

Department of English and ELT Methodology

A Review of a Final Thesis

submitted to the Department of English and ELT Methodology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University

Name and titles of the revie Reviewed as:	wer: Luca Cilibrasi, PhD ⊠ a supervisor	\square an opponent	
Author of the thesis: Tina Skočilová Title of the thesis: The development of Czech pupils' L2 phonology assessed with a reading task			
Year of submission: 2020 Submitted as:	☑ a bachelor's thesis	☐ a master's thesis	
Level of expertise: ⊠ excellent □ very good	□ average □ below average	□ inadequate	
Factual errors: ☑ almost none ☐ appropria	ate to the scope of the thesis	☐ frequent less serious ☐ serious	
Chosen methodology: ☑ original and appropriate ☐ appropriate ☐ barely adequate ☐ inadequate			
Results: ☑ original ☐ original and de	erivative	ation □ cited from sources □ copied	
Scope of the thesis: ☐ too large ☐ appropriate	to the topic $\ \square$ adequate $\ \square$	inadequate	
Bibliography (number and selection of titles): ☑ above average (scope or rigor) □ average □ below average □ inadequate			
Typographical and formal le ☐ excellent ⊠ very good	vel: □ average □ below average	□ inadequate	
Language: ☐ excellent ⊠ very good	□ average □ below average	□ inadequate	
Typos: ☑ almost none ☐ appropria	ate to the scope of the thesis	□ numerous	
Overall evaluation of the the	esis:	□inadoguato	



Department of English and ELT Methodology

Brief description of the thesis (by the supervisor, ca. 100-200 words):

This thesis presents an experimental study investigating the development of phonology in Czech children learning English in primary school. The study uses a standardised reading task as its methodology, and it includes two groups of 20 children, divided according to their age of onset to English. The results show that age of onset plays only a minimal role in the sample analysed, contradicting previous studies on different languages. In addition, the study also presents relevant experimental data on the strategies used by Czech children to read in English (that seem to favour grapheme-phoneme conversion, a strategy very effective in Czech but often misleading in English). Both these findings are original and may be informative for future research and for teaching practices.

Review, comments and notes (ca. 100-200 words)

This is an excellent thesis, completed by the student with methodological rigour and precision. The thesis deals with an interesting theoretical question (the role of age of onset in the development of L2 phonology) and it tackles this question investigating a group of children that has not received much attention in the literature, that of Czech learners of English in primary school. The choice of using a reading task is original, and it has the advantage of offering standardised measures of these children's skills, as well as offering additionally an insight into the reading strategies adopted by Czech children when reading in their L2.

Strong points of the thesis:

The study has a simple and clear design, and the student completed each part of the research with impressive reliability. The treatment of the data appears as particularly compelling, since the student provided an extensive quantitative analysis and a complete and fine-grained qualitative analysis. In this process the student showed an understanding of statistics that is not common in BA students, and it also showed a commitment to describing phenomena in their subtle nuances (especially when looking at the qualitative analysis).

Weak points of the thesis:

The qualitative analysis would have benefited from an inter-reliability assessment. Unfortunately, time constraints did not allow for it to be performed. There are a few formatting issues in different sections of the thesis, particularly in the reference list.

Questions to answer during the Defence and suggested points of discussion:

Your study shows minimal differences in the two groups with different age of onset. What would be your prediction if a group of simultaneous bilinguals was included in your research?

Can you think of alternative ways of assessing the development of phonology? What are weaknesses and strengths of the method you used?

Other comments:

The candidate showed great reliability, rigour and scientific curiosity. This is a great piece of work an	ıd I
recommend grade one.	

recommend grade one.
Proposed grade: ☑ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fail
Place, date and signature of the reviewer: Prague, 24-08-2020 Lean Common Com