$


In[<>]:= AddEquation@Codazzi2DEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann2DDeco, Codazzi2DEquation];
```

Ricci equation

```
In[<>]:= RicciEquation1
CorrespondenceRules@RicciEquation1
Ricci2DEquation1 = %;

Out[<>]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\mu} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma}$$


Out[<>]= 
$$f_{\beta}^{\mu} f_{\delta}^{\kappa} r^{\alpha} r^{\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == r^{\alpha} r^{\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma}$$


In[<>]:= RicciEquation2
CorrespondenceRules@RicciEquation2
Ricci2DEquation2 = %;

Out[<>]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\mu} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == -\epsilon a_{\beta} a_{\delta} - K_{\beta}^{\mu} K_{\delta\mu} + a_{\beta|\delta} - h_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\delta}^{\gamma} n^{\mu} K_{\alpha\gamma;\mu}$$


Out[<>]= 
$$f_{\beta}^{\mu} f_{\delta}^{\kappa} r^{\alpha} r^{\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == -\mathcal{L}_{b_{\beta}} b_{\delta} - k_{\beta}^{\mu} k_{\delta\mu} + b_{\beta||\delta} - f_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_{\delta}^{\gamma} r^{\mu} k_{\alpha\gamma||\mu}$$


In[<>]:= RicciEquation3
CorrespondenceRules@RicciEquation3
Ricci2DEquation3 = %;

Out[<>]= 
$$h_{\beta}^{\mu} h_{\delta}^{\kappa} n^{\alpha} n^{\gamma} R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == -\epsilon a_{\beta} a_{\delta} + K_{\beta}^{\mu} K_{\delta\mu} + a_{\beta|\delta} - \mathcal{L}_n K_{\beta\delta}$$


Out[<>]= 
$$f_{\beta}^{\mu} f_{\delta}^{\kappa} r^{\alpha} r^{\gamma} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\mu\gamma\kappa} == -\mathcal{L}_{b_{\beta}} b_{\delta} + k_{\beta}^{\mu} k_{\delta\mu} + b_{\beta||\delta} - \mathcal{L}_r k_{\beta\delta}$$

```

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@Ricci2DEquation1;
AddEquation@Ricci2DEquation2;
AddEquation@Ricci2DEquation3;
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann2DDeco, Ricci2DEquation1];
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann2DDeco, Ricci2DEquation2];
AddToList[$EquationsRiemann2DDeco, Ricci2DEquation3];

In[°]:= DisplayEQ@$EquationsRiemann2DDeco
Out[°]//MatrixForm=
```

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_\alpha^L f_\beta^K f_\gamma^\lambda f_\delta^\mu {}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda\mu} = \zeta k_{\gamma\beta} k_{\delta\alpha} - \zeta k_{\gamma\alpha} k_{\delta\beta} + {}^{(2)}R_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} \\ f_\beta^L f_\gamma^K f_\delta^\lambda r^\alpha {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\kappa\lambda} = -k_{\beta\delta||\gamma} + k_{\beta\gamma||\delta} \\ f_\beta^L f_\delta^K r^\alpha r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa} = r^\alpha r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma} \\ f_\beta^L f_\delta^K r^\alpha r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa} = -\zeta b_\beta b_\delta - k_\beta^L k_{\delta L} + b_{\beta||\delta} - f_\beta^\alpha f_\delta^\gamma r^L k_{\alpha\gamma||\kappa} \\ f_\beta^L f_\delta^K r^\alpha r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa} = -\zeta b_\beta b_\delta + k_\beta^L k_{\delta L} + b_{\beta||\delta} - \mathcal{L}_r k_{\beta\delta} \end{array} \right\}$$

Gauss, Codazzi & Ricci equations for the 3D Ricci tensor

```
In[°]:= $EquationsRicci2DDeco = {};
```

A quick reminder how to proceed without GaussCodazzi function is due.

Simply realizing that ${}^{(3)}R_{\mu\nu} = {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} h_\mu^\alpha h_\nu^\beta = {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} (f_\mu^\alpha + \xi r^\alpha r_\mu) (f_\nu^\beta + \xi r^\beta r_\nu)$ and expanding each term, accounting for symmetries gives us:

```
In[°]:= Riccicd[-v, -\lambda] == Riccicd[-\alpha, -\beta] (h[\alpha, -v] \times h[\beta, -\lambda] /. ApplyRule@hTOf) // Expand
Ricci2DDecodef = %;
```

```
Out[°]= {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\lambda} == f_\gamma^\alpha f_\lambda^\beta {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta f_\gamma^\alpha r^\beta r_\lambda {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta f_\lambda^\beta r^\alpha r_\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + r^\alpha r^\beta r_\lambda r_\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta}
```

Then, we would, again, need to decompose every term & deploy already stored equations. Let us not repeat this process in full detail.

Gauss equations:

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@Ricci2DDecodef;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DDecodef];
```

```
In[°]:= CorrespondenceRules@(-RicciGaussEquation1)
Ricci2DGaussEquation1 = %;
```

```
Out[°]= \zeta f_\beta^\alpha f_\delta^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma} == -\zeta b_\beta b_\delta - k_\alpha^\alpha k_{\beta\delta} + \zeta {}^{(2)}R_{\beta\delta} + b_{\beta||\delta} - f_\beta^\gamma f_\delta^L r^\alpha k_{\gamma L||\alpha}
```

```
In[°]:= CorrespondenceRules@(-RicciGaussEquation2)
Ricci2DGaussEquation2 = %;
```

```
Out[°]= \zeta f_\beta^\alpha f_\delta^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma} == -k_\alpha^\alpha k_{\beta\delta} + k_\beta^\alpha k_{\delta\alpha} + \zeta {}^{(2)}R_{\beta\delta} + f_\beta^L f_\delta^K r^\alpha r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\gamma\kappa}
```

```
In[°]:= AddEquation@Ricci2DGaussEquation1;
AddEquation@Ricci2DGaussEquation2;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DGaussEquation1];
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DGaussEquation2];
```

Codazzi equation:

```
In[<|>]:= RicciCodazziEquation
CorrespondenceRules@RicciCodazziEquation
Ricci2DCodazziEquation = %;

Out[<|>]=  $h_{\delta}^{\alpha} n^{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha} == K_{\delta}^{\alpha}_{|\alpha} - K_{\alpha|\delta}^{\alpha}$ 

Out[<|>]=  $f_{\delta}^{\alpha} r^{\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\beta\alpha} == k_{\delta}^{\alpha}_{||\alpha} - k_{\alpha||\delta}^{\alpha}$ 

In[<|>]:= AddEquation@Ricci2DCodazziEquation;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DCodazziEquation];
```

Ricci equation:

```
In[<|>]:= RicciRicciEquation1
CorrespondenceRules@RicciRicciEquation1
Ricci2DRicciEquation1 = %;

Out[<|>]=  $n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} == -e a_{\alpha} a^{\alpha} - K_{\alpha}^{\beta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha} + a_{\alpha}^{|\alpha} - h^{\gamma\alpha} n^{\beta} K_{\alpha\gamma;\beta}$ 

Out[<|>]=  $r^{\alpha} r^{\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} == -\zeta b_{\alpha} b^{\alpha} - k_{\alpha}^{\beta} k_{\beta}^{\alpha} + b_{\alpha}^{||\alpha} - f^{\gamma\alpha} r^{\beta} k_{\alpha\gamma|\beta}$ 

In[<|>]:= RicciRicciEquation2
CorrespondenceRules@RicciRicciEquation2
Ricci2DRicciEquation2 = %;

Out[<|>]=  $n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} == -e a_{\alpha} a^{\alpha} + K_{\alpha}^{\beta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha} + a_{\alpha}^{|\alpha} - h^{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{L}_n K_{\alpha\beta})$ 

Out[<|>]=  $r^{\alpha} r^{\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} == -\zeta b_{\alpha} b^{\alpha} + k_{\alpha}^{\beta} k_{\beta}^{\alpha} + b_{\alpha}^{||\alpha} - f^{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{L}_r K_{\alpha\beta})$ 

In[<|>]:= RicciRicciEquation3
CorrespondenceRules@RicciRicciEquation3
Ricci2DRicciEquation3 = %;

Out[<|>]=  $n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} == -K_{\alpha}^{\beta} K_{\beta}^{\alpha} + a_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{;\alpha} - n^{\beta} K_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{;\beta}$ 

Out[<|>]=  $r^{\alpha} r^{\beta} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} == -k_{\alpha}^{\beta} k_{\beta}^{\alpha} + b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{|\alpha} - r^{\beta} k_{\alpha}^{\alpha}_{|\beta}$ 

In[<|>]:= AddEquation@Ricci2DRicciEquation1;
AddEquation@Ricci2DRicciEquation2;
AddEquation@Ricci2DRicciEquation3;
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DRicciEquation1];
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DRicciEquation2];
AddToList[$EquationsRicci2DDeco, Ricci2DRicciEquation3];
```

Ricci scalar & 3D Ricci tensor \leftrightarrow 2D Ricci scalar relation

```
In[<|>]:= $EquationsRicciScalar2DDeco = {};

And similarly 2D Riemann tensor is fully determined by 2D curvature scalar
```

In[[#]]:= **Riemann2DRicci2Ddef**

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = {}^{(2)}R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} (-f_{\mu\lambda} f_{\nu\kappa} + f_{\mu\nu} f_{\kappa\lambda}) {}^{(2)}R$$

Thus the 2+1 decomposition reduces to relations between 3D Ricci tensor & 2D curvature scalar (!)

For the 3D Ricci scalar we obtain:

In[[#]] := **-RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1**

CorrespondenceRules@-RicciScalar3DDecoEquation1

RicciScalar2DDeco1 = %;

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = \epsilon R = -K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} - K^\alpha_\alpha K^\beta_\beta + \epsilon {}^{(3)}R + 2 a^\alpha_{;\alpha} - 2 n^\alpha K^\beta_{\beta;\alpha}$$

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = \zeta {}^{(3)}R = -k_{\alpha\beta} k^{\alpha\beta} - k^\alpha_\alpha k^\beta_\beta + \zeta {}^{(2)}R + 2 b^\alpha_{|\alpha} - 2 r^\alpha k^\beta_{\beta|\alpha}$$

In[[#]] := **AddEquation@RicciScalar2DDeco1;**

AddToList[\$EquationsRicciScalar2DDeco, RicciScalar2DDeco1];

In[[#]] := **-RicciScalar3DDecoEquation2**

CorrespondenceRules@%

RicciScalar2DDeco2 = %;

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = \epsilon R = K_{\alpha\beta} K^{\alpha\beta} - K^\alpha_\alpha K^\beta_\beta + 2 n^\alpha n^\beta R_{\alpha\beta} + \epsilon {}^{(3)}R$$

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = \zeta {}^{(3)}R = k_{\alpha\beta} k^{\alpha\beta} - k^\alpha_\alpha k^\beta_\beta + 2 r^\alpha r^\beta {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta {}^{(2)}R$$

In[[#]] := **AddEquation@RicciScalar2DDeco2;**

AddToList[\$EquationsRicciScalar2DDeco, RicciScalar2DDeco2];

To summarize the obtained 3D → 2D Ricci scalar decompositions read:

In[[#]] := **DisplayEQ@\$EquationsRicciScalar2DDeco**

Out[[#]]//MatrixForm=

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \zeta {}^{(3)}R = -k_{\alpha\beta} k^{\alpha\beta} - k^\alpha_\alpha k^\beta_\beta + \zeta {}^{(2)}R + 2 b^\alpha_{|\alpha} - 2 r^\alpha k^\beta_{\beta|\alpha} \\ \zeta {}^{(3)}R = k_{\alpha\beta} k^{\alpha\beta} - k^\alpha_\alpha k^\beta_\beta + 2 r^\alpha r^\beta {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta {}^{(2)}R \end{array} \right)$$

2+1 decomposition of the 3D Kretschmann scalar:

In[[#]] := **\$EquationsKretschmann2DDeco = {};**

We wish to obtain the decomposition as:

In[[#]] := **KretschScal3DIndepComp**

$$\text{Out}[[#]] = {}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} {}^{(3)}R^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = 4 {}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda} {}^{(3)}R^{\kappa\lambda} - {}^{(3)}R^2$$

Thus we need to find the decomposition of ${}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda} {}^{(3)}R^{\kappa\lambda}$

The decomposition of ${}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda} {}^{(3)}R^{\kappa\lambda}$

Obtaining simplification of ${}^{(2)}R_{\kappa\lambda}$

First let us look at two important pieces of information. Firstly

```
In[1]:= f[-μ, μ] == 2
metric2Dtrace = %;
AddEquation@metric2Dtrace;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, metric2Dtrace];

Out[1]= fμμ == 2
```

Secondly:

```
In[2]:= Riemann2DRicci2Ddef

Out[2]= {}(2)Rμνκλ == 1/2 (-fμλ fνκ + fμκ fνλ) {}(2)R

In[3]:= Riemann2DRicci2Ddef
f[μ, κ]*%
% // Expand;
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf;
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOf;
% /. ApplyRule@metric2Dtrace
Ricci2DToRicciTensor2DIndepComp = %;

Out[3]= {}(2)Rμνκλ == 1/2 (-fμλ fνκ + fμκ fνλ) {}(2)R

Out[4]= {}(2)Rνλ == 1/2 fμκ (-fμλ fνκ + fμκ fνλ) {}(2)R

Out[5]= {}(2)Rνλ == 1/2 fνλ {}(2)R
```

Obtaining simplification of ${}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda} {}^{(3)}R^{\kappa\lambda}$

```
In[6]:= h[κ, γ] × h[λ, δ] == (h[κ, γ] × h[λ, δ]) /. ApplyRule@hTOf
h2FullExpansion = %;

Out[6]= hκγ hλδ == (fκγ + ζ rγ rκ) (fλδ + ζ rδ rλ)

In[7]:= AddEquation@h2FullExpansion;
AddToList[$Equations2Dmetric, h2FullExpansion];
```

```
In[1]:= Times[h2FullExpansion, Riccicd[-κ, -λ]]
% // ToCanonical;
Times[%, Riccicd[-γ, -δ]];
% // ToCanonical
Ricci3DsqFullDeco = %;

Out[1]=  $(^{(3)}R^{\delta\gamma} = (f^{\kappa\gamma} + \zeta r^\gamma r^\kappa) (f^{\lambda\delta} + \zeta r^\delta r^\lambda) {}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda})$ 
```

${}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\delta} {}^{(3)}R^{\gamma\delta} =$

$$f^{\gamma\delta} f^{\kappa\lambda} {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\kappa} {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\lambda} + 2\zeta f^{\kappa\lambda} r^\gamma r^\delta {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\kappa} {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\lambda} + r^\gamma r^\delta r^\kappa r^\lambda {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\delta} {}^{(3)}R_{\kappa\lambda}$$

```
In[2]:= AddEquation@Ricci3DsqFullDeco;
```

This approach does not promising, however further simplifications can be done using previously obtained relation between 2D Ricci tensor & 2D Ricci scalar. We need to prepare every term separately, as with the decomposition of the 4D Kretschmann scalar, and then rearrange the terms correctly.

Starting by terms 2 & 3, as term 1 will be worked upon much more deeply:

```
In[3]:= (ProjectWith[f][Riccicd[-γ, -κ] × r[γ]]) * f[κ, λ]
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DCodazziEquation
% // Expand;
% /. MakeRule[{f[κ, λ] × c2d[-α]@k[-κ, α], c2d[-α]@k[λ, α]}];
% /. MakeRule[{f[κ, λ] × c2d[-κ]@k[α, -α], c2d[λ]@k[α, -α]}];
Times[(%), (ProjectWith[f][Riccicd[-δ, -λ] × r[δ]])]
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DCodazziEquation
Ricci3DsqTerm2 = %;
```

```
Out[3]=  $f_\kappa^\alpha f^{\kappa\lambda} r^\gamma {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\alpha}$ 
```

```
Out[4]=  $f^{\kappa\lambda} (k_\kappa^\alpha_{||\alpha} - k^\alpha_{\alpha||\kappa})$ 
```

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

```
Out[5]=  $f_\lambda^\gamma r^\delta {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\gamma} (k^\lambda_\alpha_{||\alpha} - k^\beta_\beta_{||\lambda})$ 
```

```
Out[6]=  $(k_\lambda^\gamma_{||\gamma} - k^\gamma_{\gamma||\lambda}) (k^\lambda_\alpha_{||\alpha} - k^\beta_\beta_{||\lambda})$ 
```

```
In[7]:= (Riccicd[-γ, -κ] × r[γ] × r[κ]) (Riccicd[-δ, -λ] × r[δ] × r[λ])
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DRicciEquation1
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DRicciEquation1
Ricci3DsqTerm3 = %;
```

```
Out[7]=  $r^\gamma r^\delta r^\kappa r^\lambda {}^{(3)}R_{\gamma\kappa} {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\lambda}$ 
```

```
Out[8]=  $r^\delta r^\lambda {}^{(3)}R_{\delta\lambda} (-\zeta b_\alpha b^\alpha - k_\alpha^\beta k^\alpha_\beta + b_\alpha^{||\alpha} - f^{\kappa\gamma} r^\lambda k_{\gamma\lambda})$ 
```

```
Out[9]=  $(-\zeta b_\alpha b^\alpha - k_\alpha^\beta k^\alpha_\beta + b_\alpha^{||\alpha} - f^{\kappa\gamma} r^\delta k_{\gamma\delta}) (-\zeta b_\kappa b^\kappa - k_\kappa^\lambda k^\kappa_\lambda + b_\kappa^{||\kappa} - f^{\rho\mu} r^\nu k_{\mu\nu})$ 
```

Now for the first term:

```

In[]:= (ProjectWith[f]@Riccid[-γ, -κ])
% /. ApplyRule@({ Ricci2DGaussEquation1});
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DToRicciTensor2DIndepComp
Times[% , f[γ, κ]]
% // Expand;
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOF
% /. MakeRule[{f[γ, κ] × c2d[-κ]@b[-γ], c2d[-κ]@b[κ]}];
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOF;
% /. ApplyRule@metric2Dtrace
tmp1 = %;

Out[]=  $f_\gamma^\alpha f_\kappa^\beta \text{R}^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}$ 

Out[=] =  $-b_\gamma b_\kappa - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_{\gamma\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\gamma\kappa}^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} b_{\gamma||\kappa} - \mathcal{L} f_\gamma^\beta f_\kappa^\delta r^\alpha k_{\beta\delta|\alpha}$ 

Out[=] =  $f^{\gamma\kappa} \left( -b_\gamma b_\kappa - \mathcal{L} k_{\gamma\kappa} k_\mu^\mu + \frac{1}{2} f_{\gamma\kappa}^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} b_{\gamma||\kappa} - \mathcal{L} f_\gamma^\beta f_\kappa^\delta r^\alpha k_{\beta\delta|\alpha} \right)$ 

Out[=] =  $-b_\gamma b^\gamma - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\kappa^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} f_\gamma^\gamma^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} f^{\gamma\kappa} b_{\gamma||\kappa} - \mathcal{L} f_\gamma^\beta f^{\gamma\delta} r^\alpha k_{\beta\delta|\alpha}$ 

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[=] =  $-b_\gamma b^\gamma - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\kappa^\kappa + (2)R + \mathcal{L} b_{||\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{L} f^{\beta\gamma} r^\alpha k_{\beta\gamma|\alpha}$ 

In[]:= (ProjectWith[f]@Riccid[-δ, -λ])
% /. ApplyRule@({ Ricci2DGaussEquation1});
% /. ApplyRule@Ricci2DToRicciTensor2DIndepComp;
Times[% , f[δ, λ]]
% // Expand;
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOF
% /. MakeRule[{f[γ, κ] × c2d[-κ]@b[-γ], c2d[-κ]@b[κ]}];
% /. ApplyRule@ffContrTOF;
% /. ApplyRule@metric2Dtrace
tmp2 = %;

Out[=] =  $f_\delta^\alpha f_\lambda^\beta \text{R}^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}$ 

Out[=] =  $f^{\delta\lambda} \left( -b_\delta b_\lambda - \mathcal{L} k_{\delta\lambda} k_\mu^\mu + \frac{1}{2} f_{\delta\lambda}^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} b_{\delta||\lambda} - \mathcal{L} f_\delta^\beta f_\lambda^\gamma r^\alpha k_{\beta\gamma|\alpha} \right)$ 

Out[=] =  $-b_\delta b^\delta - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\lambda^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} f_\delta^\delta^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} f^{\delta\lambda} b_{\delta||\lambda} - \mathcal{L} f_\delta^\beta f^{\delta\gamma} r^\alpha k_{\beta\gamma|\alpha}$ 

** MakeRule: Potential problems moving indices on the LHS.

Out[=] =  $-b_\delta b^\delta - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\lambda^\lambda + (2)R + \mathcal{L} b_{||\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{L} f^{\beta\gamma} r^\alpha k_{\beta\gamma|\alpha}$ 

In[]:= Ricci3DsqTerm1 = tmp2 * tmp1

Out[=] =  $\left( -b_\gamma b^\gamma - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\kappa^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} f_{\gamma\kappa}^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} b_{||\gamma}^\gamma - \mathcal{L} f^{\beta\kappa} r^\alpha k_{\beta\kappa|\alpha} \right)$ 
 $\left( -b_\delta b^\delta - \mathcal{L} k_\alpha^\alpha k_\lambda^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} f_{\delta\lambda}^{(2)R} + \mathcal{L} b_{||\lambda}^\lambda - \mathcal{L} f^{\sigma\lambda} r^\rho k_{\sigma\lambda|\rho} \right)$ 

```

In[1]:= Riccicd[-ν, -λ] × Riccicd[ν, λ] == Ricci3DsqTerm1 + 2 ξ Ricci3DsqTerm2 + Ricci3DsqTerm3

$$\text{Out}[1]= \begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}R_{\nu\lambda} &= {}^{(3)}R^{\nu\lambda} = \\ 2 \xi (k_\lambda^{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} - k_\gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}) (k^{\lambda\alpha}_{\mu\nu} - k^\beta_{\mu\nu} k^\alpha_{\beta}) &+ (-\xi b_\alpha^\nu b^\alpha - k_\alpha^\beta k^\alpha_{\beta} + b_\alpha^{\nu\alpha} - f^{\nu\lambda} r^\delta k_{\lambda\mu|\delta}) \\ (-\xi b_\kappa^\lambda b^\kappa - k_\kappa^\lambda k^\kappa_{\lambda} + b_\kappa^{\mu\kappa} - f^{\rho\mu} r^\nu k_{\mu\rho|\nu}) &+ \\ (-b_\gamma^\nu - \xi k_\kappa^\lambda k^\mu_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(2)}R + \xi b^\nu_{\mu\nu} - \xi f^{\beta\lambda} r^\alpha k_{\beta\lambda|\alpha}) & \\ (-b_\delta^\nu - \xi k_\lambda^\chi k^\chi_{\chi\nu} + {}^{(2)}R + \xi b^{\chi 1}_{\mu\nu} - \xi f^{\sigma\nu} r^\rho k_{\sigma\nu|\rho}) & \end{aligned}$$

In[2]:= Ricci3DsqFinalDecomposition = %;

In[3]:= AddEquation@Ricci3DsqFinalDecomposition;

In[4]:= AddToList[\$EquationsKretschmann2DDeco, Ricci3DsqFinalDecomposition];

Which is the final result of this chapter.